

## 12-month-olds' understanding of negation

Ágnes Melinda Kovács<sup>1</sup>, Rachel Dudley<sup>1</sup>, Ernő Téglás<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Central European University (CEU)

kovacsag@ceu.edu; dudleyr@ceu.edu; teglase@ceu.edu

Do pre-verbal infants possess a negation operator (NOT) that can support their reasoning and serve as a basis for later acquisition of negative vocabulary[1-2]? We present infants with a visual (non-verbal) disjunctive reasoning problem: One ball could be in A, B or C; it is not in A; therefore it is in B or C. Crucially, we also have a fourth location (D) which was never a potential hiding location, and therefore does not need to be eliminated from the set of possibilities in the same way as A. We use looking-time methods to ask whether 12-month-olds distinguish between an outcome where the ball is revealed in A (negated) vs. D (impossible) vs. B/C (possible). If 12-month-olds possess NOT and it supports their reasoning about location A, they should distinguish the A outcome from both B/C and D outcomes. In 3 experiments (N=24/experiment), we test each pair of outcome. We find that infants look longer to impossible outcomes than possible ones (Exp 1:  $t(23)=2.7872$ ,  $p=0.01047$ ); but we do not find a significant difference between looking to impossible vs. negated outcomes (Exp 2:  $t(23)=-0.32844$ ,  $p=0.7456$ ), or negated vs. possible outcomes (Exp 3:  $t(23)=0.44147$ ,  $p=0.663$ ). This pattern of results is compatible with the NOT hypothesis if we assume (i) negated possibilities have a status that is intermediate between possibilities and impossibilities (because a possibility is first represented and then ruled out) and (ii) our dependent measure lacks the sensitivity to reveal this pattern.

### References

- [1] Cesana-Arlotti, N., Martín, A., Téglás, E., Vorobyova, L., Cetnarski, R., & Bonatti, L. L. (2018). Precursors of logical reasoning in preverbal human infants. *Science*, 359(6381), 1263-1266.
- [2] Feiman, R., Mody, S., Sanborn, S., & Carey, S. (2017). What do you mean, no? Toddlers' comprehension of logical "no" and "not". *Language Learning and Development*, 13(4), 430- 450.

