Critical behavior of CoO and NiO from specific heat, thermal conductivity, and thermal diffusivity measurements
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An ac photopyroelectric calorimeter has been used to simultaneously measure the specific heat ($c_p$), thermal conductivity ($K$), and thermal diffusivity ($D$) around the antiferromagnetic to paramagnetic phase transition in CoO and NiO single crystals. Up to now, no agreement on the critical behavior of both oxides has been obtained. The results for seven samples grown in different laboratories have been compared. We have found that, irrespective of the origin of the samples, the critical exponent and amplitude ratio of $c_p$, $D$, and $K$ in NiO agree with the predictions of the three-dimensional Heisenberg model for isotropic antiferromagnets, which contradicts previous results. On the other hand, the sharpness of the peak of specific heat and of the dip of thermal diffusivity, together with the value of the critical exponent $\alpha$ in CoO, highly increase as crystal quality improves. For the best sample, a value $\alpha=0.81$ has been obtained, which is not only higher than previously reported results, but far away from any universality class.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The four transition-metal magnetic monoxides (MnO, FeO, CoO, and NiO), with the NaCl structure (space group $Fm\overline{3}m$) in their high temperature state, are type-II antiferromagnets: the magnetic spins ferromagnetically align within the (111) plane, while these planes are antiferromagnetically stacked in the direction normal to the (111) plane. At their respective Néel temperature ($T_N$), they undergo a paramagnetic-antiferromagnetic phase transition coupled to a symmetry breaking: a small rhombohedral distortion in MnO, FeO, and NiO, and a tetragonal distortion in CoO. A renormalization-group analysis suggested that the magnetic phase transition in the four transition-metal monoxides should have a first order nature. Experimentally, the transition in MnO and FeO has been established to be first order. On the other hand, CoO has been traditionally considered to be second order according to different types of experimental measurements. However, when analyzing the critical behavior, no agreement has been obtained: some of the results are close to those predicted by the three-dimensional (3D) Ising model, but a 3D-Heisenberg behavior has also been reported. Moreover, a recent crystallographic study suggests that the transition should be discontinuous since the magnetic ordering is coupled to a cubic to monoclinic symmetry breaking, in addition to the already known tetragonal distortion. Therefore, the critical behavior and even the nature of the magnetic transition in CoO are still controversial. In its turn, the transition in NiO is clearly second order. However, no agreement on the universality class to which it belongs has been obtained yet: the obtained values of the critical exponent $\beta$ from measurements of spontaneous magnetization and magnetic birefringence are in between the predictions of the 3D-Heisenberg and 3D-Ising models, although closer to the last one.

In this work, we use a photopyroelectric calorimeter to simultaneously measure the specific heat ($c_p$), thermal conductivity ($K$), and thermal diffusivity ($D$) around the magnetic transition of CoO and NiO single crystals. We present accurate measurements of $K$ and $D$ through the magnetic phase transition in these single oxides. Actually, few high-resolution data of the thermal conductivity and diffusivity for solid samples are available in literature. This is due to the conflict between the need of producing thermal gradients for thermal transport measurements and the need of keeping them as small as possible for not destroying the critical information. In this way, the ac photopyroelectric calorimetry is especially tailored for the measurement of the thermal transport properties around phase transitions, since small temperature gradients in the sample produce a good signal-to-noise ratio, which allows thermal properties to be measured with high accuracy. Our aim is to study the critical behavior of the thermophysical properties of NiO and CoO in order to elucidate the universality class to which each monoxide belongs.

II. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP AND RESULTS

Simultaneous measurements of $K$, $D$, and $c_p$ were performed by a high-resolution ac photopyroelectric calorimeter...
used in the standard back-detection configuration. An acousto-optically modulated He-Ne laser beam of 5 mW illuminates the front surface of the sample under study. Its rear surface is in thermal contact with a 350 µm thick LiTaO₃ pyroelectric detector with Ni-Cr electrodes on both surfaces, by using an extremely thin layer of a highly heat-conductive silicone grease (Dow Corning, 340 Heat Sink Compound). The photopyroelectric signal is processed by a lock-in amplifier operating in the current mode. Both sample and detector are placed inside a nitrogen bath cryostat (77–300 K) or an oven (300–600 K). Measurements are performed at rates that vary from 100 mK/min for measurements on a wide temperature range up to 10 mK/min for high-resolution runs close to the phase transitions.

For opaque and thermally thick samples (i.e., the thickness of the sample is higher than the thermal diffusion length \( \mu = \frac{D}{\pi \ell^2} \)), the natural logarithm (ln) of the amplitude of the normalized photopyroelectric signal (which is obtained by dividing the measured signal by the signal provided by the bare detector) and its phase (\( \Psi \)) have a linear dependence on \( \sqrt{f} \), with the same slope. From their slope \( m \) and from the vertical separation between the two straight lines \( d \), the thermal diffusivity and the thermal effusivity \( e = \sqrt{\rho e_p K} = K/\sqrt{D} \) of the sample can be obtained as follows:

\[
D = \frac{\pi \ell^2}{m^2},
\]

\[
e = e_p \left( \frac{2}{\exp(d)} - 1 \right),
\]

where \( \rho \) is the density, \( \ell \) is the sample thickness, and \( e_p \) is the thermal effusivity of the pyroelectric detector.

Once thermal diffusivity and effusivity have been measured at a certain reference temperature \( (T_{ref}, D_{ref}, \text{ and } e_{ref}) \), the temperature is changed while recording the amplitude and phase of the pyroelectric signal at a fixed frequency. The temperature dependence of \( D \) and \( e \) are given by

\[
D(T) = \left( \frac{1}{D_{ref}} - \frac{\Delta(T)}{\ell \sqrt{\pi f}} \right)^2,
\]

\[
e(T) = e_p(T) \left( 1 + \frac{e_{ref}}{e_p(T_{ref})} \right) \frac{\exp(\Delta'(T)) - 1}{\exp[\Delta''(T)]},
\]

where \( \Delta(T) = \Psi(T) - \Psi(T_{ref}) \), \( \Delta'(T) = \ln V(T) - \ln V(T_{ref}) \), and \( \Delta''(T) = \Delta'(T) - \Delta(T) \). Finally, the temperature dependence of specific heat and thermal conductivity are calculated from the following relations:

\[
e_p(T) = \frac{e(T)}{\rho D(T)},
\]

\[
K(T) = e(T) \sqrt{D(T)}.
\]

We have measured several single crystal plates of each oxide coming from different growers: four CoO samples, labeled 1–4, and three NiO samples. All thicknesses are between 500 and 600 µm. A modulation frequency of 8.0 Hz, which is high enough to assure that the sample is thermally thick but low enough to guarantee a good signal-to-noise ratio, has been used. It has been tested that measurements performed at other close frequencies give the same values of \( e_p \), \( K \), and \( D \).

In Fig. 1, the temperature dependence of \( D \) for CoO over a wide temperature range around the magnetic transition is shown. In this scale, there is no difference among the four samples we have measured. As can be seen, the transition temperature is marked by a very sharp dip in thermal diffusivity. In Fig. 2, the temperature dependence of \( e_p \), \( K \), and \( D \) close to \( T_N \) is shown to better appreciate the differences in sharpness of the peak in specific heat and the dip in thermal diffusivity.

![Graphs showing temperature dependence of D for CoO](image1.png)
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The critical behavior of the specific heat in second order phase transitions is described by a function of the form

\[ c_p = B + Ct + A^\pm|t|^{-\alpha}(1 + E^\pm|t|^{0.5}), \]

where \( t = (T - T_N)/T_N \) is the reduced temperature, and \( \alpha, A^\pm, B, C, \) and \( E^\pm \) are adjustable parameters. Superscripts + and − stand for \( T > T_N \) and \( T < T_N \), respectively. The linear term represents the background contribution to the specific heat, while the last term is the anomalous contribution to the specific heat. The factor within parentheses is the correction to scaling that represents a singular contribution to the leading power as known from experiments and theory.32,33

For thermal diffusivity and thermal conductivity, we assume, similar to the specific heat, that they are given by a sum of a regular term plus a singular one, with a correction to scale term,26 as follows:

\[ D = V + Wt + U^\pm|t|^{-\beta}(1 + F^\pm|t|^{0.5}), \]

\[ 1/K = 1/K_{\text{nonmag}} + V + 1/K_{\text{mag}} + L + Mt + N^\pm|t|^{-\gamma}(1 + H^\pm|t|^{0.5}). \]

Subindex “nonmag” refers to phonon-phonon scattering, umklapp, scattering with impurities, etc., while “mag” refers to the spin-phonon scattering mechanisms. The magnetic resistive term originates from the spin-lattice interaction and close to \( T_N \) from an additional term that accounts for phonon scattering by critical fluctuations of the order parameter. The reason for fitting the \( 1/K \) data instead of the \( K \) ones relies on the fact that all of the thermal resistances associated with the various heat conduction mechanisms in the sample are in series. In this way, the singular term in Eq. (9) can be related to the magnetic contribution to the heat conduction processes.

The experimental data were simultaneously fitted for \( T > T_N \) and \( T < T_N \) with a nonlinear least square routine by using a Levenberg–Marquardt method. First of all, we selected a fitting range close to the peak (dip) while avoiding the rounding, and kept fixed the value of \( T_N \). We obtained a first fitting without the correction to scaling term and obtained a set of adjusted parameters. Afterwards, we tried to increase the number of points included in the fitting, first fixing \( t_{\text{min}} \) and increasing \( t_{\text{max}} \), and then fixing \( t_{\text{max}} \) and decreasing \( t_{\text{min}} \). The next step was to introduce the correction to the scaling term to try to improve the fitting. As a final check, we let \( T_N \) be a free parameter in order to confirm the fitting. In the whole process, we focused our attention on the root mean square values as well as on the deviation plots, which are the plots of the difference between the fitted values and the measured ones as a function of the reduced temperature.

III. FITTING PROCEDURE AND DISCUSSION

In this section, we concentrate on the study of the critical behavior of the magnetic transition of both CoO and NiO. The critical behavior of the specific heat in second order phase transitions is described by a function of the form
A$^+/A^-=1.58$ (Refs. 34 and 35) in a wide temperature range ($|t|=4 \times 10^{-4}-8 \times 10^{-2}$ for $T>T_N$ and $|t|=7 \times 10^{-4}-8 \times 10^{-2}$ for $T<T_N$).\textsuperscript{36} On the other hand, the critical exponent and the amplitude ratio of the thermal diffusivity are very similar to those found for specific heat, which follow the phenomenological hydrodynamical approach to critical dynamics: $b=\alpha$ and $U^+/U^-=A^+/A^-$. From these results, we can conclude that the magnetic transition in NiO is second order and agrees with the 3D-Heisenberg universality.

The singular term of $1/K$ has a positive amplitude ($N^+\sqrt{N}>0$) and a negative exponent ($g<0$), which indicates that the thermal conductivity does not diverge at $T_N$. Moreover, the values of the critical exponent and the amplitude ratio ($g=-0.11$ and $N^+/N^+=1.3$) are very similar to those found for other isotropic antiferromagnets.\textsuperscript{26,31} They are close to those expected for the 3D-Heisenberg model for specific heat, although their meaning is not well understood yet. In fact, according to the existing theories on the critical behavior of thermal conductivity, no divergence should be expected for $K$.\textsuperscript{38–40} However, these theories are largely incomplete and recent high-resolution thermal conductivity measurements show small but not negligible peaks close to the transition temperature for some materials (FeF$_2$, RbMnF$_3$, and KMnF$_3$)\textsuperscript{24,26,31} while for other materials (EuO, MnO, CoO, and Cr$_2$O$_3$) no anomaly was found.\textsuperscript{15,22,23} Anyway, it is worth noting that whenever isotropic materials show a singularity in thermal conductivity, the critical parameters for $1/K$ are close to those predicted for $c_p$, as is the case of RbMnF$_3$, KMnF$_3$, and NiO in this work.\textsuperscript{26,31}

### B. CoO

In Fig. 5, we show the specific heat data for the three CoO crystals of Fig. 2, in close vicinity of $T_N$. On the left, the results in $c_p$ for samples 2 and 3, which show a broader transition, are presented, while on the right, the result for
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**FIG. 5.** Temperature dependence of $c_p$ in close vicinity of $T_N$ for the same three CoO crystals as in Fig. 2. On the left, the results for samples 2 and 3 are shown, whereas the results for sample 4 are shown on the right. Dots are the experimental data and the lines correspond to the best fits.

### TABLE I. Values of the adjustable fitting parameters for specific heat, thermal diffusivity, and the inverse of thermal conductivity for NiO.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$T_N$ (K)</th>
<th>$B$ (J kg$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$E$ (J kg$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$A^-$ (J kg$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$D^{-1}$</th>
<th>$D^{+1}$</th>
<th>$R$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$c_p$ (J kg$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>523.62</td>
<td>1170</td>
<td>-220</td>
<td>-360</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>-0.40</td>
<td>0.9985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\pm 0.006$</td>
<td>$\pm 0.006$</td>
<td>$\pm 0.05$</td>
<td>$\pm 12$</td>
<td>$\pm 12$</td>
<td>$\pm 0.1$</td>
<td>$\pm 0.07$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_N$ (K)</td>
<td>523.45</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>-4.29</td>
<td>0.485</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>0.9995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\pm 0.006$</td>
<td>$\pm 0.04$</td>
<td>$\pm 0.05$</td>
<td>$\pm 0.01$</td>
<td>$\pm 0.03$</td>
<td>$\pm 0.008$</td>
<td>$\pm 0.03$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g$</td>
<td>$N^+/N^+$ (Km/W)</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>0.9980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_N$ (K)</td>
<td>523.5</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.112</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>$\pm 0.06$</td>
<td>$\pm 0.02$</td>
<td>$\pm 0.02$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: $D$ and $R$ are the deviation coefficient.*
crystal 4, which has the sharpest peak, can be found. Dots are the experimental data, while the continuous lines are the best fits to Eq. (7). The obtained critical exponent $\alpha$ together with the range of reduced temperature used in the fittings are shown in Table II. The sample with the poorest quality (sample 2), which exhibits a $c_p$ shape similar to those previously published, has a positive critical exponent ($\alpha=0.27$) not too far from the 3D-Ising behavior stated in Refs. 11 and 17. However, in samples 3 and 4, where the transition gets sharper (indicating a higher crystal quality), a higher $\alpha$ is obtained, which clearly deviates from the 3D-Ising model. In particular, the result for sample 4 ($\alpha=0.81$) is exceptionally large and far away from any universality class. Moreover, following this trend, it is expected that crystals with a quality even higher than the best one used in this work could lead to a higher critical exponent. It is worth noting that high $\alpha$ values have already been reported, as is the case of La$_{0.7}$Ca$_{0.3}$MnO$_3$.41 On the other hand, as no hysteresis has been found in any of the samples, there is no hint that the nature of the transition be other than second order, even if it is quite narrow and sharp.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
<th>$t_{\text{max}}-t_{\text{min}}$ (T $&lt;$ $T_N$)</th>
<th>$t_{\text{max}}-t_{\text{min}}$ (T $&gt;$ $T_N$)</th>
<th>$R$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2 (poor quality)</td>
<td>$+0.27 \pm 0.01$</td>
<td>$1.3 \times 10^{-2} - 7.6 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$4.5 \times 10^{-4} - 1.3 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>0.9984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (intermediate quality)</td>
<td>$+0.49 \pm 0.01$</td>
<td>$1.3 \times 10^{-2} - 7.6 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$4.5 \times 10^{-4} - 1.3 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>0.9988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 (high quality)</td>
<td>$+0.81 \pm 0.01$</td>
<td>$2.7 \times 10^{-3} - 7.1 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$9.2 \times 10^{-5} - 2.8 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>0.9990</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IV. CONCLUSIONS

By using photopyroelectric calorimetry, we have simultaneously measured the thermal conductivity, the thermal diffusivity, and the specific heat of CoO and NiO in the vicinity of $T_N$. In the case of NiO, the critical exponent and amplitude ratio of $c_p$, $D$, and $K$ agree with the predictions of the three-dimensional Heisenberg model for isotropic antiferromagnets. On the other hand, it has been found that the width and sharpness of the peak (dip) of specific heat (thermal diffusivity) in CoO at $T_N$ strongly depend on crystal quality (defects, impurities, and magnetic twinning). Actually, the higher the crystal quality, the narrower and sharper the peak (dip) becomes. For the crystal with the best quality, an anomalously large critical exponent has been found ($\alpha=0.81$). This result indicates that the critical behavior of CoO cannot be explained within any universality class.
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