# Towards concurrent Q-Learning With Local Rewards on Linked Multi-Component Robotic Systems

Borja Fernandez-Gauna, Jose Manuel Lopez-Guede, Manuel Graña

> Computational Intelligence Group University of the Basque Country (UPV/EHU)

IWINAC 2011, La Palma

http://www.ehu.es/ccwintco

Concurrent Q-Learning on L-MCRS

HAIS 2011 1 / 15

## Outline



2 Paradigmatic application: Hose transportation



## Linked Multicomponent Robotic Systems

- Definition: group of robotic units physically-linked by a non-rigid element.
  - Physical link introduces new non-linear dynamics and physical constraints in the system.
- Traditional control techniques are not appropriate

## Multi-Agent Reinforcement Learning

- Reinforcement Learning (RL)
  - Set of algorithms that learn by exploring the state space S taking actions from set A
  - A reward function qualifies how good the observed state is  $(R:S \to \mathbb{R})$
  - Goal: maximize the accumulated rewards over time
- Q-Learning
  - Estimates the rewards to be obtained after taking action *a* in state *s* by looking one step ahead:

$$Q(s,a) \leftarrow Q(s,a) + \alpha \left( r + \gamma * \max_{a'} \left\{ Q(s',a') - Q(s,a) \right\} \right)$$

http://www.ehu.es/ccwintco

## Multi-Agent Reinforcement Learning

- Main RL drawback: exponential growth of the state-action space (| S×A |)
- Multi-Agent Reinforcement Learning (MARL) makes it even worse: | S×A<sup>n</sup> |
- L-MCRS present an additional problem: physical constraints.
  - Some states force simulation to stop and start over
  - Examples:
    - physical-link stretched beyond its nominal length
- collision between robotic units

http://www.ehu.es/ccwintco

Concurrent Q-Learning on L-MCRS

HAIS 2011 5 / 15

#### Problem Statement

- A set of *n* linked robots (each of them represented as *P<sub>i</sub>*) must carry the tip of a hose from a starting configuration to the goal
- Available actions: Up, Down, Left, Right, Up-Left, Up-Right, Down-Left, Down-Right and None
- Simple hose model: line segment
- Termination conditions:
  - A robot steps over the hose
  - Hose segments are stretched over nominal length
  - A robot gets out of the simulation world
  - Two robots colide
- Decentralized control and local rewards based on agents' selfish goal

#### Example



Figure: An example of the system: initial configuration  $(I_i)$ , current position of the robots  $(P_i)$  and goal destination  $(G_i)$ 

http://www.ehu.es/ccwintco

Concurrent Q-Learning on L-MCRS

HAIS 2011 7 / 15

#### Multi-Agent Coordination

- For the agents to learn the best policy for each of the states, the straightforward approach uses omniscient agents
- State-action growth makes it unfeasible even in this simple environment
- Instead we use turns, so the state remains stationary during an agent's move
- Because of particularities of L-MCRS, we investigate the behavior of agents able to observe only a few state variables:
  - position of the agent and its neighbours
  - detection of an object in adjacent cells

## Undesirable Termination Conditions

- Local reward function decomposition for each agent: a goal reward function  $R^G: S \to \mathbb{R} \ge 0$  and several auxiliary functions  $R^U_i: S \to \mathbb{R} \le 0$
- $R^G$  returns a positive reward whenever the goal is reached
- $R_i^U$  return a negative reward when the i-th constraint is broken

#### State-Action Modular Veto approach

- Assuming not all R<sup>U</sup><sub>i</sub> depend on all the state variables but a subset, the original problem can be decomposed in several concurrent modules
- One of them learns how to maximize R<sup>G</sup> and the rest of modules learn state-action pairs leading to undesired terminations so as to veto them in the future
- The reduced state space makes considerably faster learning how to avoid them

#### State-Action Modular Veto approach



Figure: Scheme of the State-Action Modular Veto algorithm

http://www.ehu.es/ccwintco

Concurrent Q-Learning on L-MCRS

HAIS 2011 11 / 15

#### Results

- - Initial configurations were randomly generated
  - One episode was simulated for each configuration with typical ε - greedy exploration
  - Percent of succesfull configurations was measured with a 500 episode window

#### Experiment A: No modular veto system



Figure: Results without the modular veto system

http://www.ehu.es/ccwintco

Concurrent Q-Learning on L-MCRS

HAIS 2011 13 / 15

San

#### Experiment B: Modular veto system



Figure: Results without the modular veto system

http://www.ehu.es/ccwintco

Concurrent Q-Learning on L-MCRS

HAIS 2011 14 / 15

#### Appendix

## Thanks

#### Thank you very much for your attention.

- Contact:
  - Borja Fernández Gauna.
  - Computational Intelligence Group.
  - University of the Basque Country (UPV/EHU).
  - E-mail: borja.fernandez@ehu.es
  - Web page: http://www.ehu.es/computationalintelligence

http://www.ehu.es/ccwintco

HAIS 2011 15 / 15