

ORGANOCATALYTIC α-FUNCTIONALIZATION OF CARBONYL COMPOUNDS: CHEMO-, REGIO- AND STEREOSELECTIVITY

DOCTORAL THESIS

Iñaki Urruzuno Guiu

Donostia, 2018

Summary

Many natural products and bioactive substances bear a stereogenic center adjacent to a carbonyl group. Therefore, great efforts have been made to develop stereocontrolled methods for the preparation of such structural motifs with a predefined configuration. One of the main approaches consists on the stereocontrolled addition of an enolate or equivalent species to a suitable electrophile. This approach is extremely versatile due to the array of procedures available for the formation of enolate equivalents and the set of electrophiles amenable for the reaction.

The addition of enolizable carbonyl compounds to propargylic imines, aldehydes or ketones is an interesting reaction owing to the synthetic versatility of the acetylenic adducts formed. Nevertheless, both propargyl imines and aldehydes/ketones have remained mostly overlooked in this context. Our group has employed these electrophiles in the direct aldol and Mannich reactions for the first time. In both reactions, the catalyst developed in the group (see Scheme A) together with participation of a Brønsted acid cocatalyst are key for the reactions via enamine to proceed smoothly and in high stereoselectivity.



Scheme A

In this Doctoral Thesis it has been studied, on the one hand, the particular case of the use of ω -unsaturated aldehydes as donors in the aldol reaction mentioned above and the subsequent Pauson-Khand reaction of the resulting 1, ω -enynes (Scheme Ba). On the other hand, the behaviour of alkynyl ketones (ynones) as acceptors in such aldol reactions has also been studied (Scheme Bb).

a) Aldol reaction of ω -unsaturated enolizable aldehydes with ynals



Scheme B

In a second chapter of this Doctoral Thesis, new catalytic systems based on Brønsted bases (BB) for the stereoselective generation of new tetrasubstituted carbon stereocenters have been studied. Based on previous results in our group using α' -oxy enones as acrylate equivalents in metal-catalyzed processes, their use as Michael acceptors in Brønsted base-catalyzed enantioselective processes has been studied. Specifically, the conjugate addition of oxazolones has been investigated, for which catalysts shown in Scheme C happened to be the optimal ones. Three situations have been addressed: a) addition to the unsubstituted enone (the *O*-SiMe₃ derivative resulting superior), b) addition to β -substituted enones, where not only the attenuated reactivity, but also the control of both contiguous stereogenic centers formed should be addressed, and c) addition to α -substituted hydroxyenones, in which case the control of diastereoselectivity during the generation of two non-adjacent stereocenters must be addressed. It should be noted that only scarce direct asymmetric methods exist for the access to this type of structures.



Scheme	С
beneme.	Š

After the good results obtained with the α '-hydroxy enone as Michael acceptor, the corresponding conjugated α '-hydroxy dienones have been studied with the aim to ascertain to which extent both the stereoselectivity, and the regioselectivity (1,4-addition vs. 1,6-addition) of the process could be controlled. For this purpose, new chiral guanidine and phosphanimines were synthetized, which happened to efficiently control the regioselectivity, but not stereoselectivity (Scheme D).



Scheme D

In a third chapter of this Thesis, the behaviour of vinylogous ketone enolates generated under catalytic conditions has been studied. Our laboratory has found that these ketones react in a highly regioselective manner with nitroalkenes and vinylsulfones through C_{α} in the presence of certain Brønsted bases, in contrast to most precedents in the literature, that describe reactions through C_{γ} (Scheme E). By this way adducts with two new contiguous stereocenters are accessible in high control, and can be subsequently elaborated in diverse ways.





In this Thesis, the method has been successfully extended to α -branched cyclic ketones giving access to the corresponding α,α -disubstituted (quaternary) ketones in good yield and overall high selectivity. The method was demonstrated to be robust, admitting cycloalkanones with different ring-sizes (n = 0, 1, 2, 3) and constituting a significant advance on scope (Scheme F).





In the same context, the completely regio- and stereoselective functionalization of α -substituted β -tetralones through the bifunctional Brønsted base-catalyzed Michael reaction with nitroalkenes and addition to azodicarboxylates have been achieved (Scheme G). The absence of previous direct and catalytic methods for this transformation should be noted.



Scheme G

During the last part of this Thesis a short stay was performed in the group of Prof. Jonathan Clayden in the School of Chemistry of the University of Bristol, in which routes to the synthesis of arogenate from the natural amino acid L-tyrosine have been studied (Scheme H). Arogenate is a direct precursor of aromatic amino acids in the metabolism of certain plants and its derivatives are potential selective herbicides. However, only scarce procedures for its synthesis exist to date.



Scheme H

Abbreviations and acronyms

AAA	Aromatic amino acid
Ac	Acetyl
aq.	Aqueous
Ar	Aryl
Á	Angstrom
BA	Benzoic acid
BB^*	Chiral Brønsted base
BINAP	(1,1'-Binaphthalene-2,2'-diyl)bis(diphenylphosphine)
BINOL	1,1'-Bi-2-naphthol
Bn	Benzyl
Boc	tert-Butoxycarbonyl
BOX	Bisoxazoline
ⁿ Bu	<i>n</i> -Butyl
ⁱ Bu	Isobutyl
^t Bu	tert-Butyl
CAN	Cerium(VI) ammonium nitrate
cat	Catalyst
Cbz	Bencyloxicarbonyl
CDI	1,1-Carbonyldiimidazol
mCPBA	meta-Chloroperbenzoic acid
CSA	Camphorsulfonic acid
quant.	Quantitative
Су	Cyclohexyl
DABCO	1,4-Diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane

DBE	Dibromoethane
DBU	1,8-Diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene
DCE	Dichloroethane
DCM	Dichloromethane
DEAD	Diethylazodicarboxylate
(DHQD) ₂ PYR	Hydroquinidine-2,5-diphenyl-4,6-pyrimidinediyl diether
(DHQ)2PHAL	Hydroquinine 1,4-phthalazinediyl diether
DIBALH	Diisobutylaluminium hydride
DIPA	Diisopropylamine
DIPEA	Diisopropylethylamine
DMAP	4-(Dimethylamino)pyridine
DME	Dimethoxyethane
DMF	Dimethylformamide
DMSO	Dimethyl sulfoxide
DSC	<i>N</i> , <i>N</i> '-Disuccinimidyl carbonate
dr	Diastereomeric ratio
E	Electrophile
ee	Enantiomeric excess
equiv.	Equivalent
Et	Ethyl
EtOAc	Ethyl acetate
EWG	Electron-withdrawing group
h	Hour(s)
HBTU	N,N,N',N'-Tetramethyl- O -(1 H -benzotriazol-1-yl)uronium hexafluorophosphate, O -(Benzotriazol-1-yl)- N,N,N',N' - tetramethyluronium hexafluorophosphate

HFIP	Hexafluoroisopropanol
HMDS	bis(Trimethylsilyl)amide
HOBT	1-hydroxybenzotriazole
HPLC	High-performance liquid chromatography
Im	Imidazole
L	Ligand
LA	Lewis acid
LDA	Lithium diisopropylamide
LG	Leaving group
М	Metal
Me	Methyl
m. p.	Melting point
min	Minutes
Ms	Methanesulfonyl
MS	Mass spectrometry
M.S.	Molecular sieve
MTBE	Methyl <i>tert</i> -butyl ether
MVK	Methyl vinyl ketone
Naph	Naphthyl
n. d.	Not determined
n. r.	No reaction
pNBA	para-Nitrobenzoic acid
NCA	N-Carboxyanhydride
NMM	N-Methylmorpholine
NMO	N-methylmorpholine N-oxide

NMR	Nuclear magnetic resonace
NOESY	Nuclear Overhauser effect spectroscopy
Nu	Nucleophile
o.n.	Overnight
ORTEP	Oak ridge thermal ellipsoid plot
PG	Protecting group
Ph	Phenyl
Phth	Phthalic anhydride
ⁿ Pr	<i>n</i> -Propyl
ⁱ Pr	Isopropyl
pyr	Pyridine
quant.	Quantitative
Rac.	Racemic
Ref.	Reference
RT	Room temperature
t	Time
t _R	Retention time
Т	Temperature
TBAF	Tetrabutylammonium fluoride
TBDMS	tert-Butyldimethylsilyl
TEA	Triethylamine
Tf	Trifluoroacetate
TFA	Trifluoroacetic acid
THF	Tetrahydrofuran
TIPS	Triisopropylsilyl

TLC	Thin layer chromatography
TMANO	Trimethylamine <i>N</i> -oxide
TMS	Trimethylsilyl
TMSO	3-(Trimethylsilyl)-2-oxazolidinone
TPP	Triphenylphosphine
triton B	Benzyltrimethylammonium hydroxyde
Ts	para-toluenesulfonyl
pTSA	para-Toluenesulfonic acid
UV	Ultraviolet

Index

1. Introduction	9
1.1.α-Substituted carbonyl compounds	11
1.2. Direct catalytic asymmetric α-functionalization of carbonyl compounds	20
1.2.1. Methods based on metal catalysts	20
1.2.2. Methods based on organocatalysts	23
1.2.2.1. Activation via enamine formation (covalent)	23
1.2.2.2. Activation via base-promoted enolization (noncovalent)	29
1.3. Limitations and general objectives	42
2. Enamine-mediated aldol reactions of aldehydes with propargylic aldehydes	
and ketones	49
2.1. Precedents and objectives	51
2.2. Enamine-mediated aldol reaction of ω -unsaturated aldehydes with propargylic	
aldehydes	59
2.2.1. Initial experiments and reaction optimization	59
2.2.2. Reaction scope	62
2.2.3. Elaboration of the adducts: Pauson-Khand reaction	63
2.2.4. Reaction stereochemistry	67
2.3. Enamine-mediated cross aldol reaction of aldehydes with propargylic	
α-ketoesters	68
2.3.1. Initial experiments and reaction optimization	68
2.3.2. Reaction scope	71
2.3.3. Elaboration of adducts	72
2.3.3.1. Reduction of adducts 9Aa and 9Ca	73
2.3.3.2. Pauson-Khand reaction	73
2.3.4. Reaction stereochemistry	74
2.4. Conclusions	75

3. Brønsted ba	ase-catalyzed α-functionalization of carbonyl compounds	79
3.1.Developr	nent of α '-oxy enones as acrylate equivalents	81
3.1.1.	Precedents and objectives	81

3.1.1.1. Preparation of the α '-oxy enones used in the study
3.1.2. Conjugate additions: Previous results from this laboratory
3.1.3. 1,4-Addition of oxazolones to α '-oxy enones
3.1.3.1. Unsubstituted α '-oxy enones as electrophiles
3.1.3.2. β -Substituted α '-oxy enones as electrophiles
3.1.3.3. α -Substituted α '-oxy enones as electrophiles
3.1.3.4. Elaboration of adducts 105
3.2.1,6-Additions to α '-oxy dienones
3.2.1. Precedents and objectives
3.2.2. Oxazolones as nucleophiles
3.2.3. α-Cyanoacetates as nucleophiles
3.3. Regio-, diastereo- and enantioselective functionalization of unactivated
cyclic ketones
3.3.1. Precedents and objectives
3.3.2. α -Functionalization of α -alkenyl cycloalkanones
3.3.2.1. Catalyst screening 117
3.3.2.2. Reaction scope
3.3.2.3. Elaboration of adducts
3.3.3. α -Alkylation of β -tetralone derivatives
3.3.3.1. Initial experiments and reaction scope
3.3.3.3. Elaboration of adducts
3.3.3.4. Determination of the absolute configuration
3.3.4. α -Amination of β -tetralone derivatives
3.3.4.1. Catalyst screening
3.3.4.2. Reaction scope
3.4. Conclusions

4. Synthesis of arogenate by dearomatising cyclisation of a

L-tyrosine derivative	147
4.1. Arogenate: Origin, structure and interest	
4.2. Previous approaches towards the synthesis of arogenate	151
4.3. Group precedents and objectives	153
4.4. Synthetic plan	157
4.5. Results and discussion	159

4.5.1.	Oxazolidinone route	159
4.5.2.	Imidazolidinone route	160
4.5.3.	Acyclic route	164
4.5.4.	Hydantoin route	165
4.6.Conclusio	ons	167

5.	Experimen	tal section	171
	5.1.Material	and techniques	175
	5.1.1.	Reagents and solvents	175
	5.1.2.	General experimental	175
	5.1.3.	Chromatography	176
	5.1.4.	Optical rotation	176
	5.1.5.	Melting points	176
	5.1.6.	NMR spectra	176
	5.1.7.	Mass spectra	177
	5.1.8.	Infrared spectra	177
	5.1.9.	Determination of enantiomeric excesses	177
	5.1.10). X-Ray diffraction analysis	177
	5.2.Preparati	on of catalysts	178
	5.2.1.	Preparation of proline-based aminocatalysts C1-C6	178
	5.2.2.	Preparation of squaramide-based Brønsted base catalysts	182
		5.2.2.1. Preparation of catalysts C7 and C12	183
		5.2.2.2. Preparation of catalyst C8	186
		5.2.2.3. Preparation of catalyst C10	188
		5.2.2.4. Preparation of catalysts C13, C28 and C31-C33	189
		5.2.2.5. Preparation of catalysts C14-C17	193
		5.2.2.6. Preparation of catalysts C18 and C22	199
		5.2.2.7. Preparation of catalyst C19	203
		5.2.2.8. Preparation of catalysts C29 and C30	205
	5.2.3.	Preparation of thiourea- and urea-based Brønsted base catalysts	
		C9, C20 and C26	209
	5.2.4.	Ureidopeptide-like Brønsted base catalysts C21, C27 and C35	211
	5.2.5.	Preparation of catalysts C23-C25	214
	5.2.6.	Representative NMR spectra	216

5.3. Experimental section of Chapter 2	236
5.3.1. Synthesis of propargylic aldehydes 1	236
5.3.2. Synthesis of propargylic ketoesters 2	237
5.3.3. Cross-aldol reaction of α , β -ynals	238
5.3.3.1. General procedure	238
5.3.3.2. Characterization data for compounds 5B	239
5.3.3.3. Benzoylation of adducts for ee determination by HPLC	240
5.3.4. Cross-aldol reaction of α , β -ynones	242
5.3.4.1. General procedure	242
5.3.4.2. Characterization data for compounds 9	242
5.3.5. Elaboration of adducts 5 and 9	246
5.3.5.1. Silylation of adducts	246
5.3.5.2. Intramolecular Pauson-Khand reaction	248
5.3.5.3. Hydrolysis of the acetal 7a	250
5.3.5.4. Reduction of adducts 9Ba and 9Ca	250
5.3.6. ORTEP diagram of compound 9Aa	251
5.3.7 Depresentative NMP spectra	252
5.5.7. Representative twirk spectra	
5.3.8. HPLC chromatograms	276
5.3.8. HPLC chromatograms	276 287
 5.3.8. HPLC chromatograms	276 287 287
 5.3.8. HPLC chromatograms	276 287 287 287
 5.3.8. HPLC chromatograms	276 287 287 287 287 290
 5.3.7. Representative twirk spectra	276 287 287 287 290 293
 5.3.7. Representative twirk spectral	276 287 287 287 290 293 294
 5.3.7. Representative Finitic spectral	276 287 287 290 293 294 295
 5.3.7. Representative NNR spectra	276 287 287 290 293 294 295 296
 5.3.7. Representative trivict spectra	276 287 287 290 293 294 295 296 299
 5.3.7. Representative twirk spectra	276 287 287 290 293 294 295 296 299 299
 5.3.7. Representative trivit's spectral	276 287 287 290 293 294 295 296 299 299 299
 5.3.7. Representative room spectral	276 287 287 290 293 294 295 296 299 299 299 301
 5.3.7. Representative twick spectra	276 287 287 290 293 294 295 296 299 299 299 301 301
 5.3.7. Representative frame spectra	276 287 287 290 293 294 295 296 299 299 299 301 301 302
 5.3.7. Representative rivire spectra	276 287 287 290 293 294 295 299 299 299 299 301 301 302 304

305
307
307
310
312
312
312
314
317
317
317
320
320
322
324
325
326
327
328
331
333
334
334
335
347
348
348
350
352
355
355
355
357

5.4.20. ORTEP diagram of compound 46	. 358
5.4.21. ORTEP diagram of compound 57	. 358
5.4.22. ORTEP diagram of compound 60	. 359
5.4.23. ORTEP diagram of compound 63	. 359
5.4.24. Representative NMR spectra	. 361
5.4.25. HPLC chromatograms	. 464
5.5.Experimental section of chapter 4	. 516
5.5.1. Oxazolidinone route	. 516
5.5.1.1. Preparation of imine 76	. 516
5.5.1.2. Preparation of imine 77 and transformation into	
compounds 78-80	. 516
5.5.2. Imidazolidinone route	. 519
5.5.2.1. Preparation of spirocyclic compound 73	. 519
5.5.2.2. Synthesis of disodium arogenate (70)	. 520
5.5.3. Acyclic route	. 521
5.5.3.1. Preparation of ester intermediate 82	. 521
5.5.3.2. Preparation of amide intermediate 86	. 522
5.5.3.3. Synthesis of NCA 83	. 523
5.5.4. Hydantoin route	. 524
5.5.4.1. Synthesis of hydantoins 87 and 88	. 524
5.5.4.2. Synthesis of hydantoin 92	. 525
5.5.5. Representative NMR spectra	. 528

5. Publications	54	4	7
-----------------	----	---	---

Chapter 1:

Introduction

1. Introduction

1.1.α-Substituted carbonyl compounds	11
1.2. Direct catalytic asymmetric α-functionalization of carbonyl compounds	20
1.2.1. Methods based on metal catalysts	20
1.2.2. Methods based on organocatalysts	23
1.2.2.1. Activation via enamine formation (covalent)	23
1.2.2.2. Activation via base-promoted enolization (noncovalent)	29
1.3. Limitations and general objectives	42

Introduction

1.1. α-Substituted carbonyl compounds

Molecules containing carbonyl groups with an adjacent stereocenter are widespread within natural products and biologically active compounds, like the ones depicted in Figure 1.¹ Therefore, methods capable of accessing such moieties in high selectivity are most sought after.



Figure	1
--------	---

Three main approaches for the stereoselective formation of a stereogenic center in the α -position of a carbonyl compound are shown in Scheme 1. Among them, the present thesis is focused on approach c), that is, reaction of an electrophile with an enolate anion or equivalent under proton transfer conditions.

¹ Aldosterone: F. Jaisser, N. Farman, *Farmacol. Rev.* **2016**, *68*, 49–75. (–)-Spirobrassinin: L. Liu, S.-L. Zhang, F. Xue, G.-S. Lou, H.-Y. Zhang, S.-C. Ma, W. Duan, W. Wang, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2011**, *17*, 7791–7795. Phenoxymethylpenicillin: J. Colloway, A. Couch, F. Foster, W. Hunter, V. Knight, A. C. White, *Antibiotics Annu.* **1955**, *3*, 490–501. Chlorogenic acid: W. Boerjan, J. Ralph, M. Baucher, *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* **2003**, *54*, 519–546. Carbidopa: G. C. Davis, A. C. Williams, S. P. Markey, M. H. Ebert, E. D. Caine, C. M. Reichert, I. J. Kopin, *Psychiatry. Res.* **1979**, *1*, 249–254.



Approach c) is extremely versatile owing to three main aspects among others:² i) there are several procedures to generate enolate equivalents (Figure 2); ii) enolates and equivalents are highly nucleophilic, so they can react with a variety of electrophiles; and iii) the approach is well suited for the generation of two contiguous stereocenters when both nucleophile and electrophile are prostereogenic.





Two main approaches, namely the use of chiral auxiliaries and catalytic methods, are suitable strategies to control the stereogenical outcome of the reactions leading to a carbonyl compound with an α -stereocenter.

As usual, initial developments in this area were based on the use of covalently bound chiral auxiliaries. Chiral auxiliaries must be capable of transferring the chiral information during the new bond formation event and easily cleavable from the obtained adducts for the procedure to be practical.

Chiral secondary amines were among the first chiral auxiliaries developed for this endeavour, which upon condensation with ketones and aldehydes form enamine

² a) C. Spino, Org. Prep. Proced. Int. 2003, 35, 1–120. a) W. Carruthers, I. Coldham, Modern Methods of Organic Synthesis, Ed. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004, 1–45. b) F.A. Carey, R. J. Sundberg, Advanced Organic Chemistry, Ed. Springer, Heildeberg, 2007, vol. B. c) P. Knochel, G. A. Molander, Comprehensive Organic Synthesis II, Ed. Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2014, vol. 1.

intermediates with enhanced nucleophilicity. In 1969 Yamada et al.³ reported the first examples in a series of investigations on the alkylation of chiral enamines derived from L-proline esters. With this methodology, the products of the formal addition of cyclohexanone to methyl acrylate and acrylonitrile, as well as from the reaction with strongly electrophilic alkyl halides were obtained, although unfortunately, with very low yields (<25%) and *ee* values (<60%). Subsequent work by other groups identified other chiral amines that performed superior for different asymmetric alkylations. These developments were focused on cyclohexanone-derived enamines as substrates almost exclusively (Figure 3).



Figure 3.

Hydrazines are another functional group that can condense with aldehydes and ketones, leading to the formation of hydrazones, which are good nucleophiles upon deprotonation.⁸ In this context, Enders⁹ explored in depth the usefulness of chiral hydrazones derived from (S)-1-amino-2-methoxymethylpyrrolidine for the α -functionalization of aldehydes and ketones (Figure 4).

³ a) S.-I. Yamada, K. Hiroi, K. Achiwa, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1969**, *10*, 4233–4236. For more information on the subject see: b) P. W. Hichmott, *Tetrahedron*. **1982**, *38*, 1975–2050.

⁴ J. K. Whitesell, S. W. Felman, J. Org. Chem. 1977, 42, 1663–1664.

⁵ a) S. J. Blarer, W. B. Schweizer, D. Seebach, *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1982**, *65*, 1637–1654. For more references on the subject see: b) W. Miltz, W. Steglich, *Synthesis* **1990**, 750–751.

⁶ Y. Ito, M. Sawamura, K. Kominami, T. Saegusa, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1985, 26, 5303–5306.

⁷ a) M. Pfau, G. Revial, A. Guigant, J. d'Angelo, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1985**, *107*, 273–274. For further references on the subject see: b) J. Y. Kang, R. C. Johnson, K. M. Snyder, P. H.-Y. Cheong, R. G. Carter, *J. Org. Chem.* **2016**, *81*, 3629–3637.

⁸ E. J. Corey, D. Enders, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1976**, *17*, 3–6.

⁹ For an example of: Alkylation: a) D. Enders, H. Eichenauer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **1976**, *15*, 549–551. Aldol reaction: b) D. Enders D. L. Whitehouse, *Synthesis* **1996**, *38*, 621–626 For a review on asymmetric reactions of hydrazones see: c) A. Job, C. F. Janeck, W. Bettray, R. Peters, D. Enders, *Tetrahedron* **2002**, *58*, 2253–2329.





A different strategy to achieve the asymmetric α -functionalization of carbonyl compounds is the use of chiral ligand-bearing metal enolates as nucleophilic intermediates. An advantage of this approach compared to enamine- and hydrazone-mediated approaches is that not only aldehydes and ketones, but also carboxylic acid derivatives such as esters and thioesters can be used as enolizable substrates. As representative examples of this strategy, some boron ligands employed in chiral ligand mediated enolate reactions are shown in Figure 5.¹⁰



Figure 5.

¹⁰ For further information on the subject see: a) C. J. Cowden, I. Paterson, *Org. React.* **1997**, *51*, 1–200. b) P. Arya, H. Qin, *Tetrahedron* **2000**, *56*, 917–947. c) I. Paterson, C. J. Cowden, D. J. Wallace, *Modern Carbonyl Chemistry*, Ed. Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, **2000**, 249–297. d) A. Abiko, *Boron Reagents in synthesis*, Ed. American Chemical Society, Washington DC, **2016**, 123–171.

 ¹¹ a) I. Paterson, M. S. Lister, C. K. McClure, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1986, 27, 4748–4790. b) I. Paterson, J. M. Goodman, M. A. Lister, R. C. Schumman, C. K. McClure, R. D. Norcross, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1990, 46, 4663–4684.

¹² For an example of: Aldol reaction of ketones: a) C. Gennari, C. T. Hewkin, F. Molinari, A. Bernardi, A. Comotti, J. M. Goodman, I. Paterson, *J. Org. Chem.* **1992**, *57*, 5173–5177. Aldol reaction of thioesters: b)

For substrates in the carboxylic acid oxidation state, a chiral auxiliary can be covalently bound to the acyl system. Upon enolization, subsequent reaction with an electrophile would be controlled by the auxiliary intramolecularly (diastereoselective process). One advantage of this method is that the removal of the chiral auxiliary can afford either carboxylic acid derivatives, aldehydes or ketones depending on the scission conditions employed, thus giving access to a broad variety of products from a common optically active intermediate (or adduct).

In 1981, Evans and coworkers^{15,16} reported the use of chiral oxazolidinones as auxiliaries for the asymmetric formation of a stereogenic center in the α -position of acyl systems via alkylation and aldol-type reactions. Following this ground marking work, many other chiral auxiliaries²³⁻²¹ were reported for different reactions leading to α -stereogenic carboxylic acid derivatives (Figure 6).²³

^{C. Gennari, A. Vulpetti, D. Moresca,} *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1994, *35*, 4857–4860. Mannich reaction of thioesters: d) C. Gennari, A. Vulpetti, M. Donghi, N. Mongelli, N. E. Vanotti, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 1996, *35*, 1723–1725. For further references see: e) F. Lang, D. Zewge, Z. J. Song, M. Biba, P. Dormer, D. Tschaen, R. P. Volate, P. J. Reider, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2003, *44*, 5285–5288 and references herein.

¹³ For an example of: Aldol reaction of ketones: a) E. J. Corey, R. Imwinkelried, S. Pikul, Y. B. Xiang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1989**, *111*, 5493–5495. Aldol reaction of esters: b) E. J. Corey, S. S. Kim, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1990**, *112*, 4976–4977. Mannich reaction of thioesters: c) E. J. Corey, C. P. Decicco, R. C. Newbold, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1991**, *32*, 5287–5495.

¹⁴ S. Masamune, T. Sato, B. M. Kim, T. A. Wollman, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 8279–8281.

¹⁵ D. A. Evans, J. Bartroli, T. L Shih, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1981**, 103, 2127–2129.

¹⁶ For an example of: Aldol reaction: a) D. A. Evans, J. Bartroli, T. L Shih, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1981**, 103, 2127–2129. Amination: b) D. A. Evans, T. C. Britton, R. L. Dorow, J. F. Dellaria, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1986**, 108, 6395–6397. Mannich reaction: c) D. A. Evans, F. Urpi, T. C. Somers, J. S. Clark, M. T. Bilodeau, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1990**, 112, 8215–8216. For further references see: e) E. H. Tallmadge, J. Jermaks, D. B. Collum, **2016**, 138, 345–355 and references herein.





Methods based on covalently bound chiral auxiliaries despite being very effective need a stoichiometric amount of the chiral agent and additional steps are required for the attachment and ulterior detachment of the auxiliary which compromise

¹⁷ D. A. Evans, M. M. Morressey, R. L. Dorow, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 4346–4348.

¹⁸ For an example of: Alkylation: a) S. D. Bull, S. G. Davies, R. L. Nicholson, H. J. Sanganee, A. D. Smith, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2000**, *11*, 3475–3479. Aldol reaction: b) S. G. Davies, I. A. Hunter, R. L. Nicholson, P. M. Roberts, E. D. Savory, A. D. Smith, *Tetrahedron* **2004**, *60*, 7553–7557. For further references see: c) J. Alvarado, A. T. Herrmann, A. Zakarian, J. Org. Chem. **2014**, *79*, 6206–6220; d) A. Gómez-Palomino, M. Pellicena, J. M. Romo, R. Solà, P. Romea, F. Urpí, M. Font-Bardia, Chem. Eur. J. **2014**, *20*, 10153–10159 and references herein.

¹⁹ M. T. Crimmins, B. W. King, E. A. Tabet, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 7883-7884.

²⁰ a) D. M. Casper, J. R. Burgenson, J. M. Esken, G. M. Ferrence, S. R. Hitchock, *Org. Lett.* 2002, *4*, 3739–3742. For further references see: A. R. Leise, N. Comas, D. Harrison, D. Patel, E. G. Whitemiller, J. Wilson, J. Timms, I. Golighty, C. G. Hamaker, S. R. Hitchock, *Tetrahedron: Asynnmetry* 2017, *28*, 1154–1162 and references herein.

²¹ For an example of: Aldol reaction: a) W. Oppolzer, J. Blagg, I. Rodriguez, E. Walther, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1990**, *112*, 2767–2772. Amination: b) W. Oppolzer, O. Tamura, J. Deerberg, *Hel. Chim. Acta* **1992**, 75, 1965–1968. For further references see: L. Zhang, L. Zhu, J. Yang, J. Luo, R. Hong, *J. Org. Chem.* **2016**, *81*, 3890–3900 and references herein.

²² A. Abiko, J.-F. Liu, S. Masamune, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 2586–2587.

²³ For further information on the subject see: a) P. Arya, H. Qin, *Tetrahedron* 2000, 56, 917–947. b) C. Palomo, M. Oiarbide, J. M. García, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2004, 33, 65–75. c) L. M. Geary, P. G. Hultin, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* 2009, 20, 131–173. d) M. M. Heravi, V. Zadsirjan, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* 2014, 25, 1061–1090.

atom and step economy. Asymmetric catalytic procedures, in which a substoichiometric amount of a chiral inductor is enough to accomplish the reaction with high chemo-, regio- and stereoselectivity, are more convenient from the point of view of atom economy and procedural simplicity.²⁴

Procedures based on the use of previously generated (preformed) enolates or enolate equivalents, namely *directed* methods, in combination with a chiral Lewis acid catalyst have been studied in depth. Among them, silyl enol ethers are by far the most prominent, and their addition reaction to carbonyl compounds, namely the Mukaiyama aldol reaction, is the most representative example of their potential for the formation of C–C bonds in a stereocontrolled manner.²⁵

In 1989 Mukaiyama described the first catalytic enantioselective reaction of silyl enol ethers derived from esters²⁶ and thioesters²⁷ employing a tin(II)-chiral diamine complex as the catalyst. Following this seminal work, several chiral Lewis acids have been developed for the catalytic enantioselective Mukaiyama reaction.²⁸ The most representatives are shown in Figure 7.

²⁴ For detailed information on this concept see: B. M. Trost, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1995, 34, 259–281.

²⁵ a) T. Mukaiyama, K. Narasaka, K. Banno, *Chem. Lett.* **1973**, *2*, 1011–1014. b) T. Mukaiyama, K. Banno, K. Narasaka, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1974**, *96*, 7503–7509. For further information on the subject see:
a) M. B. Boxer, B. J. Albert, H. Yamamoto, *Aldrichimi. Act.* **2009**, *42*, 3–15. b) T. Kitanosono, S. Kobayashi, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2013**, *355*, 3095–3118. c) S. B. Jennifer Kan, K. K.-H. Ng, I. Paterson, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 9097–9108. d) J.-I. Matsuo, M. Murakami, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 9097–9108. d) J.-I. Matsuo, M. Murakami, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 9109–9118.

²⁶ S. Kobayashi, T. Sano, T. Mukaiyama, *Chem. Lett.* **1989**, 1319–1322.

²⁷ S. Kobayashi, T. Mukaiyama, *Chem. Lett.* **1989**, 297–300.

²⁸ For reviews on Mukaiyama reaction see: a) E. M. Carreira, *Modern Carbonyl Chemistry*, Ed. Wiley-VCH, Winheim, **2000**, 227–248. b) G. L. Beutner, S. E. Denmark, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 9086–9096. c) S. B. Jennifer Kan, K. K.-H. Ng, I. Paterson, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 9097–9108. d) J.-I. Matsuo, M. Murakami, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 9109–9118. e) T. Kitanosono, S. Kobayashi, *Chem. Rec.* **2014**, *14*, 130–143. f) W. Gati, H. Yamamoto, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2016**, *49*, 1757–1768.



Figure 7.

²⁹ For pioneering examples of reaction with: Esters: a) S. Kobayashi, I. Shiina, J. Izumu, T. Mukaiyama, *Chem. Lett.* **1992**, 373–376. Thioesters: c) T. Mukaiyama, H. Uchiro, S. Kobayashi, *Chem. Lett.* **1989**, 1757–1760.

³⁰ For pioneering examples of reaction with: Ketones: a) K. Mikami, S. Matsukawa, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1993**, *115*, 7039–7040. Thioesters: b) K. Mikami, S. Matsukawa, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1994**, *116*, 4077–4078. For further references see: c) F. Fang, F. Xie, H. Yu, H. Zhang, B. Yang, W. Zhang, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2009**, *50*, 6672–6675 and references herein.

³¹ G. E. Keck, D. Krishnamurthy, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 2363–2364.

³² E. M. Carreira, R. A. Singer, W. J. Lee, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 8837–8838.

³³ K. Furuta, T. Maruyama, H. Yamamoto, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 1041–1042.

³⁴ S. Kiyooka, Y. Kaneko, K. Kume, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1992**, *33*, 4927–4930.

³⁵ E. J. Corey, C. L. Cywin, T. D. Roper, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1992, 33, 6907–6910.

³⁶ K. Ishihara, S. Kondo, H. Yamamoto, J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 9125–9128.

³⁷ a) D. A. Evans, C. Kozlowski, J. A. Murry, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1996**, 121, 669–685. b) J. S. Johnson, D.

A. Evans, Acc. Chem. Res. 2000, 33, 325-335 and references herein.

On the other hand, Denmark and coworkers⁴¹ reported a distinct strategy for the catalytic asymmetric directed aldol reaction based on the activation of trichlorosilyl enol ethers by a chiral phosphoramide Lewis base catalyst. Contrary to the Lewis acid-catalyzed processes, which tend to provide the *syn*-adducts, this methodology is stereospecific and can provide either *syn*- or *anti*-adducts selectively by judicious choice of the catalyst or the *E* or *Z* configuration of the starting silyl enolate for ketones (Scheme 2a) and aldehydes (Scheme 2b) respectively.⁴²





Whereas some methods with the "Mukaiyama reaction scheme" are quite efficient and general, and proceed with high stereocontrol (both absolute and relative), preparation of the silyl enolate in a previous and irreversible synthetic operation employing stoichiometric quantities of reagents constitutes an important drawback.⁴³ In

³⁸ For a pioneering example of reaction with β-ketoesters: J. Krüger, E. M. Carreira, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1998**, 120, 837–838.

³⁹ K. Oisaki, D. Zhao, M. Kanai, M. Shibasaki, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 7164–7165.

⁴⁰ Y. Yamashita, H. Ishitani, H. Shimizu, S. Kobayashi, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2002**, 124, 3292–3302.

⁴¹ a) S. E. Denmark, S. B. D. Winter, X. Su, K.-T. Wong, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 7404–7405.

⁴² For further information on this observation see: a) S. E. Denmark, R. A. Stavenger, K.-T. Wong, X. Su, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1999**, *121*, 4982–4991. b) S. E. Denmark, S. K. Ghosh, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2001**, 40, 4759–4762. For a review on Lewis base-promoted Mukaiyama reactions see: c) Ref. 28a.

⁴³ For approaches to catalytic methods see: J. M. García, M. Oiarbide, C. Palomo, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 8790–8792.

contrast, *direct* methods that allow the reaction of unmodified carbonyl compounds with the corresponding electrophiles are particularly attractive, especially if a substoichiometric amount of a chiral promoter is sufficient to attain good yield and selectivity. An overview of these approaches is described next.

1.2. Direct catalytic asymmetric α -functionalization of carbonyl compounds

It is well known that enzymes are able to trigger the α -functionalization of unmodified carbonyl compounds with extremely high efficiency and selectivity. Thus, enzymes meet perfectly the atom and step economy principles, doing their work with high efficiency and selectivity most often by activating both the donor and acceptor components of a given reaction concurrently. Following a similar principle of concomitant substrate activation, chemists have developed synthetic catalysts capable of promoting α -functionalization of carbonyl compounds leading to the formation of a stereogenic center in the α -position in a direct fashion with considerable success. Main developments in this field are briefly described next according to two categories: metal-based methods and organocatalyst-based methods.

1.2.1. Methods based on metal catalysts

A significant breakthrough in this area came from the laboratories of Shibasaki and Trost, who independently introduced new bifunctional Lewis acid/Brønsted base metal complexes capable of catalysing some fundamental C–C bond forming reactions.⁴⁴

In 1996, Shibasaki⁴⁵ and coworkers used a bifunctional heterobimetallic catalyst to perform the Michael addition of cyclic β -ketoesters to methyl vinyl ketone and acrylates obtaining moderate to very good enantioselectivities (Scheme 3a). Later, the group developed similar catalysts for the Mannich reaction between aromatic ketones and aminoethyl ethers (in low enantioselectivity and high catalyst loading, Scheme 3b),⁴⁶ and the aldol reaction of 2-hydroxyacetophenone with aliphatic unbranched

⁴⁴ For the concept of bifunctional metal complexes see: a) T. Ikariya, K. Murata, R. Noyori, *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2006**, *4*, 393–406. b) M. Shibasaki, M. Kanai, S. Matsunaga, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2009**, *42*, 1117–1127. c) J. Ito, H. Nishiyama, *Bifunctional Molecular Catalysis. Topics in organometallic Chemistry*, Ed. Springer, Berlin **2011**, vol. 37.

⁴⁵ H. Sasai, E. Emori, T. Arai, M. Shibasaki, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1996**, *37*, 5561–5564.

⁴⁶ S. Yamasaki, T. Iida, M. Shibasaki, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1999, 40, 307–310.

aldehydes (Scheme 3c),⁴⁷ which had not been previously used in direct aldol reactions, presumably due to their tendency towards enolization. The main concept involved in these researches is that the metal complexes have a basic site and an acidic site which can work in concert for the activation of both the donor and the acceptor reaction components.



Scheme 3.

⁴⁷ N. Yoshikawa, N. Kumagai, S. Matsunaga, G. Moll, T. Ohshima, T. Suzuki, M. Shibasaki, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2001**, *123*, 2466–2467.

On the other hand, Trost and coworkers reported the aldol reaction of 2hydroxyacetophenone with aliphatic aldehydes, including the more challenging unbranched aldehydes, catalyzed by a bifunctional dinuclear Zn catalyst which proceeds with high enantio- and diastereoselectivities (Scheme 4).⁴⁸ This catalyst could be later applied to a related Mannich reaction.⁴⁹



Scheme 4.

Following these first examples, there have been several other reports dealing with the α -functionalization of enolizable carbonyl compounds based on chiral metallic catalysts.⁵⁰

Despite several kinds of α -functionalization procedures using metallic catalysts have been described to give rise very good yield and stereocontrol, direct procedures are still limited to the use of activated carbonyl compounds, mainly those bearing an electron withdrawing group at the α -position or in some instance having an aryl substituent. Furthermore, many of these methods rely on the use of rare (and toxic) metals of limited availability and are often highly sensitive to the presence of traces of water or oxygen in the reaction medium.

⁴⁸ B. M. Trost, H. Ito, E. R. Silcoff, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 3367–3368.

⁴⁹ B. M. Trost, L. M. Terrell, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 338–339.

⁵⁰ For reviews on bifunctional metal complexes see: a) C. Nájera, J. M. Sansano, J. M. Saá, *Eur. J. Chem.* **2009**, 2385–2400. b) T. Ikariya, I. D. Gridnev, *Top. Catal.* **2010**, *53*, 894–901. c) B. Ramasamy, P. Ghosh, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2016**, 1448–1465.

1.2.2. Methods based on organocatalysts

With the renaissance of organocatalysis at the beginning of this millennium, new opportunities appeared for achieving the selective α -functionalization of enolizable carbonyl compounds.⁵¹ Two main ways for the activation of the enolizable carbonyl substrate have been exploited: activation via enamine formation (aminocatalysis) and activation via enolization (Brønsted base catalysis).

1.2.2.1. Activation via enamine formation (covalent)

Small primary or secondary amines can condense with carbonyl compounds, more specifically aldehydes or ketones, leading to enamines which have increased nucleophilicity and are capable of reacting with a variety of electrophilic reagents. In the classical stoichiometric procedure (see Figure 3 above), the chiral enamine is isolated and then reacted with an electrophile. The adduct thus obtained can be hydrolysed aftermaths under acidic conditions affording the desired α -substituted carbonyl compound and recovering the starting chiral amine.⁵²

In enamine mediated catalysis, the same process happens within a catalytic cycle that contains as the main steps the following (Scheme 5): i) condensation of the chiral amine catalyst and the enolizable carbonyl compound, forming an iminium-ion; ii) deprotonation of the iminium leading to an enamine intermediate; iii) the stereochemistry-determining C–C bond formation by reaction of the enamine with an electrophile; and iv) hydrolysis of the resulting iminium species to afford the desired α -functionalized carbonyl product with regeneration of the chiral amine catalyst, which can then re-enter the catalytic cycle.⁵³

⁵¹ For reviews on asymmetric organocatalysis see: a) P. I. Dalko, L. Moisan, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2004**, *43*, 5138–5175. b) H. Pellissier, *Tetrahedron.* **2007**, *63*, 9267–9331. c) A. Dondoni, A. Massi, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 4638–4660. d) H, Pellissier, *Recent Developments in Asymmetric Organocatalysis*, ACS Publishing, Cambridge **2010**. e) B. List, K. Maruoka, Science of Synthesis: Asymmetric Organocatalysis, Ed. Thieme, Stuttgart **2010**.

⁵² F. A. Carey, R. J. Sundberg, *Advanced Organic Chemistry*, Ed. Springer, New York **2007**, vol. B, 46-55.

⁵³ I. Ojima, *Catalytic Asymmetric Synthesis*, Ed. John Wiley & Sons, New York, **2010**.



Scheme 5. Catalytic cycle for enamine mediated processes. All reactions are reversible, but one-way arrows are depicted for simplicity.

As established, the rate-determining step of this process is the formation of the enamine, which implies the abstraction of an α -proton.⁵⁴ Thus, protic additives and polar solvents play a critical role accelerating the deprotonation and stabilizing ionic charges respectively.

On the other hand, the principles that govern stereochemistry during the key C– C bond forming step and so determine the configuration of the newly formed α stereocenter obey to two general models as represented in Figure 8. According to the model on the left (H-bond as control element) the hydrogen-bond donor group of the catalyst directs the approach of the electrophile towards one of the sides of the prostereogenic C_{α}, while according to the model on the right (steric control) bulky substituents of the catalyst force the approach of the electrophile to be from the side opposite to the "obese" substituent of the enamine, with the enamine adopting the *Eanti* configuration preferentially.

⁵⁴ a) K. N. Rankin, J. W. Gaud, R. J. Boyd, *J. Phis. Chem.* 2002, *106*, 5155–5159. b) F. R. Clemente, K. N. Houk, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2004, *43*, 5766–5768. For a review on mechanisms in aminocatalysis see: c) M. Nielsen, D. Worgull, T. Zweifel, B. Gschwend, S. Bertelsen, K. A. Jørgensen *Chem. Commun.* 2011, *47*, 632–649.



Figure 8.

In these models, an important assumption concerns enamine configuration. From the four possible configurations (Figure 9), in the case of aldehydes (R' = H) the *E-anti* configuration is favoured. For ketones ($R'=CH_2$ -), the *E-syn* configuration is assumed to be the most stable.⁵⁵





Following the discovery by List and Barbas in 2000^{56} of the potential of proline as a catalyst in the asymmetric intermolecular aldol reaction, the field of aminocatalysis has experienced an impressive growth,⁵⁷ and enamine mediated catalysis has demonstrated to be an especially valuable tool for the introduction of α -stereogenicity in aldehydes and ketones.

⁵⁵ a) S. Seebach, J. Golinski, *Helv. Chim. Act.* 1981, 64, 1413–1423. b) P. Dinér, A. Kjærsgaard, M. A. Lie, K. A. Jørgensen, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2008, 14, 122–127. c) D. Seebach, U. Groselj, D. M. Badine, W. B. Schweizer, A. K. Beck, *Helv. Chim. Act.* 2008, 91, 1999–2034. d) U. Groselj, D. Seebach, D. M. Badine, W. B. Schweizer, A. K. Beck, *Helv. Chim. Act.* 2009, 92, 1225–1259. e) T. Huch, D. Seebach, A. K. Beck, M. Reiher, *Helv. Chim. Act.* 2017, 100, e1700182.

⁵⁶ B. List, R. A. Lerner, C. F. Barbas III, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 2395–2396.

⁵⁷ For reviews on aminocatalysis see: a) S. Mukherjee, J. W. Yang, S. Hoffman, B. List, *Chem. Rev.* **2007**, *107*, 5471–5569. b) A. Dondoni, A. Massi, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 4638–4660. c) P. Melchiorre, M. Marigo, A. Carlone, G. Bartoli, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 6138–6171. d) M. Nielsen, D. Worgull, T. Zweifel, B. Gschwend, S. Bertelsen, K. A. Jørgensen, *Chem. Commun.* **2011**, *47*, 632–649. e) B. M. Paz, H. Jiang, K. A. Jørgensen, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2015**, *21*, 1846–1853.

Notz and List reported the first example of the enamine-mediated asymmetric formation of an α -stereogenic center in an aldol reaction using α -hydroxyacetone as the donor compound. Given the easy enolization of aliphatic aldehydes the reaction is limited to aromatic or α -branched aldehydes as acceptor components, affording the *anti*aldol products in variable yields and diastereoselectivity, but in an overall excellent enantioselectivity (Scheme 6).⁵⁸



Scheme 6.

List⁵⁹ and Maruoka⁶⁰ extended the method to the addition of cyclic ketones to aldehydes and α -ketoesters, respectively, with good results. List et al. were also the first to report the proline-catalyzed Michael⁶¹ reaction yielding products with a stereogenic center in C_{α}, albeit the enantioselectivity obtained was very low (Scheme 7).





Whereas these first examples demanded the use of a large excess of donor ketone compound, high catalyst loadings and prolonged reaction times, they demonstrated the true potential of aminocatalysis, and therefore a fast-paced era of proline-catalyzed reaction exploration began. In few years, a big amount of relevant proline-catalyzed procedures for the asymmetric reactions of ketones and aldehydes were disclosed.⁶²

⁵⁸ W. Notz, B. List, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2000**, 122, 7386–7387.

⁵⁹ B. List, P. Pojarliev, C. Castello, Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 573–575.

⁶⁰ O. Tokuda, T. Kano, W.-G. Gao, T. Ikemoto, K. Maruoka, Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 5103–5105.

⁶¹ B. List, P. Pojarliev, H. J. Martin, Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 2423–2425.

⁶² For reviews on proline-catalyzed reactions see: a) S. S. Mukherjee, J. W. Yang, S. Hoffmann, B. List, *Chem. Rev.* **2007**, *107*, 5471–5568. b) H. Kotsuki, H. Ikishima, A. Okuyama, *Heterocycles* **2008**, *75*,
Meanwhile, in an attempt to overcome the limitations encountered when using proline, new and more efficient catalysts started to emerge, and the first approaches were mainly centered in the modification of the proline structure. The substitution of the carboxylic acid of proline for other functional groups resulted in catalysts with improved solubility in organic solvents and easier structural fine tune to better adapt to each particular substrate, thus improving the reactivity and the stereocontrol. Some of the most representative proline-based catalyst families used in the asymmetric α -functionalization of aldehydes and ketones are shown in Figure 10.⁶³ Of these catalysts, prolinol silyl ethers (Figure 10, **F**) have demonstrated the most versatile and general, catalysing a broad array of asymmetric reactions via either enamine or iminium activation.⁶⁴





Naturally available primary amines and their derivatives have also been used, mainly for asymmetric reactions involving ketones as donors.⁶⁵ For example, Córdova

⁶⁴ For reviews on α,α-diarylprolinol silyl ether catalysts see: a) A. Mielgo, C. Palomo, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2006, 45, 7876–7880. b) A. Mielgo, C. Palomo, *Chem. Asian J.* 2008, *3*, 922–948. c) K. Jensen, G. Dickmeiss, H. Jiang, L. Albrecht, K. A. Jørgensen, *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2012, 45, 248–264. d) B. Donslud, T. K. Johansen, P. H. Pernille, K. S. Halskov, K. A. Jørgensen, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2015, *54*, 13860–13874. e) L. Klier, F. Tur, P. H. Pernille, K. A. Jørgensen, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2017, *46*, 1080–1102.

^{493–529.} c) H. Kotsuki, H. Ikishima, A. Okuyama, *Heterocycles* **2008**, *75*, 757–797. c) S. K. Panday, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2011**, *22*, 1817–1847.

⁶³ For a recent review on proline-based secondary amine catalysts see: J. Liu, W. Lei, *Synthesis* **2017**, *49*, 960–972.

⁶⁵ For reviews on primary amine catalysts see: a) Y.-C. Chen, *Synlett* **2008**, 1919–1930. b) P. Melchiorre, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 9748–9770. c) L. Zhang, N. Fu, S. Luo, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2015**, *48*, 986–997.

et al. used a primary amine catalyst derived from the natural amino acid L-alanine for the Michael addition of cyclic ketones to aromatic nitroalkenes (Scheme 8).⁶⁶





De novo design secondary cyclic amines have also been demonstrated useful as aminocatalysts for activation via enamine formation. In particular, Maruoka et al. developed binaphtyl derived amine catalysts for the *anti*-Mannich and *syn*-aldol reactions, contributing to fill an important gap in asymmetric synthesis.⁶⁷ The same group later extended the utility of these catalysts to the Michael addition using aldehydes as donors.⁶⁸



Figure 11.

To sum up, impressive progress has been done during the last two decades in the development of enamine-based asymmetric α -functionalization of carbonyl compounds. However, some recalcitrant problems regarding substrate scope, regio- and stereocontrol as well as some practical issues still remain. Indeed, the procedures

⁶⁶ Y. Xu, A. Córdova, *Chem. Commun.* **2006**, *42*, 460–462.

⁶⁷ a) T. Kano, Y. Yamaguchi, O. Tokuda, K. Maruoka, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 16408–16409. b) T. Kano, Y. Yamaguchi, Y. Tanaka, K. Maruoka, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 1738–1740. For reviews on these catalysts see: c) T. Kano, K. Maruoka, Chem. Commun. 2008, 44, 5465–5473. d) T. Kano, K. Maruoka, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 2010, 83, 1421–1438.

⁶⁸ a) T. Kano, H. Sugimoto, O. Tokuda, K. Maruoka, *Chem. Commun.* 2013, 49, 7028–7030. b) T. Kano, H. Maruyama, R. Sakamoto, K. Maruoka, *Chem. Commun.* 2015, *51*, 10062–10065. c) T. Kano, H. Sugimoto, H. Maruyama, K. Maruoka, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2015, *54*, 8462–8465. d) S. B. J. Kan, H. Maruyama, M. Akakura, T. Kano, K. Maruoka, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2017, *56*, 9487–9491.

described so far are limited to aldehyde and ketone donors, and the use of sterically hindered ketones still remains challenging. Furthermore, unsymmetrical ketones have been scarcely used due to the difficulties in controlling the regiochemistry of the reaction and low diastereomeric ratios are obtained in many examples where two stereogenic centers are formed.

On the one hand, chemoselectivity is still an issue in cross aldol reactions involving aldehydes: these reactions may lead to two homoaldol and two cross-aldol products, and so use of large excesses of one of the components is usually required in order to obtain good yields and selectivity. On the other hand, sterically hindered carbonyl compounds have been scarcely used in enamine catalysis, due to their lower tendency towards formation of enamines.

1.2.2.2. Activation via base-promoted enolization (noncovalent)

The α -deprotonation of enolizable carbonyl compounds by action of a base to yield the corresponding enolate is one of the most elemental mechanisms for carbonyl compound activation.⁶⁹ Upon the subsequent reaction of the enolate with a suitable electrophile the base might get restored again, allowing to re-enter the activation pathway and render the process catalytic. Accordingly, a general catalytic cycle as that shown in Figure 12 could be invoked in which proton transfer events occur repeatedly.



Figure 12.

⁶⁹ For further information on Brønsted base-catalyzed α-functionalization of carbonyls see: a) C. Palomo, M. Oiarbide, R. López, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2009**, *38*, 632–653. b) I. Ojima, *Catalytic Asymmetric Synthesis*, Ed. John Wiley & Sons, New York, **2010**. c) A. Ting, J. M. Gross, N. T. McDougal, S. E. Schaus, *Top. Curr. Chem.* **2010**, *291*, 145–200. d) K. Maruoka, *Asymmetric Organocatalysis 2, Brønsted Base and Acid Catalysis, and Additional Topics: Science of Synthesis*; Ed. Thieme, Stuttgart, **2012**.

In such a process, the chirality transfer from the base (catalyst) to the product takes place during the key C_{α} -E bond forming reaction and implies information transfer throughout a non-covalent substrate-catalyst ion-pairing complex. This constitutes a significant difference if compared with enamine catalysis described above, where a substrate-catalyst covalent complex is formed. The intrinsic nondirectional nature of electrostatic interactions in ion-pairing complexes makes predicting the sense of the stereoinduction exerted from the catalyst difficult. In this sense, molecules that combine a site acting as a base and another site with hydrogen-bond donor ability, namely bifunctional Brønsted base/H-bond donor catalysts,⁷⁰ can anchor both nucleophilic and electrophilic components in the transition state. As a result, more active catalysts are obtained, and a higher degree of stereochemical order is achieved in the transition state (Figure 13).



Figure 13.

Different nitrogen-containing functionalities have been employed for the design of chiral BB catalysts. Among them, tertiary amines are the most prominent, but also guanidines, amidines, and imidazoles are used (Figure 14).





The selection of the chiral basic unit is highly dependent on the availability of the corresponding optically pure precursors from the chiral pool. In this context, alkaloids and in particular cinchona family are a straightforward source of enantiopure BB catalyst candidates (Figure 15). Furthermore, simple chemical modifications of the

⁷⁰ For further information on the concept of bifunctional organocatalysts see: L.-Q. Lu, X.-L. An, J.-R. Chen, W.-J. Xiao, *Synlett* **2012**, 23, 490–508.

parent alkaloid structure provide a rapid access to stereochemically related architectures. Thus, these cinchona and cinchona-derived catalysts were the earliest bifunctional BB catalysts applied to the α -functionalization of easily enolizable carbonyl compounds, usually bearing an EWG at the α -carbon.⁷¹





Wynberg and coworkers, in the 70's were pioneers in this field and recognized the activation and stereoinduction capacity of cinchona alkaloid-derived catalysts, mainly for the Michael-type reactions. Although they obtained remarkable results for some transformations, such as the conjugate addition of thiols,⁷² poor enantioselectivities were reported for the conjugate addition of enolizable C-nucleophiles (Scheme 9).⁷³



Scheme 9.

⁷¹ For reviews on cinchona alkaloids in asymmetric organocatalysis see: a) T. Marcelli, J. H. van Maarseveen, H. Hiemstra, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2006**, 45, 7496–7504. b) T. Marcelli, H. Hiemstra, *Synthesis* **2010**, 1229–1279. c) E. M.O. Yeboah, S. O. Yeboah, G. S. Singh, *Tetrahedron*. **2011**, 1725–1762. d) L. A. Bryant, R. Fanelli, A. J. A. Cobb, *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.* **2016**, *12*, 429–443.

⁷² a) H. Hiemstra, H. Wynberg, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1981**, 103, 417–430. b) H. Hiemstra, H. Wynberg, E:
G. J. Staring, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1982**, 104, 168–173.

⁷³ a) H. Wynberg, R. Helder, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1975**, *16*, 4057–4058. b) K. Hermann, H. Wynberg, *J. Org. Chem.* **1979**, *44*, 2238–2244.

Some years later, Szöllösi⁷⁴ tested several cinchona alkaloid derivatives for the conjugate addition of different β -dicarbonyl compounds to methyl vinyl ketone, obtaining substantially better results (*ee* up to 83%, Scheme 10).



Scheme 10.

In a series of papers published from 2004 to 2006, Deng and coworkers⁷⁵ studied the bifunctional BB-catalyzed conjugate addition of easily enolizable carbonyl compounds quite extensively employing a broad spectrum of Michael acceptors and bifunctional cupreine and derived catalysts (Scheme 11). Excellent yields and diatereoand enantioselectivities were obtained and they demonstrated the critical role of the 6'-OH group of cupreine as a H-bond donor site, as both catalyst activity and enantioselectivity vary drastically when moving from cupreine to quinidine.^{75a}





⁷⁴ G. Szöllösi, M. Bartók, *Chirality* **2001**, *13*, 614–618.

⁷⁵ a) H. Li, Y. Wang, L. Tang, L. Deng, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 9906–9907. b) H. Li, Y. Wang, L. Tang, F. Wu, X. Liu, C. Guo, B. M. Foxman, L. Deng, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 105–108. c) H. Li, J. Song, X. Liu, L. Deng, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 8948–8949. d) F. Wu, H. Li, R. Hong, L. Deng, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 947–950. e) F. Wu, R. Hong, J. Khan, X. Liu, L. Deng, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 4301–4305.

The use of α -chloroacrylonitrile as Michael acceptor by the same group⁷⁶ is worth of mention. In this reaction two nonadjacent stereogenic centers are formed in a domino process with overall excellent results (Scheme 12). According to the authors, the cinchona catalyst first directs the addition of the nucleophile and then performs a stereoselective protonation of the resulting intermediate.





The α -amination of carbonyl compounds represents another reaction category for which BB-catalysis has been successfully applied. Pikho and coworkers⁷⁷ reported the first example with β -ketoesters using dibenzyl azodicarboxylate as the electrophile and cinchonine or cinchonidine as the catalyst, although enantioselectivity could not be higher than 88% *ee*. Almost at the same time, the group of Jørgensen⁷⁸ achieved the highly enantioselective α -amination reacting α -aryl- α -cyanoacetates with di-*tert*-butyl azodicarboxylate in the presence of a cinchona-alkaloid derivative. However, they obtained slightly lower selectivities when using β -diketones and β -ketoesters as pronucleophiles (Scheme 13a). Later Deng and coworkers⁷⁹ also used these cupreinederived catalysts for the same reaction obtainig comparable results (Scheme 13b).

⁷⁶ Y. Wang, X. Liu, L. Deng, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 3928–3930.

⁷⁷ P. M. Pikho, A. Pohjakallio, *Synlett* **2004**, 2115–2118.

⁷⁸ S. Saaby, M. Bella, K. A. Jørgensen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2004**, 126, 8120–8121.

⁷⁹ a) X. Liu, H. Li, L. Deng, *Org. Lett.* **2005**, *7*, 167–169. b) X. Liu, B. Sun, L. Deng, *Synlett* **2009**, 1685–1689.



Scheme 13.

The quest for new and efficient bifunctional catalysts headed to the design and synthesis of enantiomerically pure amine derivatives bearing a range of H-bond donor sites. The most successful strategies to date merge a readily available chiral amine and an efficient H-bond donor group, such as a urea, thiourea, squaramide or sulphonamide (Figure 16). These modifications have led to increasingly active and selective bifunctional BB catalysts.



Figure 16.

In 2003, Takemoto et al.⁸⁰ presented the first highly enantioselective catalyst based on these principles, a thiourea derived from 1,2-diaminocyclohexane, for the Michael addition of malonates to nitroalkenes. The same group later used the catalyst for the Michael and Mannich reactions of cyclic β -ketoesters generating adducts which

⁸⁰ T. Okino, Y. Hoashi, Y. Takemoto, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 12672–12673.

bear a tertiary or quaternary stereocenter at C_{α} (Scheme 14).⁸¹ According to the authors, the catalyst activates the nucleophile through the amino group while the thiourea moiety activates the electrophile, forcing both components to approach in a stereospecific manner.⁸²





Other thiourea catalysts derived from diamines with C₂-symmetry were also developed for the asymmetric α-functionalization of carbonyl compounds,⁸³ but because of the availability and versatility of cinchona-alkaloids, the thiourea-cinchona alkaloid catalysts soon became the most popular bifunctional catalysts in the area.⁸⁴ For instance, between 2005 and 2006 Connon,⁸⁵ Deng,⁸⁶ Dixon,⁸⁷ Soós⁸⁸ and Wang⁸⁹ independently

⁸¹ a) T. Okino, Y. Hoashi, T. Furakawa, X. Xu, Y. Takemoto, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 119–125. b)
Y. Yamaoka, H. Miyabe, Y. Yasui, Y. Takemoto, Synthesis 2007, 2571–2575.

⁸² a) Ref. 81a. Later Pápai and Zhong proposed that the nucleophile coordinates to the NH-bonds of the thiourea moiety and the nitrostyrene is activated by the protonated tertiary amine based on DFT and 1H-NMR studies: b) A. Hamza, G. Schubert, T. Soós, I. Pápai, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2006, *128*, 13151–13160.
c) B. Tan, Y. Lu, X. Zeng, P. J. Chua, G. Zhong, *Org. Lett.* 2010, 2682–2685.

⁸³ For a review on thiourea catalysts derived from diamines with C₂-symmetry see: Y. Takemoto, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **2010**, *58*, 593–601.

⁸⁴ For reviews on cinchona-based thiourea organocatalysts see: a) S. J. Connon, *Chem. Commun.* 2008, 44, 2499–2510. b) Y. Xi, X. Shi, *Chem. Commun.* 2013, 49, 8583–8585.

⁸⁵ A. H. McCooney, S. J. Connon, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 6367–6370, Michael reaction.

⁸⁶ a) J. Song, Y. Wang, L. Deng, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 6084–6085, Mannich reaction. b) Y.-Q. Wang, J. Song, R. Hong, H. Li, L. Deng, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 8156–8157, Friedel-Crafts reaction.

⁸⁷ A. L. Tillman, J. Ye, D. Dixon, *Chem Commun.* **2006**, *42*, 1191–1193, Mannich reaction.

⁸⁸ B. Vakulya, S. Varga, A. Csámpai, T. Soós, Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 1967–1969, Michael reaction.

reported first thiourea-cinchona alkaloid catalysts for reactions involving soft carbon nucleophiles, as summarised in Table 1.

Donor	Acceptor	Catalyst	Yield (%) dr <i>ee</i> (%)	Author, Ref.
MeO OMe	R = aryl, alkyl	CF ₃ F ₃ C N (2–5 mol%) MeO	88–95% >86% ee	Connon, 85 (2005)
$R^{1}O$ EWG R^{1} = Me, Bn, allyl EWG = ketone, ester	$R^{2} = aryl, alkyl$	CF ₃ F ₃ C N N N (20 mol%) MeO	>64% <3:1 dr >89% ee	Deng, 86 (2006)
MeO OMe	NR H Ar R = Boc, Cbz	CF ₃ F ₃ C N N N (10 mol%) MeO	>81% >16:1 dr 84–97% ee	Dixon, 87 (2006)
MeNO ₂	Ar Ar	CF ₃ F ₃ C N (10 mol%) MeO	8094% 89–98% <i>ee</i>	Sóos, 88 (2005)
EWG ¹ EWG ² EWG = ester, ketone CN, NO ₂	Ar R R = aryl, Me	CF ₃ F ₃ C N H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H	>61% <1.5:1 dr >95–98% ee	Wang, 89 (2006)

 Table 1. Pioneering thiourea-cinchona alkaloid-catalyzed reactions

⁸⁹ J. Wang, H. Li, L. Zu, W. Jiang, H. Xie, W. Duan, W. Wang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 12652–12653, Michael reaction.

In 2008, Song and coworkers⁹⁰ introduced a bifunctional cinchona alkaloidsulphonamide catalyst, for the desymmetrization of cyclic anhydrides. However, catalysts bearing a sulphonamide group have been scarcely used in the asymmetric α functionzalization of enolizable compounds, and so far they have been limited to the Michael reaction with nitroolefins.⁹¹ Lu et al.^{91a} reported the asymmetric α functionzalization of cyclic β -ketoesters derived from 1-indanone using this kind of catalyst (Scheme 15). Adducts were obtained in excellent yield and enantioselectivity and good diastereoselectivity, although *ee*'s were eroded when varying the rig-size or removing the fused aromatic ring.



Scheme 15.

The same year, Rawal and coworkers⁹² introduced the squaramide function as efficient double H-bond donor site in asymmetric catalysis. Both (thio)urea and squaramides are structurally rigid, although there are some differences. On the one hand, squaramides contain two hydrogen-bond donors (*N*–*H*) and two carbonyl acceptors (*C*=*O*), showing one more acceptor than thioureas. On the other hand, the cyclobutenedione ring induces a convergent orientation of the *N*–*H* groups, and the distance between them is estimated to be bigger (2.71 Å)⁹² than in the case of thioureas (2.13 Å) (Figure 17a).⁹³ Both functionalities have the possibility of delocalizing the nitrogen lone pair through the carbon-heteroatom double bond, but in the case of squaramides further delocalization can occur through the cyclobutenedione system

⁹⁰ S. H. Oh, H. S. Rho, J. w. Lee, S. H. Youk, J. Chin, C. E. Song, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 7872–7875.

⁹¹ a) J. Luo, L.-W. Xu, R. A. S. Hay, Y. Lu, *Org. Lett.* 2009, *11*, 437–440. b) H. Y. Bae, S. Some, J. S. Oh, Y. S. Lee, C. E. Song, *Chem. Commun.* 2011, 9621–9623. c) C. Reiter, S. López-Molina, B. Schmid, C. Neiss, A. Görling, S. B. Tsogoeva, *ChemCatChem.* 2014, *6*, 1324–1332.

⁹² J. P. Malerich, K. Hagihara, V. H. Rawal, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 14416–14417.

⁹³ T. Okino, Y. Hoashi, T. Fukurawa, X. N. Xu, Y. Takemoto, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 119–125.

(Figure 17b),⁹⁴ thus making the N-H acidity of the squaramide catalysts higher compared to the thiourea analogs.⁹⁵ Accordingly, squaramides can form stronger hydrogen bonds, which may account for their comparatively higher activity, even at relatively low catalyst loadings.



Figure 17.

Cinchonine derivatives bearing a squaramide group proved to be good promoters for the Michael addition of β -ketoesters and β -diketones to β -arylnitroalkenes (Scheme 16).⁹² The corresponding adducts were obtained in excellent yield and enantioselectivity, but the most remarkable aspect of this reaction is the low catalyst loading (as low as 0.1 mol%) needed for effective stereocontrol.



Scheme 16.

⁹⁴ S. Tomàs, R. Prohens, M. Vega, M. C. Rotger, P. M. Deyá, A. Costa, J. Org. Chem. **1996**, 61, 9394–9401.

⁹⁵ X. Ni, X. Li, Z. Wang, J. P. Cheng, Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 1786–1789.

Encouraged by this unusual activity, in the following years many new squaramide catalysts were employed in different reactions,⁹⁶ with special success in domino and tandem processes.⁹⁷

On the other hand, Wang et al. developed a different kind of bifunctional organocatalyst based on bifunctional amine-thioureas bearing three hydrogen-bond donor sites. The undelaying idea is that one more interaction site would lead to the formation of additional hydrogen bonds, thus improving the efficiency of the catalyst.⁹⁸ This group demonstrated the utility of these catalysts for the Michael reaction of β -ketoesters and β -diketones with nitroalkenes, obtaining the corresponding adducts in excellent yield, diastereo- and enantioselectivity (Scheme 17).⁹⁹



Scheme 17.

Shortly before beginning this Thesis work, our research group introduced a new type of bifunctional BB catalyst based on the presence of a ureidopeptide unit as the H-bond donor site.¹⁰⁰ These catalysts were demonstrated to be very efficient in the Michael addition to nitroolefins and the amination of 5*H*-thiazol-4-ones (Scheme 18). These catalysts, which offer three independently modifiable parts, outperformed cinchona alkaloids and the Takemoto catalyst. It is worth noting that this was the first time 5*H*-thiazol-4-ones were used in asymmetric synthesis.

⁹⁶ For reviews on squaramide-based catalysts see: a) J. Alemán, H. Jiang, K. A. Jørgensen, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2011**, *17*, 6890–6899. b) X. Han, H.-B. Zhou, C. Dong, *Chem. Rec.* **2016**, *16*, 897–906. c) B.-L. Zhao, J.-H. Li, S.-M. Du, *Chem. Rec.* **2017**, *17*, 994–1018.

⁹⁷ For a review on squaramide-catalyzed domino and tandem reactions see: P. Chauhan, S. Mahajan, U. Kaya, D. Hack, D. Enders, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2015**, *357*, 254–281.

⁹⁸ For a review on these catalysts see: X. Fang, C.-J. Wang, *Chem. Commun.* **2015**, *51*, 1185–1197.

⁹⁹ Z.-H. Zhang, X.-Q. Dong, C.-J. Wang, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2008**, *14*, 8780–8783.

¹⁰⁰ a) S. Diosdado, J. Etxabe, J. Izquierdo, A. Landa, A. Mielgo, I. Olaizaola, R. López, C. Palomo, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 11846–11851. For further utility of these catalysts see: Mannich reaction: b) S. Diosdado, R. López, C. Palomo, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2014**, *20*, 6526–6531. c) I. Bastida, M. San Segundo, R. López, C. Palomo, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2017**, *23*, 13332–13336. Aldol reaction: d) I. Lapuerta, S. Vera, M. Oiarbide, C. Palomo, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2016**, *22*, 7229–7237. e) H. Etxabe, R. López, C. Palomo, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 3364–3368.





On the other hand, guanidines represent another class of BB-catalysts. Guanidines can be categorized as organic superbases, owing to the stability of their conjugated acids (Figure 18), and therefore they are capable of catalysing various base-mediated organic reactions.¹⁰¹ Another feature of guanidines is that the bidentate group may activate both the nucleophile and the electrophile concomitantly (Figure 18).¹⁰²





¹⁰¹ For further information on guanidine-catalyzed reactions see: a) T. Ishikawa, T. Kumamoto, *Synthesis* **2006**, 737–725. b) D. Leow, C.-H. Tan, *Chem. Asian J.* **2009**, *4*, 488–507. c) D. Mailhol, M. M. Coquerel, J. Rodriguez, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2012**, *354*, 3523–3532.

¹⁰² P. I. Dalko, *Comprehensive Enantioselective Organocatalysis: Catalysts, Reactions, and Applications*;
Ed. Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co., **2013**.

In 2001, Ishikawa and coworkers¹⁰³ reported the first highly effective BB catalyzed Michael reaction using a 1,2-diphenylethylenediamine-derived chiral guanidine. The Michel reaction under neat conditions between glycine imine and several different acceptors afforded up to 97% *ee*, but a big excess of the acceptor was required in order to obtain a good yield (Scheme 19).





The bicyclic C₂-symmetric chiral guanidine introduced by the group of Corey¹⁰⁴ in 1999 is one of the most popular guanidine catalysts. In 2007, Tan and Jiang¹⁰⁵ reported the Michael reaction between different Michael acceptors and active methylene compounds to afford excellent yield and *ee* values, but zero diastereoselectivity, presumably due to subsequent epimerization of the α -carbon (Scheme 20).



Scheme 20.

To conclude, although BB catalysis has been successfully employed in the α functionalization of an array of enolizable carbonyl compounds, most of the methods are restricted to easily enolizable nucleophiles typically bearing an EWG at the α position like malonates, cyanoacetates, β -ketoesters, etc. In addition, most asymmetric

 ¹⁰³ T. Ishikawa, Y. Araki, T. Kumamoto, H. Seki, K. Fukuda, T. Isobe, *Chem. Commun.* 2001, 245–246.
 ¹⁰⁴ E. J. Corey, M. J. Grogan, *Org. Lett.* 1999, *1*, 157–160.

¹⁰⁵ a) W. Ye, Z. Jiang, Y. Zhao, S. L. M. Goh, D. Leow, Y.-T. Soh, C.-H. Tan, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 2007, 349, 2452–2458. For further examples by the same group see: b) L. Huang, J. Li, Y. Zhao, X. Ye, Y. Liu, L. Yan, C.-H. Tan, H. Liu, Z. Jiang, *J. Org. Chem.* 2015, 80, 8933–8941 and references herein.

procedures reported to date lead to the formation of a single stereogenic center or provide two consecutive new stereogenic centers with variable diastereoselectivity. On the other hand, among the Michael acceptors used so far, α , β -unsaturated carboxylic acid equivalents (enoates) remain little explored.

1.3. Limitations and general objectives

As can be seen in the previous sections, procedures for the direct asymmetric α -functionalization of carbonyl compounds still have some important limitations regarding the scope of nucleophiles and electrophiles suitable for attaining high reactivity and selectivity.

On the one hand, the enamine mediated cross-aldol reactions between two enolizable compounds remain troublesome due to the potential formation of up to four distinct aldol products, especially when using aldehydes as nucleophiles (Figure 19a). On the other hand, the use of α , β -unsaturated carbonyl compounds as electrophiles in asymmetric C–C bond forming catalysis has been little explored, in part owing to competitive 1,2- versus 1,4-addition pathways (Figure 19b).





These and other yet problematic issues, such as the creation of quaternary stereocenters or two adjacent stereocenters in an enatio- and diastereoslective manner, have been addressed in the present investigation. More specifically, we hypothesized that the use of propargylic carbonyl compounds as acceptors might provide a solution to the problematic cross-aldol reaction with enolizable aldehydes. As will be outlined later, these aldehydes have not been previously employed as acceptors in direct aldol reactions. The total or partial reduction of the triple C=C bond in adducts should afford products that are formally derived from a troublesome cross-aldol reaction. Two

additional characteristics of this realization would be: i) the simultaneous formation of two contiguous stereogenic centers; and ii) the production of densely functionalized building-blocks, appropriate for ulterior application in the synthesis of structurally complex molecules.



Figure 20.

At the outset, this plan faced several challenges:

- Selective activation of the propargyl aldehyde as electrophile
- Suppression of the homodimerization of the enolizable aldehyde
- Control of the 1,2- vs 1,4- addition to ynones
- Control of the diastereo- and enantioselectivity

In a second part, we focused on some problems inherent to BB-catalyzed α -functionalization of carbonyl compounds. In particular, one limitation of the existing methods, as mentioned before, is the need for an additional EWG being attached to the α -carbon, which makes the α -carbon more acidic (13–18 p K_a range)¹⁰⁶ and therefore suitable for enolization by common organic bases (Table 2). In contrast, unactivated carbonyl compounds display higher p K_a values and thus are more challenging substrates for activation by Brønsted base catalysis.

¹⁰⁶ For a webpage of Bordwell pKa Table (acidities in DMSO) of different compounds, see: http://www.chem.wisc.edu/areas/reich/pkatable/index.htm.

Carbonula -	p <i>K</i> _a		Pagas	pK_a of conjugated acid	
Carbonyis –	R = H	R = Ph Bases			
O R	26.5	19.8		8.9	
O Ph R	24.7	17.7	TEA	9.0	
Me ₂ N R	35	25.9		9.8	
o tBuO R	30.3	23.6		12.0	
O PhS R	-	16.9	H ₂ N NH H ₂ N NH ₂	13.6	

Table 2. pK_a values in DMSO for representative carbonyl compounds and organic BB.¹⁰⁶

On the other hand, the regioselective α -functionalization of ketones with two enolizable positions (α vs α '), and the stereoselective conjugate additions to enoates or equivalents also remain problematic.



Figure 21.

In this context, our proposal was the use of α '-hydroxy enones in asymmetric organocatalysis for the first time. We hypothesized that chiral bifunctional BB catalysts might be able to trigger the Michael addition of pronucleophiles like 5*H*-oxazol-4-ones and cyanoacetates to these electrophiles, allowing the generation of chiral tertiary alcohols and all-carbon tetrasubstituted stereogenic centers, respectively.



Scheme 21.

A third problem we addressed during this Thesis concerns the regioselective α -functionalization of enolizable ketones. Following the work by Seebach¹⁰⁷ and d'Angelo¹⁰⁸ using enamine chemistry, we decided to take β -tetralones as a case study for achieving their regio- and stereoselective functionalization with the help of chiral bifunctional BB catalysts. The idea was that the fused aromatic ring in the molecule would induce preferential enolization at C_{α}, thus directing the functionalization towards that position.

Seebach (stoichiometric auxiliary)



d'Angelo (stoichiometric auxiliary)





Candidate electrophiles = $R' \sim NO_2$, $Boc \sim N \sim N \sim N \sim N$



In this particular development, several problems were apparent at the outset: i) control of the α/α' selectivity, ii) suppression of the double addition (over addition products), and iii) control of diastereo- and enantioselectivity.

As another relevant situation where regioselectivity is an issue, we also decided to investigate the BB-catalyzed functionalization of alkenyl ketones. Here a vinylogous enolate would be formed and so there is a double selectivity problem: α vs α ' vs γ . With these types of substrates, enamine-mediated catalysis usually provides the γ -addition adducts preferentially. We reasoned that bifunctional Brønsted base/H-bond catalysis might direct the approach of the electrophile through the α -position.

¹⁰⁷ a) S. J. Blarer, W. D. Seebach, *Chem. Ber.* **1982**, *116*, 3086–3096.

¹⁰⁸ a) T. Volpe, G. Revial, M. Pfau, J. d'Angelo, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1987, 28, 2367–2370. b) J. d'Angelo, G. Revial, T. Volpe, M. Pfau, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1988, 29, 4427–4430.







In the last part of my Doctoral research period, a short stay was carried out under the supervision of Prof. Jonathan Clayden in the School of Chemistry of the University of Bristol in the United Kingdom. The research project there was focused on the preparation of arogenate derivatives starting from the natural amino acid tyrosine. Arogenate derivatives are potential candidates for application as selective herbicides, and the development of an efficient and reproducible synthetic route to arogenate involving stable and modifiable intermediates is of current interest.



Scheme 24.

Chapter 2:

Enamine-mediated aldol reactions of aldehydes with propargylic aldehydes and ketones

2. Enamine-mediated aldol reactions of aldehydes with propargylic aldehydes and ketones

2.1. Precedents and objectives 5	51
2.2. Enamine-mediated aldol reaction of ω -unsaturated aldehydes with propargylic	
aldehydes	;9
2.2.1. Initial experiments and reaction optimization	;9
2.2.2. Reaction scope	52
2.2.3. Elaboration of the adducts: Pauson-Khand reaction	53
2.2.4. Reaction stereochemistry	57
2.3. Enamine-mediated cross aldol reaction of aldehydes with propargylic	
α-ketoesters6	58
α-ketoesters	58 58
 α-ketoesters	58 58 '1
 α-ketoesters	58 58 71 2
 α-ketoesters	58 58 71 72 73
 α-ketoesters	58 58 71 72 73
α-ketoesters62.3.1. Initial experiments and reaction optimization62.3.2. Reaction scope72.3.3. Elaboration of adducts72.3.3.1. Reduction of adducts 14Aa and 14Ca72.3.3.2. Pauson-Khand reaction72.3.4. Reaction stereochemistry7	58 58 71 72 73 73

Enamine-mediated aldol reaction of aldehydes with propargylic aldehydes and ketones

2.1.Precedents and objectives

Propargylic carbonyl compounds are attractive acceptors in C–C bond forming reactions, not only because the acetylenic system in the resulting adduct is an interesting scaffold for synthetic purposes, but also because they might be viewed as surrogates of simple enolizable aldehydes and α,β -enals given the ease with which the alkyne moiety may be easily transformed (upon total or partial reduction of the triple bond) into the corresponding alkyl and alkenyl units, respectively.

Despite the aldol reaction is one of the most extensively studied C–C bond forming transformations, at the beginning of this project there were only three examples of asymmetric catalytic direct aldol reactions involving propargylic aldehydes. The first two examples, reported by the groups of Mahrwald¹⁰⁹ and Shair,¹¹⁰ were based on the use of metal complexes. Mahrwald used a titanium-BINOL complex for the asymmetric addition of a symmetric linear ketone to propargylic aldehyde **1a** (Scheme 1a), obtaining the corresponding aldol adduct in good yield, low *syn/anti* selectivity and good enantioselectivity. On the other hand, Shair et al. developed a Cu-catalyzed decarboxylative aldol addition of a malonic acid half thioester to propargylic aldehyde **1b** (Scheme 1b), which yielded the aldol reaction product in moderate yield, low diastereoselectivity and excellent enantioselectivity.

¹⁰⁹ a) R. Mahrwald, Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 4011–4012. b) R. Mahrwald, B. Ziemer, Tetrahedron Lett. 2002, 43, 4459–4461. c) R. Mahrwald, B. Schetter, Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 281–284. d) B. Schetter, B. Ziemer, G. Schnakenburg, R. Mahrwald, J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 813–819.

¹¹⁰ D. Magdziak, G. Lalic, H. M. Lee, K. C. Fortner, A. D. Aloise, M. D. Shair, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 7284–7285.





Li et al.¹¹¹ reported in 2003 the third and only organocatalytic example described before the beginning of this project (Scheme 1c). The L-proline catalyzed reaction of TBDMS-protected hydroxyacetone with a propargylic aldehyde afforded a mixture of aldol adducts with low selectivity.

While our investigation was in progress, Ricci, Quignard et al.¹¹² published the aldol reaction of cyclohexanone with different aldehydes, including propargylic

¹¹¹ H. Liu, L. Peng, T. Zhang, Y. Li, New J. Chem. 2003, 27, 1159–1160.

¹¹² C. Gioia, A. Ricci, L. Bernardi, K. Bourahla, N. Tanchoux, M. Robitzer, F. Quignard, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2013**, 588–594.



aldehydes, catalyzed by a chitosan aerogel. When phenylpropargyl aldehyde 1a was employed, very low diastereoselectivity and moderate *ee* were obtained (Scheme 2).



The control of chemoselectivity is especially troublesome in aldol reactions involving linear aldehydes as donor components, given the likelihood to have competitive undesired self-aldol processes. To our knowledge, the sole previous example of catalytic asymmetric aldol reaction using enolizable aldehydes as donor components was reported by Denmark and Ghosh¹¹³ and involves trichlorosilyl enol ethers derived from aldehydes as nucleophiles and a phosphoramide catalyst (Scheme 3). Although cross-aldol products were obtained in excellent yield and diastereoselectivity, the enantioselectivity turned out to be of 76% ee at best.



7% ee (syn), 76% ee (anti)

Scheme 3.

As to the use of propargylic ketones as electrophiles in asymmetric catalytic aldol reaction, the only example to date has been reported by Maruoka et al.,¹¹⁴ who during the progress of this project documented the aldol reaction of symmetric ketones

¹¹³ S. E. Denmark, S. K. Ghosh, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 4759–4762.

¹¹⁴ S. A. Moteki, J. Han, S. Arimitsu, M. Akakura, K. Nakayama, K. Maruoka, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **2012**, *51*, 1187–1190.

with a single propargylic α -ketoester **2a** employing a chiral primary amine catalyst. The corresponding adducts were obtained selectively with the C_{α}-alkyl and the C_{β}-OH groups in the *syn*- relative position in excellent yield and enantioselectivity. An interesting aspect of this development is that the enantioselectivity could be inverted by addition of an achiral Brønsted acid (Scheme 4).



Scheme 4.

In this same context, I. Otazo and I. Lapuerta during their Thesis work and S. Vera, in our group reported a general procedure for the asymmetric direct Mannich reaction between aldehydes and unactivated imines,¹¹⁵ including propargylimines (Scheme 5), promoted by certain silylprolinol ether catalysts.¹¹⁶ These catalysts, exemplified by **C1**, bear two geminal α,α -alkyl groups instead of the gem- α,α -diaryl groups present in the commonly employed α,α -diaryl prolinol ethers (Jørgensen and Hayashi catalysts). During this development, it was observed that while these catalysts conducted the Mannich reaction with high efficiency and selectivity in the presence of a Brønsted acid cocatalyst (i.e. *p*NBA), no appreciable amounts of self-aldol product was formed at the low reaction temperatures utilized (~ -60 °C). This result was explained assuming that the imine component would be selectively activated by the added Brønsted acid (protonated imine) even at low temperature, thus skipping the problem of aldehyde self-condensation.

¹¹⁵ E. Gómez-Bengoa, S. Jiménez, I. Lapuerta, A. Mielgo, M. Oiarbide, I. Otazo, I. Velilla, S. Vera, C. Palomo, I. Velilla, *Chem. Sci.* **2012**, *3*, 2949–2957.

¹¹⁶ For further examples of the use of these catalysts in the group see: a) C. Palomo, S. Vera, I. Velilla, A. Mielgo, E. Gómez-Bengoa, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2007, *46*, 8054–8056. b) C. Palomo, A. Landa, A. Mielgo, M. Oiarbide, Á. Puente, S. Vera, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2007, *46*, 8431–8435. c) A. Landa, M. Maestro, C. Masdeu, Á. Puente, S. Vera, M. Oiarbide, C. Palomo, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2009, *7*, 1562–1565. d)
E. Gómez-Bengoa, M. Maestro, A. Mielgo, I. Otazo, C. Palomo, I. Velilla, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2010, *8*, 5333–5342. e) A. Mielgo, I. Velilla, E. Gómez-Bengoa, C. Palomo, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2010, *8*, 7496–7602. f) S. Jiménez, A. Landa, A. Lizarraga, M. Maestro, A. Mielgo, M. Oiarbide, I. Velilla, C. Palomo, *J. Org. Chem.* 2012, *77*, 747–753.





Following this previous work and taking into consideration the few precedents described on the direct asymmetric catalytic aldol reaction involving propargylic carbonyl compounds, and especially the lack of methods employing aldehydes as donor carbonyl compounds in the direct catalyzed version of the reaction, we set out to study the aldol reaction between enolizable aldehydes and propargylic carbonyl compounds with the aim of developing an efficient organocatalytic system. However, only moderate yield and diastereoselectivity were obtained following the procedure described for propargylic imines (Scheme 6).





With the aim to improve this result, it was reasoned that an efficient and selective activation of propargylic aldehydes as acceptors might be achieved by a simple metal cocatalyst capable of coordinating to ynals. Thus, it was found that when adding

10 mol% of the CuI metal salt to the reaction both the yield and the diastereoselectivity of the reaction were significantly improved (Scheme 7).¹¹⁷



Scheme 7.

Some of the most representative examples of the reaction scope, part of the doctoral Thesis of I. Lapuerta, are shown in Table 1. As it can be seen, the reaction proceeded smoothly and in good yield and the corresponding adducts were isolated either as diols or acetals to avoid epimerization of C_{α} . The reaction tolerated well both aromatic (**4Aa** and **4Ac**) and aliphatic (**4Ad** and **5Ab**) propynals and donor ramified aldehydes (**5Ca**) or aldehydes with additional functional groups (**4Ad** and **5Ba**). Furthermore, the *syn/anti* ratios were higher than 1:5 in all cases, and *ee* values for the major isomer (*anti*) were above 90% *ee*.

¹¹⁷ E. Gómez-Bengoa, J. M. García, S. Jiménez, I. Lapuerta, A. Mielgo, J. M. Odriozola, I. Otazo, J. Razkin, I. Urruzuno, S. Vera, M. Oiarbide, C. Palomo, *Chem. Sci.* **2013**, *4*, 3198–3204.



Table 1. Cross-aldol reaction scope between saturated and propargylic aldehydes^[a]

[a] Reactions conducted on a 0.5 mmol scale in 0.5 mL of THF ($3/1/C1/PhCO_2H/CuI$ molar ratio = 1.5:1:0.2:0.2:0.1). Combined yield of syn/anti adducts after chromatography. *syn:anti* values determided by ¹H NMR spectroscopy and corroborated by HPLC. *ee* of major (*anti*) isomer, determined by chiral HPLC.

It should be noted that shortly after these preliminary results were disclosed, Hayashi and coworkers¹¹⁸ reported the direct aldol reaction between aldehydes and propargylic aldehydes employing a prolinol catalyst and water as the only additive (Scheme 8). This method, which applies a subsequent Wittig reaction, requires a large excess (5 equiv.) of the donor aldehyde and provides modest *syn/anti* selectivity (1:2–1:6 while our method afforded typically 1:>9 *syn/anti* ratios).



Scheme 8.

¹¹⁸ Y. Hayashi, M. Kojima, Y. Yasui, Y. Kanda, T. Mukaiyama, H. Shomura, D. Nakamura, Ritmanleni, I. Sato, *Chem. Cat. Chem.* **2013**, *5*, 2887–2892.

When the donor aldehyde bears a ω -olefin function (i.e. **3b**), the resulting adduct (i.e. **5Ba**) is an 1, ω -enyne and therefore could participate in a Pauson-Khand intramolecular reaction. This type of oxygenated 1, ω -enyne systems have been previously reported in the literature to provide upon Pauson-Khand reaction bicyclic [m.3.0] rings,¹¹⁹ which are found as subunits of natural sesquiterpene products.¹²⁰ We indeed observed that the treatment of the silylated derivative of **5Ba** under Pauson-Khand reaction conditions afforded the corresponding bicyclic [3.3.0] compound in moderate yield and as a single isomer (Scheme 9).





Based on these precedents, we set out to study the reaction generality for a range of ω -unsaturated aldehydes as donors in order to apply the aldol reaction to the preparation of hydroxyl Pauson-Khand precursors, commencing by examining the best reaction conditions for these particular substrates.



Scheme	10.
Semenne	.

We planned to study also the suitability of the approach for acetylenic ketones (ynones) as acceptors. If successful, this development would considerably expand the pool of accessible compounds including those with a tertiary carbinol, which are considerably more challenging to obtain in a stereoselective manner.

¹¹⁹ a) C. Mukai, J. S. Kim, H. Sonobe, M. Haneoka, J. Org. Chem. **1999**, 64, 6822–6832. b) T. Kozaka,
N. Miyakoshi, C. Mukai, J. Org. Chem. **2007**, 72, 10147–10154. c) Y. Otsuka, F. Inagaki, C. Mukai, J.
Org. Chem. **2010**, 75, 3420–3426. d) M. Turlington, Y. Yue, X.-Q. Yu, L. Pu, J. Org. Chem. **2010**, 75, 6941–6952. e) M. Turlington, Y. Du, S. G. Ostrum, V. Santosh, K. Wren, T. Lin, M. Sabat, L. Pu, J. Am.
Chem. Soc. **2011**, 133, 11780–11754. f) W. Chen, J.-H. Tay, J. Ying, M. Sabat, X.-A. Yu, L. Pu, Chem.
Commun. **2013**, 49, 170–172. g) W. Chen, J.-H. Tay, J. Ying, X.-A. Yu, L. Pu, J. Org. Chem. **2013**, 78, 2256–2265. h) N. Itoh, T. Iwata, H. Sughihara, F. Iragaki, C. Mukai, Chem. Eur. J. **2013**, 19, 8665–8672.
¹²⁰ a) B. M. Fraga, Nat. Prod. Rep. **1999**, 16, 21–38. b) B. M. Fraga, Nat. Prod. Rep. **2003**, 20, 392–413.



Scheme 11.

2.2.Enamine-mediated aldol reaction of ω-unsaturated aldehydes with propargylic aldehydes

2.2.1. Initial experiments and reaction optimization

Initially a study of the best reaction conditions for these types of unsaturated donor aldehydes was carried out with the reaction between 4-pentenal (**3B**) and phenylpropinal (**1a**) taken as a model. The data of the most representative experiments are shown in Table 2. First reactions were carried out under the general conditions previously established in the group for the direct asymmetric reaction of enolizable aldehydes with ynals¹¹⁷ (1.5 equiv. of donor aldehyde, 20 mol% **C1**, 20 mol% benzoic acid and 10 mol% CuI at -60 °C) (Table 2, entry 1) and after 48 h and subsequent acetalization, the desired adduct **5Ba** was obtained in good yield and very high diastereo- and enantioselectivity. When running the reaction without any benzoic acid cocatalyst, low conversions (<30 %) were obtained (Table 2, entry 2), corroborating the crucial role played by this cocatalyst. However, when running the reaction in the absence of CuI (Table 2, entry 3), only a little erosion in diastereoselectivity was observed. On the other hand, lowering the loading of the amine catalyst and the benzoic acid to 10 mol% resulted in low conversion after 48 h (Table 2, entry 4).

Next, we studied the performance of the purposely synthesised related amine catalysts **C2–4**, which bear different *O*-protecting groups. When using catalyst **C2** ($\mathbf{R} = \text{SiPh}_2\text{Me}$; Table 2, entry 5), the yield and enantioselectivity were similar to those values obtained with **C1**, but the *syn/anti* ratio turned out to be only moderate (*syn/anti* = 1:4). Moreover, using CuI in combination with **C2** the same results were obtained (Table 2, entry 6). Amine **C3** also rendered adduct **5Ba** in similar results (Table 2, entry 7) without any metal salt, but when the reaction was run in the presence of CuI (Table 2, entry 8) very low conversion of the reaction was observed. The reactivity and selectivity observed when using catalyst **C4** (Table 2, entry 9) in the absence of the metal was once again similar to **C2** and **C3**, but when carrying out the reaction in the presence of CuI

(Table 2, entry 10) eroded yields were obtained. These results indicate a correlation between the silyl group of the catalyst and the reaction selectivity, given that changing the triphenylsily group of **C1** for a less sterically demanding silyl group severely eroded the *syn/anti* ratio. Furthermore, whilst the effect of added CuI in the reaction seems to vary with the nature of the silyl substituent of the aminocatalyst, in neither case it plays a beneficial role in terms of reactivity or selectivity.





Entry	cat	Metal	Conv. (%) ^[b]	Yield (%) ^[c]	syn/anti ^[d]	<i>ee</i> (%) ^[e]
1	C1	CuI	96	67	1:14	98
2	C1	CuI	<30 ^[f]	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
3	C1	-	96	61	1:11	98
4	C1	-	45 ^[g]	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
5	C2	-	90	67	1:4	94
6	C2	CuI	86	65	1:4	92
7	C3	-	92	62	1:4	96
8	C3	CuI	30	n.d.	n.d	n.d.
9	C4	-	95	63	1:4	96
10	C4	CuI	79	53	1:4	94
11	C5	-	93	68	1:4	99
12	C5	CuI	72	51	1:1.5	99
13	C6	-	93	66	1:4	98
14	C6	CuI	33	n.d.	1:6	91

[a] Reactions conducted on a 0.5 mmol scale in 0.5 mL of THF (**3B/1a**/cat/PhCO₂H/CuI molar ratio = 1.5:1:0.2:0.2:0.1). [b] Determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy on reaction aliquots before workup. [c] Combined yield of *syn/anti* adducts after chromatography. [d] Determided by ¹H NMR spectroscopy and corroborated by HPLC. [e] *ee* of major (*anti*) isomer, determined by chiral HPLC. [f] Reaction conducted without PhCO₂H. [g] Reaction conducted with 10 mol% of the amine catalyst and PhCO₂H.

We also tested the α,α -diaryl catalysts C5 and C6 (Table 2, entries 11 and 13) which indeed did promote the cross-aldol reaction with similar efficiency but with

lower *syn/anti* selectivity as compared with **C1**. Again, when performing the reaction in the presence of 10 mol% CuI (Table 2, entries 12 and 14), a lower reactivity of the catalytic system was observed. Moreover, for **C5** the use of the metal salt also led to a loss of diastereoselectivity (Table 2, entry 12).

From these results it could be deduced that the amine / Brønsted acid combination was crucial for the reaction, being amine catalyst **C1** the optimum, and that the use of a metal cocatalyst would not lead to any improvement in the case of aldehyde **3B**.

Both the reaction conversion and *syn/anti* ratios were determined by ¹H NMR (300 MHz) analysis of untreated and treated samples of the reaction (Figure 1 and 2, respectively). Thus conversions were measured by comparison of the peak areas of the protons linked to the carbonyl group of both the propargylic aldehyde **1a** (9.43 ppm, 1 H) and adduct **5Ba** (both *syn* and *anti*; 9.89 ppm, 1 H) respectively. The signal at 9.78 ppm corresponds to the donor aldehyde **3B**.



On the other hand, the *syn/anti* ratio was determined by comparing the peak areas of the protons present in the methoxy groups of the acetal. The signals at 3.470 ppm (3 H) and 3.458 ppm (3 H) correspond to the *anti* adduct (major), and the signal at 3.453 ppm (6 H) corresponds to *syn* adduct (minor).



Figure 2.

2.2.2. Reaction scope

Once the optimization of the model reaction was performed, the reaction of aldehyde **3B** with a selection of four propargylic aldehydes was carried out following the next standard procedure: the acceptor ynal was dissolved in THF (1 M) at -60 °C and 1.5 equivalents of the donor aldehyde and 20 mol% of each the catalyst **C1** and benzoic acid were added. The reaction mixture was stirred at the same temperature, and after verifying by ¹H NMR analysis of untreated aliquots that the reaction stopped (24–48 h), the mixture was treated with HC(OCH₃)₃ (3 equivalents) in the presence of 20 mol% *p*TSA at 0 °C for 2 h. The corresponding dimethyl acetal products were isolated by flash column chromatography on silica gel eluting with hexane/EtOAc mixtures.

The results in Table 3 show that the reactions proceeded in good yield with either aromatic (**5Ba**), aliphatic (**5Bb**), or heteroaromatic (**5Bd**) propynals. In addition, the reaction was performed in a 5 mmol scale to afford adduct **5c** in excellent diastereoand enantioselectivity, although the yield was only moderate due to a lower conversion of the reaction. Furthermore, the *syn/anti* ratio varies from minimum of 1:8 to 1:20, and the lowest enantioselectivity for the major isomer (*anti*) was 96% *ee*.



Table 3. Cross-aldol reaction scope between saturated and propargylic aldehydes^[a]

[a] Reactions conducted on a 0.5 mmol scale in 0.5 mL of THF ($3B/1/C1/PhCO_2H$ molar ratio = 1.5:1:0.2:0.2). Combined yield of *syn/anti* adducts after chromatography. *dr* values determided by ¹H NMR spectroscopy and corroborated by HPLC. ee of major (*anti*) isomer, determined by chiral HPLC. [b] Reaction run at 5 mmol scale.

2.2.3. Elaboration of the adducts: Pauson-Khand reaction

The Pauson-Khand reaction, introduced in 1971,¹²¹ is a formal $[2\pi + 2\pi + 2\pi]$ cycloaddition between an alkyne, an alkene, and carbon monoxide to afford a β -cyclopentanone, catalyzed by cobalt (usually dicobalt octacarbonyl).

For the reaction to be efficient, high stereo- and regioselectivity must be obtained. In the case of an intramolecular reaction, two isomeric bicyclic products (*exo* and *endo*) can be formed, as depicted in Figure 3.

¹²¹ a) I. U. Khand, G. R. Knox, P. L. Pauson, W. E. Watts, J. Chem. Soc. D. **1971**, 36–36b. b) I. U. Khand, G. R. Knox, P. L. Pauson, W. E. Watts, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1 **1973**, 975–977. c) I. U. Khand, G. R. Knox, P. L. Pauson, W. E. Watts, M. I. Foreman, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1 **1973**, 977–981.



Figure 3. General scheme of the intramolecular Pauson-Khand reaction

At the outset it had been reported that unprotected propargylic alcohols are not suitable substrates for the Pauson-Khand reaction,¹²² and that protection of the alcohol as a silyl ether allows the Pauson-Khand reaction to proceed.

Considering this, a preliminary study to establish the ideal protecting group and reaction conditions for the formal [2+2+2] cycloaddition process was performed.¹²³ Trimethylamine *N*-oxide (TMANO) was added to oxidize the CO liberated in the coordination of the cobalt to the alkyne, forming CO₂ and rendering the step irreversible, thus allowing the use of milder reaction conditions.¹²⁴ As the data summarized in Table 4 show, the bulkiest silyl groups (SiⁱPr₃, Si(SiMe₃)₃) turned out to be the most efficient, giving rise to the desired cycloadducts essentially as a sole diastereomer (Table 4, entries 4 and 5). The reaction also proceeded with yields around 65–70% when using the less bulky groups (SiMe₃, SiPh₃, SiMe₂^tBu) but led to diastereomeric mixtures (Table 4, entries 1, 2 and 3). In every case the corresponding cycloadducts were obtained in a completely *exo*-selective manner.

¹²² a) C. Mukai, J. S. Kim, H. Sonobe, M. Haneoka, J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 6822–6832. b) M. Turlington, Y. Yue, X.-Q. Yu, L. Ou, J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 6941–6952. c) N. Itoh, T. Iwata, H. Sughihara, F. Iragaki, C. Mukai, Chem. Eur. J. 2013, 19, 8665–8672.

¹²³ This study was carried out in collaboration with the group of J. M. García, in Universidad Pública de Navarra.

¹²⁴ S. Shambayani, W. E. Crowe, S. L. Schreiber, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1990**, *31*, 5289–5292.
MeO OPG MeO Pt	Co ₂ (CO) ₈ , TMANO CH ₂ Cl ₂ , RT,16 h	PGO Ph MeO + H Ta	PGO Ph MeO H O H
Entry	Protecting group (PG) Yield $(\%)^{[b]}$	7a/7'a ^[c]
1	SiMe ₃	65	5:1
2	SiPh ₃	66	4:1
3	SiMe ₂ ^t Bu	67	3:1
4	Si(SiMe ₃) ₃	60	>20:1
5	Si ⁱ Pr ₃	65	>20:1

[a] Reactions conducted on a 0.5 mmol scale in 0.5 mL of CH_2Cl_2 . [b] Combined yield of *exo/endo* adducts after chromatography. [c] Determided by ¹H NMR spectroscopy.

Next, the conditions optimized for enyne **5Ba** were applied to the adducts **5Bc** and **5Bd.** As shown in Table 5, the desired bicyclic adducts were obtained satisfactorily with aliphatic (**7c**) and heteroaromatic (**7d**) R groups in good yield and essentially as a single diastereomer.

Table 5. Pauson-Khand reaction scope^[a]



[a] Reactions conducted on a 0.5 mmol scale in 0.5 mL of CH₂Cl₂. *dr* determided by ¹H NMR spectroscopy.

The configuration of the major and minor diastereomers was deduced by ¹H NMR chemical shift correlation (Figure 3). It was previously established that for this kind of bicycles with the H^a and H^c in a *trans* relationship, H^a resonates more up-field

than in the corresponding *cis* isomer.¹²⁵ Accordingly, using the trimethylsilyl protected adducts (5:1 *dr*), the major isomer was assigned as *trans* H^a-H^c (H^a signal at 4.8 ppm) and the minor as *cis* (H^a signal at 5.2 ppm). Finally, it was observed that H^b also follows the same trend (5.1 ppm for the major isomer and 5.6 for the minor).





Finally, the unprotected aldehyde **8** was obtained from the cycloadduct **7a** upon exposure to Mori's conditions $(FeCl_3/SiO_2)^{126}$ in methylene chloride (Scheme 12). Although hydrolysis was not complete, the unreacted dimethyl acetals could be easily recovered by column chromatography and recycled, obtaining good yields after two reaction cycles. Other attempted methods for the hydrolysis were the use of Amberlyst-15 in acetone, which led to no reaction, and the use of HCl in acetone / water, which led to very low yields due to undesired side-reactions.



Scheme 12.

¹²⁵ Similar well-characterized bicyclic products: a) P. Magnus, L. M. Principe, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1985, 26, 4851–4854. b) C. Mukai, M. Uchiyama, S. Sakamoto, M. Hanaoka, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1995, 36, 5761–5764. c) J. Castro, A. Moyano, M. A. Pericas, A. Riera, *Tetrahedron* 1995, 51, 6541–6556. d) C. Mukai, J. S. Kim, M. Uchiyama, S. Sakamoto, M. Hanaoka, *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans.* 1 1998, 2903–2915. e) C. Mukai, J. S. Kim, M. Uchiyama, M. Hanaoka, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1998, 39, 7909–7912. f) P. M. Breczinski, A. Stumpf, H. Hope, M. E. Krafft, J. A. Casalnuovo, N. E. Schore, *Tetrahedron* 1999, 55, 6797–6812.

¹²⁶ T. Nishimata, Y. Sato, M. Mori, J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 1837–1843.

2.2.4. Reaction stereochemistry

The stereochemical results obtained in the aldol reaction can be explained through the two competing open models A and B presented in Scheme 13. In B overlapping of the bulky substituents of amine C1 and the propargylic group of the ynone 1 would lead to an unfavourable approaching, thus leading towards the preferential formation of the *anti*-adduct.



Scheme 13.

The observed reaction stereochemistry in the Pauson-Khand reaction can be explained through the chair-like models **C** and **D** presented in Figure 5. A destabilizing pseudo-1,3-diaxial interaction between the hydrogen at C_{α} and the coordinated metal in model **D** could determine the positioning of the metal complex, and thus the final configuration of the adduct (7).



Figure 5.

2.3.Enamine-mediated cross aldol reaction of aldehydes with propargylic α-ketoesters

2.3.1. Initial experiments and reaction optimization

The purpose was to explore the possibility of extending the above method to ynones as acceptors. Initially, the reaction between hydrocinnamaldehyde (**3A**) and ynone **2a** was studied using the conditions developed in the previous section (Table 6, entry 1), and low conversions were obtained after 20 h. This result might be ascribed to either the lower solubility or the lower inherent reactivity of these ynones as compared with the parent ynals. Then the reaction temperature was raised to -40 °C, which allowed the reaction conversion to be essentially complete after 48 h (Table 6, entry 2), affording, after subsequent acetalization, the desired adduct **9Aa** in good yield and high diastereo- and enantioselectivity. Further increase in temperature led to a lower *ee* (Table 6, entry 6). Furthermore, no significant improvement of reactivity or stereoselectivity was observed when using CuI or Cu(OAc) as additive (Table 6, entries

4 and 5 vs. 2). On the other hand, lowering the loading of the amine catalys and the benzoic acid to 10 mol% yielded low conversions after 48 h (Table 6, entry 3).

Next, the performance of the parent α , α -diaryl catalysts **C5** and **C6** was studied. Once again, both were equally efficient in terms of reactivity and enantioselectivity, but led to a diminished *dr* (from 5:1 in entry 2 to nearly equimolecular in entry 7 and 8).

The yields when employing ynone 2a as the acceptor happened to be higher as compared with the parent ynals (see Table 3 for a comparison). This could be attributed to a large extent to the higher conversion rates obtained in the reactions involving propargylic ketoester 2a.

Table 6. Catalyst screening for the reaction between 3A and 2a



6	C1	-	-20	100	81	4:1	90
7	C5	-	-40	86	75	1.5:1	99
8	C6	-	-40	92	78	1.2:1	97
[a] Reactions conducted on a 0.5 mmol scale in 0.5 mL of THF (3A/2a/cat/PhCO ₂ H/M molar ratio =							
1.5:1:0.2:0.2:0.1). [b] Determined by ¹ H NMR spectroscopy on reaction aliquots before workup. [c]							
Combined yield of syn/anti adducts after chromatography. [d] Determided by HPLC analysis of the							

80

6:1

96

98

5

C1

Cu(OAc)

-40

Combined yield of *syn/anti* adducts after chromatography. [d] Determided by HPLC analysis of the reaction crude. [e] ee of major (*syn*) isomer, determined by chiral HPLC. [f] Reaction conducted with 10 mol% of the amine catalyst and PhCO₂H.

The conversion was determined by ¹H NMR (300 MHz) analysis of untreated samples of the reaction (Figure 6) comparing the peak areas of the protons of the methyl ester groups of both propargylic ketoester **2a** (3.96 ppm, 3 H) and adduct **9Aa** (both *syn* and *anti*, 3.89 ppm, 3 H).



Figure 6.

The *syn/anti* ratio was determined by chiral HPLC analysis (Chiralpack IA, hex:ⁱPr 95:5, 0.5 mL/min, $\lambda = 240$ nm) of the reaction crude (Figure 7) comparing the peak areas of the *syn*- (major, 20.9 and 29.3 min) and *anti*-adduct (minor, 24.7 min and 32.8 min).





The absolute configuration of compound **9Aa** was established by a single crystal X-ray analysis and for the remaining adducts was assumed based on a uniform reaction mechanism. The configuration of the molecule happened to be (2S,3S), as it is shown in the ORTEP diagram of the molecule in Figure 8.





2.3.2. Reaction scope

The generality of the method was explored using a selection of propargylic ketoesters (2) with a range of enolizable aldehydes (3), under the optimized conditions, namely: the acceptor ynone was dissolved in THF (1 M) at -40 °C and 1.5 equivalents of the donor aldehyde and 20 mol% of each catalyst C1 and benzoic acid were added. The reaction mixture was stirred at the same temperature, and after verifying by ¹H NMR analysis of an untreated reaction sample that the reaction finished (48 h), the mixture was treated with HC(OCH₃)₃ (3 equivalents) in the presence of *p*TSA at 0 °C for 2 h. The corresponding dimethyl acetal products were isolated by flash column chromatography on silica gel eluting with hexane/THF mixtures.

As data in Table 7 show, the reactions proceeded smoothly with various donor aldehydes **3**, affording the corresponding tertiary propargylic alcohols in good yield, diastereoselectivity of 1:5 or higher, and enantioselectivities above 93% *ee* in most cases. These examples include ynones bearing substituents at the *ortho* or *metha* positions of the phenyl ring (Table 7, entries 2 and 3). However, *meta*-tolyl substituted ynone **2d** (Table 7, entry 4) was an exception and led to the corresponding adduct **9Ad** with decreased enantioselectivity.





Entry	Aldehyde	Ynone (R ¹)	Product	Yield, % ^[b]	syn/anti ^[c]	ee, % ^[c]
1	O H 3A	2a 2	9Aa	83	5:1	93
2	O H 3b	2a 55	9Ba	74	6:1	94
3		2b	9Bb	77	8:1	93
4		2c	9Bc	65	5:1	94
5		2d	9Bd	72	13:1	80
6	о Н ЗС	2a 2a	9Ca	65	8:1	93
7	H 3D	2a 55	9Da	70	5:1	95

[a] Reactions conducted on a 0.5 mmol scale in 0.5 mL of THF ($3/2/C1/PhCO_2H$ molar ratio = 1.5:1:0.2:0.2). [b] Combined yield of *syn/anti* adducts after chromatography. [c] *dr* values determided by HPLC analysis of the crude product. [d] *ee* of major (*syn*) isomer, determined by chiral HPLC.

2.3.3. Elaboration of adducts

Once a method for the stereoselective cross-aldol reaction between enolizable aldehydes and propargylic α -ketoesters was developed, some possibilities for the transformation of the adducts were briefly explored.

2.3.3.1. Reduction of adducts 9Aa and 9Ca

As stated in the Introduction, the alkyne moiety could in principle be transformed into the corresponding alkyl and alkenyl units via total or partial reduction, respectively. To corroborate this assertion, adduct **9Ca** was submitted to hydrogenation over Pd on charcoal (Scheme 14) to afford the reduction product **10** in 76% yield. The same process applied to adduct **9Ba** also produced an identical adduct, which proved the stereochemical uniformity of the adducts. It is worth noting that **10** is the adduct formally derived from the cross-aldol reaction between two enolizable carbonyl compounds, a reaction that cannot be carried out efficiently in a direct manner so far.



Scheme 14.

2.3.3.2. Pauson-Khand reaction

Following the successful intramolecular Pauson-Khand cyclization reaction developed using secondary propargylic carbinols, the corresponding transformation using tertiary alcohol **9Aa** was attempted.

The first problem was the protection of the tertiary alcohol, which turned out to be a challenging task. Indeed, we were unable to get the corresponding TIPS derivative, even at room temperature. Thus protection of the tertiary alcohol with the TMS group was performed instead, using TMSCl, DMAP and TEA (Scheme 15), which led to the protected alcohol **11** quantitatively.



Scheme 15.

The Pauson-Khand reaction was then performed under the previously described conditions, thus treatment of **11** with dicobalt octacarbonyl and TMANO in dichloromethane at room temperature afforded a diastereomeric mixture of bicyclic products **12** and **12'** in 32% and 21% isolated yields, respectively.



Scheme 16.

Thus, this study gives a convenient entry to fused cyclopentene rings, structural frameworks present in natural sesquiterpene products (Figure 9).¹²⁰





2.3.4. Reaction stereochemistry

Based on previous stereochemical models for related enamine mediated aldol reactions,¹²⁷ we propose an open model similar to that proposed before for ynals (Scheme 13, page 67) that explains the observed stereoselectivity (Scheme 17). Assuming that the enamine adopts preferentially the most stable *E-anti* conformation two possible approaching orientations **A** and **B** should be considered. From these models **A** appears to be sterically less congested owing to the presumed higher interaction of the ester group with the bulky substituents of the enamine as compared to the alkyne group, with linear geometry. This model is in good agreement with the observed preferential formation of the *syn*-aldol.

¹²⁷ a) S. A. Moteki, J. Han, S. Arimitsu, M. Akakura, K. Nakayama, K. Maruoka, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 1187–1190. b) E. Gómez-Bengoa, J. M. García, S. Jiménez, I. Lapuerta, A. Mielgo, J. M. Odriozola, I. Otazo, J. Razkin, I. Urruzuno, S. Vera, M. Oiarbide, C. Palomo, *Chem. Sci.* **2012**, *3*, 2949–2957. c) Y-Hua Deng, J.-Q. Chen, L. He, T.-R. Kang, Q.-Z. Liu, S.-W. Luo, W.-C. Yuan, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2013**, *19*, 7143–7150.



Scheme 17.

2.4. Conclusions

In this Chapter we have demonstrated that the direct cross-aldol reaction of enolizable aldehydes with propargylic aldehydes can be performed efficiently and with very good diastereo- and enantioselectivity via enamine activation. Key for this development is the concurrent use of α,α -dialkylprolinol ether / Brønsted acid catalytic system. The method is quite general with respect to the propargylic aldehyde substrate, and has been extended to the unprecedented reaction of aldehydes with propargylic ketoesters, affording the corresponding tertiary propargylic alcohols in good yield and selectivity.

In addition, the observed stereochemical outcome of the aldol reaction can be explained by involving open models similar to previously reported for related aldol reactions.

Furthermore, the use of ω -unsaturated aldehydes as nucleophiles afforded the corresponding 1, ω -enyne adducts, which bear an additional alkene group compared to adducts obtained from simple aliphatic aldehydes, thus making feasible the application of these adducts in a wider variety of transformations.

In this sense, transformation of the resulting 1,6-enyne structures to the corresponding polisubstituted bicyclic enones through an intramolecular Pauson-Khand reaction has been realized. This reaction proceeds with essentially perfect diastereoselectivity in the case of ynal-derived adducts when using the *O*-TIPS protected propargylic alcohols and less selectively with an *O*-TMS protected tertiary enyne alcohol studied.

Finally, the hydrogenation of the triple (and double) bonds in adducts have been performed successfully, demonstrating the validity of the method for obtaining adducts that are formally derived from a cross-aldol reaction that cannot yet be performed directly in an efficient manner.

Chapter 3:

Brønsted base-catalyzed α-functionalization of carbonyl compounds

3. Brønsted base-catalyzed α-functionalization of carbonyl compounds

3.1. Development of α '-oxy enones as acrylate equivalents	81
3.1.1. Precedents and objectives	81
3.1.1.1. Preparation of the α '-oxy enones used in the study	85
3.1.2. Conjugate additions: Previous results from this laboratory	88
3.1.3.1,4-Addition of oxazolones to α '-oxy enones	88
3.1.3.1. Unsubstituted α '-oxy enones as electrophiles	
3.1.3.2. β -Substituted α '-oxy enones as electrophiles	95
3.1.3.3. α -Substituted α '-oxy enones as electrophiles	100
3.1.3.4. Elaboration of adducts	105
3.2.1,6-Additions to α'-oxy dienones	107
3.2.1. Precedents and objectives	107
3.2.2. Oxazolones as nucleophiles	108
3.2.3. α-Cyanoacetates as nucleophiles	111
3.3 Region diastereon and enantioselective functionalization of unactivated	
5.5. Regio-, diastereo- and chandoscreenve functionalization of unactivated	
cyclic ketones	113
cyclic ketones	113 113
 3.3.1. Precedents and objectives	113 113 116
 3.3.1. Precedents and objectives	113 113 116 117
 3.3.1. Precedents and objectives	113 113 116 117 119
 3.3.1. Precedents and objectives	113 113 116 117 119 121
 3.3.1. Precedents and objectives	113 113 116 117 119 121 124
 3.3.1. Precedents and objectives	113 113 116 117 119 121 124 125
 3.3.1. Precedents and objectives	113 113 116 117 119 121 124 125 135
 3.3.1. Precedents and objectives	113 113 116 117 119 121 124 125 135 137
 3.3.1. Precedents and objectives	113 113 116 117 119 121 124 125 135 137 140
 3.3.1. Precedents and objectives	113 113 116 116 117 119 121 124 125 135 137 140 140
 3.3.1. Precedents and objectives	113 113 116 116 117 119 121 124 125 135 137 140 141

Brønsted base-catalyzed α-functionalization of carbonyl compounds

3.1.Development of α'-oxy enones as acrylate equivalents

3.1.1. Precedents and objectives

As stated in the introduction, asymmetric catalyzed conjugate additions to simple α,β -unsaturated esters and amides remain challenging, mainly due to their attenuated reactivity. In order to overcome this limitation, one of the working approaches is the development of α,β -unsaturated ester and amide surrogates possessing certain qualities: i) enhanced activation of the substrate towards nucleophilic attack, ii) improved coordination to the catalyst, and iii) easy removal of the activating group.

The underlying idea is that coordination of one lone pair of the oxygen in the template to the catalyst (a Lewis acid or H-bond donor) would trigger the reaction. In this sense, monodentate acyl templates may lead to two possible substrate-catalyst geometries, complicating stereocontrol. On the contrary, bidentate templates would not only coordinate more efficiently, but also skip such degeneracy forming one preferential cyclic geometry, thus facilitating good reaction stereocontrol (Figure 1).





Following this idea, several two-point binding α , β -unsaturated acyl templates have been developed during the last years,¹²⁸ which may be divided in two categories: heteroatom-linked templates (Figure 2a) and carbon-linked templates (Figure 2b). However, at the outset of this project only scarce examples of conjugate addition of enolizable carbonyl compounds to these enoate surrogates leading to the formation of a stereogenic center at C_{α} were reported.

¹²⁸ For a review on the use of these templates in organocatalysis see: D. Monge, H. Jiang, Y. Álvarez-Casao, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2015**, *21*, 4494–4504.



Figure 2.

Whilst efforts to control stereoselectivity from α,β -unsaturated carbonyl compounds have been mainly focused on carboxylic acid derivatives, essentially no reports concerning the use of ketones have been described in the literature. One exception is the strategy developed by Enders,¹³⁵ where hydrazones are used as ketone surrogates. In this context, some years ago, our group reported chiral α '-oxy ketones and enones as acyl equivalents useful for several diastereoselective reactions.¹³⁶ The

¹³³ A. Baschieri, L. Bernardi, A. Ricci, S. Suresh, M. F. A. Adamo, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 9342–9345.

¹³⁴ C. Palomo, M. Oiarbide, J. M. García, A. González, E. Arceo, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2003**, 125, 13942–13943.

¹³⁵ For a review on hydrazine chiral auxiliaries see: A. Job, C. F. Janeck, W. Bettray, R. Peters, D. Enders, *Tetrahedron* **2002**, *58*, 2253–2329.

¹³⁶ Aldol reaction: a) C. Palomo, A. González, J. M. García, C. Landa, M. Oiarbide, S. Rodríguez, A. Linden, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **1998**, *37*, 180–182. b) C. Palomo, M. Oiarbide, J. M. Aizpurua, A. González, J. M. García, C. Landa, I. Odriozola, A. Linden, *J. Org. Chem.* **1999**, *64*, 8193–8200. c) C. Palomo, M. Oiarbide, E. Gómez-Bengoa, A. Mielgo, M. C. González-Rego, J. M. García, A. González, J. M. Odriozola, P. Bañuelos, A. Linden, *ARKIVOC* **2005**, 377–392. Mannich reaction: d) C. Palomo, M. Oiarbide, M. C. González-Rego, A. K. Sharma, J. M. García, A. González, C. Landa, A. Linden, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2000**, *39*, 1063–1066. e) C. Palomo, M. Oiarbide, A. Landa, M. C. González-Rego, J. M. García, A. González, J. M. Odriozola, M. Martín-Pastor, A. Linden, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 8637–8643. Conjugate addition: f) C. Palomo, J. M. Aizpurua, M. Oiarbide, J. M. García, A. González, I. Odriozola, A. Linden, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2001**, *42*, 4829–4831. g) C. Palomo, M. Oiarbide, J. M. García, J. M. García, I. Social, J. M. García, A. Linden, *J. M. García*, A. González, I.

¹²⁹ E. J. Corey, R. Imwinkelried, S. Pikul, Y. B. Xiang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 5493–5495.

¹³⁰ a) M. P. Sibi, J. J. Shay, J. Ji, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1997**, *38*, 5955–5958. b) T. Nemoto, T. Ohshima, M. Shibasaki, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2001**, *123*, 9474–9475.

¹³¹ D. A. Evans, J. S. Hohnson, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 4895–4896.

¹³² D. A. Evans, E. J. Olhava, J. S. Johnson, J. M. Janey, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 3372–3375.

diastereoselective Michael addition of β -ketoesters to chiral α '-hydroxy enones resulting on the formation of challenging quaternary stereocenters is shown in Scheme 1 as a representative example.^{136g}



Scheme 1.

On the other hand, *achiral* α '-hydroxy enones have also been developed in our group as acrylate equivalents in chiral Lewis acid-catalyzed enantioselective reactions. For instance, an enantioselective version of the conjugate addition of β -ketoesters above mentioned was reported^{136g} employing a C₂-symmetric diamine-copper complex as the catalyst (Scheme 2).



This and additional research from this laboratory has revealed that achiral α '-hydroxy enones are excellent bidentate Michael acceptors for metal-catalyzed

A. González, P. Bañuelos, J. M. Odriozola, J. Razkin, A. Linden, Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 2637–2640. h) J.
M. García, M. A. Maestro, M. Oiarbide, J. M. Odriozola, J. Razkin, C. Palomo, Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 3826–3829. Diels-Alder reaction: i) C. Palomo, M. Oiarbide, J. M. García, A. González, A. Lecumberri, A. Linden, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 10288–10289. j) P. Bañuelos, J. M. García, E. Gómez-Bengoa, A. Herrero, J. M. Odriozola, M. Oiarbide, C. Palomo, J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 1458–1473. Alkylation: k)
C. Palomo, M. Oiarbide, A. Mielgo, A. González, J. M. García, C. Landa, A. Lecumberri, A. Linden, Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 3249–3252. Darzens reaction: 1) C. Palomo, M. Oiarbide, A. K. Sharma, M. C. González-Rego, A. Linden, J. M. García, A. González, J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 9007–9012.

asymmetric transformations,¹³⁷ specially for the 1,4-addition of N- and C-centered nucleophiles.¹³⁸

Interesting features of the α '-hydroxyketone template are: i) The salient behaviour in asymmetric catalysis due to the ability of the α -hydroxy carbonyl (or ketol) moiety for efficient 1,4-metal binding; ii) the gem-dialkylcarbinol framework of the template can be easily modified for optimal performance (Scheme 3a), and ii) the Michael adducts can be further elaborated under smooth oxidative conditions (Scheme 3b) affording carboxylic acids, aldehydes and ketones from a single common intermediate.



Scheme 3.

Despite these features, however, construction of quaternary centers¹³⁹ through Michael reactions was still problematic with these enones, especially under Lewis acid catalysis as noted above. In general, this reaction is limited to highly active donor substrates, that is, substrates capable of easily generating the enol form, such as β ketoesters. Generation of the more nucleophilic enolate species through deprotonation by a tertiary amine base is usually unfruitful because of self-quenching of the Lewis acid-Lewis base pair. With very few exceptions, this combined use of a base and acid for concomitant substrate activation is a general problem that still remains not well

¹³⁷ For a review on α '-hydroxy ketones see: C. Palomo, M. Oiarbide, J. M. García, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2012**, *41*, 4150–4164.

¹³⁸ For an example of 1,4-addition reaction with: Carbamates: a) C. Palomo, M. Oiarbide, R. Halder, M. Kelso, E. Gómez-Bengoa, J. M. García, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2004, *126*, 9188–9189. Pyrroles/indoles: b) C. Palomo, M. Oiarbide, B. Kadar, J. M. García, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2005, *127*, 4154–4155. Nitroalkanes: c) C. Palomo, R. Pazos, M. Oiarbide, J. M. García, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 2006, *348*, 1161–1164.

¹³⁹ For reviews on asymmetric synthesis of quaternary stereocenters see: a) J. Christoffers, A. Mann, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2001, 40, 4591–4597. b) J. Christoffers, A. Baro, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 2005, 347, 1473–1482. c) B. M. Trost, C. Jiang, *Synthesis* 2006, 369–396. d) C. Hawner, A. Alexakis, *Chem. Commun.* 2010, 46, 7295–7306. e) K. W. Quasdorf, L. E. Overmann, *Nature* 2014, 516, 181–191. f) Y. Liu, S.-J. Han, W.-B. Liu, B. M. Stolz, *Acc. Chem. Rev.* 2015, 48, 740–751. g) J. Feng, M. Holmes, M. J. Krische, *Chem. Rev.* 2017, 117, 12564–12580.

resolved.¹⁴⁰ A practical solution to this problem is suppressing the Lewis acid and carrying out the reaction in the presence of a bifunctional Brønsted base/H-bond donor catalyst. In this instance, the electrophile would be activated by hydrogen bonding whilst the donor substrate would be activated by the Brønsted base through a model that resembles that of the Lewis acid, as shown in Figure 3.





3.1.1.1. Preparation of the α '-oxy enones used in the study

An interesting practical aspect of these enones is that they may be readily prepared from commercially available compounds, in the majority of the cases in few steps, and purified by simple flash column chromatography to be obtained as colourless oils, which can be stored for several months at -30 °C. For example, α '-hydroxy enone **13a** was synthesised starting from methoxypropadiene through addition of its lithium salt to acetone and subsequent acid treatment in good yield (Scheme 4, method A).¹⁴¹ Alternatively, it could also be prepared in high yield from commercially available 3-hydroxy-3-methyl-2-butanone via aldol condensation with formaldehyde, formed *in situ* from paraformaldehyde¹⁴² (Scheme 4, method B). Enone **13a** was silylated by mixing it with 3-(trimethylsilyl)-2-oxazolidinone (TMSO)¹⁴³ and a few drops of trifluoroacetic acid and stirring at room temperature without any solvent, affording α '-silyloxy enone **13b** in excellent yield.

¹⁴⁰ For a review on Lewis acid/Brønsted base cooperative catalysis see: L. Stegbauer, F. Sladojevich, D. J. Dixon, *Chem. Sci.* **2012**, *3*, 942–958.

¹⁴¹ C. Palomo, M. Oiarbide, J. M. García, A. González, E. Arceo, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2003**, 125, 13942–13943.

¹⁴² A. Bugarin, K. D. Jones, B. T. Connell, *Chem. Commun.* **2010**, *46*, 1715–1717.

¹⁴³ J. M. Aizpurua, C. Palomo, A. L. Palomo, *Can. J. Chem.* **1984**, *62*, 336–340.

Chapter 3





The *O*-triethylsilyl substituted enone 13c was prepared starting from methyl 2hydroxyisobutyrate.¹⁴⁴ In a first step, the alcohol was protected as the corresponding triethyl silyl ether, and the resulting product was converted into the dimethyl phosphoester intermediate. The Wittig-Horner reaction with formaldehyde afforded the desired enone 13c in good overall yield (Scheme 5).





 β -Aryl α '-hydroxyenones **14a-14d** were obtained by aldol condensation of the commercially available 3-hydroxy-3-methyl-2-butanone with the corresponding aryl aldehyde (Scheme 6).¹⁴⁴ The reaction was carried out under reflux using lithium hydroxide as the base and a mixture of MeOH/water as the solvent, affording exclusively the corresponding *E*-enones in high yield.



Scheme 6.

¹⁴⁴ a) C. Palomo, M. Oiarbide, R. Halder, M. Kelso, E. Gómez-Bengoa, J. M. García, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2004**, 126, 9188–9189. b) C. Palomo, M. Oiarbide, B. G. Kardak, J. M. García, A. Linden, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2005**, 127, 4154–4155.

 β -Alkyl α '-hydroxyenone **14e** was obtained in an overall moderate yield following the procedure described above for enone **13c**, employing trimethylsilyl chloride in the first step and performing the Wittig-Horner reaction with propanal, followed by desilylation with hydrofluoric acid in methanol (Scheme 7).¹⁴⁴



Scheme 7.

For the synthesis of α -methyl α '-hydroxy enone **15**, the commercially available 2-methoxy-2-propanoate was transformed into the Weinreb amide, which was then reacted with isopropenyl magnesium bromide, yielding the desired enone in moderate yield after two steps (Scheme 8). Posterior silylation under the conditions previously employed for the formation of unsubstituted enone **13b** afforded enone **15'** in good yield.

 $MeO \xrightarrow{O} OH \xrightarrow{1) CH_3 ONHCH_3 \cdot HCI} MeO \xrightarrow{N} \xrightarrow{O} OH \xrightarrow{CH_2=C(CH_3)MgBr}_{Et_2O, 0 \circ C}$ $MeO \xrightarrow{V} OH \xrightarrow{O} OH \xrightarrow{TMSO, TfOH} OH \xrightarrow{O} OH \xrightarrow{CH_2=C(CH_3)MgBr}_{Et_2O, 0 \circ C}$



Dienone **16** was prepared in good yield starting from 3-hydroxy-3-methyl-2butanone through aldol reaction with acrolein and *in situ* mesylation and elimination. The posterior silylation of **16** with TMSO afforded **16**' in excellent yield.



Scheme 9.

3.1.2. Conjugate additions: Previous results from this laboratory

With these templates in hand, their behaviour in organocatalytic reactions with several nucleophiles was studied in parallel. Dr. Badiola and Dr. Olaizola from this laboratory studied their reactions with α -cyanoacetates, azlactones and 5*H*-thiazol-4-ones respectively (Scheme 10).¹⁴⁵





3.1.3. 1,4-Addition of oxazolones to α '-oxy enones

To complete these studies and with the aim to show the potential scope of these enones in asymmetric conjugate additions, we planned to evaluate the reaction with 5*H*-oxazol-4-ones (oxazolones), which would generate α -hydroxy carboxylic acid derivatives with a tetrasubstituted stereogenic center. These compounds are important

¹⁴⁵ E. Badiola, B. Fiser, E. G-B, A. Mielgo, I. Olaizola, I. Urruzuno, J. M. García, J. M. Odriozola, J. Razkin, M. Oiarbide, C. Palomo, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 17869–17881.

building blocks in pharmaceutical products and biologically active compounds.¹⁴⁶ 5*H*-oxazol-4-ones were first introduced in asymmetric catalysis by Trost and subsequently used by several other groups.

In 2004 Trost and coworkers¹⁴⁷ reported the Mo-catalyzed asymmetric allylic alkylation of oxazolones (Scheme 11), which yielded 5,5-dialkyl oxazol-4-ones in high yield, good regio- and diastereoselectivity and high enantioselectivity. Posterior basic hydrolysis of the adducts afforded the corresponding chiral α -hydroxyamides.



Scheme 11.

Examples of catalytic asymmetric aldol¹⁴⁸ and Mannich-type¹⁴⁹ reactions of oxazolones have also been seldom reported. However, the conjugate addition has been studied more exhaustively as shown in the examples that follow.

Misaki and Sugimura¹⁵⁰ performed the 1,4-addition of 5*H*-oxazol-4-ones to alkynyl carbonyl compounds catalyzed by chiral guanidines (Scheme 12Table 3),

¹⁴⁶ For more information on the subject see: a) S. Masamune, C. U. Kim, K. E. Wilson, G. O. Spessard, P. E. Georghiou, G. S. Bates, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1975, *97*, 3512–3513. b) S. Hatakeyama, Y. Matsui, M. Suzuki, K. Sakurai, S. Takano, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1985, *26*, 6485–6488. c) H. Shao, J. K. Rueter, M. Goodman, *J. Org. Chem.* 1998, *63*, 5240–5244.

¹⁴⁷ B. M. Trost, K. Dogra, M. Franzini, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 1944–1945.

¹⁴⁸ T. Misaki, G. Takimoto, T. Sugimura, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 6286–6287.

¹⁴⁹ For an example of metal catalysis see: a) D. Zhao, L. Eang, D. Yang, Y. Zhang, R. Wang, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 7523–7527. For an example of organocatalysis see: b) Z. Han, W. Yang, C.-H. Tan, Z. Jiang, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2013**, *355*, 1505–1511.

¹⁵⁰ a) T. Misaki, K. Kawano, T. Sugimura, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 5695–5697. For other reports of this group concerning the Michael reaction of oxazolones see: b) N. Jin, T. Misaki T. Sugimura, *Chem.*

obtaining the corresponding conjugate addition adducts in moderate yield, variable E/Z selectivity and high enantioselectivity.





In another relevant example, Ye et al.¹⁵¹ reported the bifunctional Brønsted basecatalyzed Michael addition of oxazolones to α,β -unsaturated ketones (Scheme 13). The thiourea-sulfonamide catalyst rendered the Michael reaction adducts in excellent yield, diastereo- and enantioselectivity.





In 2013 and using a similar thiourea catalyst lacking the sulfonamide group the group of Jiang¹⁵² reported the conjugate addition of oxazolones to aromatic nitroalkenes that proceeds in excellent yield, diastereo- and enantioselectivity (Scheme 14).

Lett. **2013**, *42*, 894–896. c) A. Morita, T. Misaki, T. Sugimura, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2015**, *56*, 264–267. d) T. Misaki, N.-R. Choi, A. Morita, T. Sugimura, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2015**, *56*, 5063–5066.

¹⁵¹ H. Huang, K. Zhu, W. Wu, Z. Jin, J. Ye, Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 461–463.

¹⁵² a) B. Qiao, Y. An, Q. Liu, W. Yang, H. Liu, J. Shen, L. Yan, Z. Jiang, *Org. Lett.* 2013, *15*, 2358–2361.
For other reports of this group concerning the Michael reaction of oxazolones see: b) Q. Liu, B. Qiao, K.
F. Chin, C.-H. Tan, Z. Jiang, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 2014, *256*, 3777–3783. c) B. Zhu, R. Lee, J. Li, X. Ye,
S.-N. Hong, S. Qiu, M. L. Coote, Z. Jiang, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2016, *55*, 1299–1303. d) J. Li, S. Qui,
X. Ye, B. Zhu, H. Liu, Z. Jiang, *J. Org. Chem.* 2016, *81*, 11916–11923.



Scheme 14.

However, to the best of our knowledge, at the outset of this project no examples had been reported on the catalytic asymmetric conjugate addition of oxazolones to unsaturated esters or equivalents. Only two examples were described in the literature during the progress of this Thesis.

In the first one, Misaki and Sugimura^{150b} described the 1,4-addition reaction of oxazolones to an allenic phenyl ester catalyzed by a chiral guanidine/alcohol in excellent yield and enantioselectivity (Scheme 15).



Scheme 15.

The second work appeared just after our initial results were published.¹⁴⁵ Wang et al.¹⁵³ reported the conjugate addition of oxazolones to α,β -unsaturated acyl imidazoles catalyzed by a dinuclear zinc complex, obtaining the corresponding adducts in high yield, diastereo- and enantioselectivity (Scheme 16a). They also performed the transformation of an adduct to the corresponding carboxylic methyl ester, affording the product in low yield (Scheme 16b).

¹⁵³ B. Zhang, F. Han, L. Wang, D. Li, D. Yang, X. Yang, J. Yang, X. Li, D. Zhao, R. Wang, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2015**, *21*, 17234–17238.



3.1.3.1. Unsubstituted α '-oxy enones as electrophiles

Initially the reaction of oxazolone **17A** with a variety of unsubstituted α '-oxy enones (**13a-13c**) catalyzed by a squaramide catalyst was investigated. For this purpose **C7**,¹⁵⁴ the optimal catalyst for α -cyanoacetates,¹⁴⁵ was taken as a model in order to find the optimum structure of the Michael acceptor (Table 1). Reaction with the free hydroxyl enone **13a** at -40 °C yielded an almost racemic addition product (Table 1, entry 1). In its turn, reaction with the *O*-TMS substituted enone **13b** afforded, after subsequent desilylation, the desired adduct **18A** in good yield and moderate *ee* (Table 1, entry 2). An increase of the temperature from -40 to -20 °C was found to be beneficial both for the yield and enantioselectivity of the reaction (entries 3 and 4), perhaps due to the better solubilisation of the catalyst. Enone **13c**, bearing a bulkier *O*-protecting group, was also examined but the product was obtained in low enantiomeric excess (entry 5). Thus reaction with α 'silyloxy enone **13b** at RT was selected for further optimization.

¹⁵⁴ a) W. Yang, D. M. Du, *Org. Lett.* 2010, *12*, 5450–5453. For pioneering work on squaramides see: b) J.
P. Malerich, K. Hagihara, V. H. Rawal, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2008, *130*, 14416–14417. c) V. B. Gondi, K.
Hagihara, V. H. Rawal, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2009, *48*, 776–779. d) Y. Zhu, J. P. Malerich, V. H.
Rawal, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2010, *49*, 153–156.

$ \begin{array}{c} & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ $							
Entry	Enone	R	Т	Yield of 18A (%) ^[b]	<i>ee</i> (%) ^[c]		
1	13a	Н	-40 °C	68	10		
2	13b	SiMe ₃	-40 °C	78	60		
3	13b	SiMe ₃	−20 °C	85	73		
4	13b	SiMe ₃	RT	80	73		
5	13c	SiEt ₃	RT	86	34		

Table 1. Screening of template structure for the C7-catalyzed reaction with oxazolone $17A (R^1 = {}^{i}Bu)^{[a]}$

Next, a variety of Brønsted base-catalysts for the reaction of oxazolone **17A** with α '-silyloxy enone **13b** were tested at RT (Table 2). Using thiourea catalyst **C9**,¹⁵⁵ compound **18A** was obtained in good yield but in very low *ee*. Then, other chiral scaffolds were tested: Using **C10**,¹⁵⁶ derived from a chiral diamine with C₂-symmetry, the desired product was obtained in good yield and moderate *ee*. To our delight catalyst **C8**,¹⁵⁷ derived from L*-tert*-leucine, afforded the Michael reaction adduct **18A** in good yield and excellent *ee*. When using (DHQD)₂PYR (**C11**) as the catalyst a very low conversion was observed, suggesting that the H-bond donor functionality of the catalyst is necessary for the activation of the reaction substrates.

[[]a] Reactions conducted on a 0.3 mmol scale in 0.9 mL of CH₂Cl₂ (17A/13/C7 molar ratio = 1:1.5:0.2).
[b] Isolated yield after chromatography. [c] Determined by chiral HPLC.

¹⁵⁵ B. Vakulya, S. Varga, A. Csámpai, T. Soós, *Org. Lett.* **2005**, *7*, 1967–1969.

¹⁵⁶ W. Yang, D.-M Du, Adv. Synth. Catal. **2011**, 353, 1241–1246.

¹⁵⁷ K. Hu, A. Lu, Y. Wang, Z. Zhou, C. Tang, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2013**, *24*, 953–957.



Table 2. Catalyst screening for the reaction of 17A with α '-oxy enone 13b^[a]

[a] Reactions conducted on a 0.3 mmol scale in 0.9 mL of CH_2Cl_2 (17A/13b/cat. molar ratio = 1:1.5: 0.2). Isolated yield after chromatography. ee determined by chiral HPLC.

After performing the optimization of conditions for the model reaction, the reaction of oxazolones **17A-17E** with α '-oxy enone **13b** was carried out following the next standard procedure: the oxazolone was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (0.33 M) and 1.5 equivalents of the enone and 20 mol% of the catalyst **C8** were added. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature, and after verifying total conversion (TLC monitoring, 24–48 h), the mixture was treated with HF 48% (aq.) at the same temperature for 45 min. The corresponding Michael reaction adducts were isolated by flash column chromatography on silica gel eluting with hexane/acetate mixtures.

As the results in Table 3 show, the reaction proceeded in good yield with different alkyl-substituted oxazolones, including those with n-alkyl (**18B-18D**) and benzyl substituents (**18E**), and the lowest enantioselectivity was 92% *ee*.





[a] Reactions conducted on a 0.3 mmol scale in 0.9 mL of CH_2Cl_2 (17/13b/C8 molar ratio = 1:1.5:0.2). Isolated yield after chromatography. *ee* determined by chiral HPLC.

3.1.3.2. β -Substituted α '-oxy enones as electrophiles

Following the study on the Michael addition of oxazolones to unsubstituted α 'oxy enones, we decided to study the addition to β -substitutted α '-oxy enones. Now a relatively diminished reactivity of the enone was expected as compared with the unsubstituted analogue, and on the other hand, the problem of the relative configuration of the newly generated tetra- and trisubstituted contiguous stereocenters arises. This time the reaction between oxazolone 17E and β -aryl α '-hydroxy enone 14a was taken as a model to test a variety of catalysts (Table 4). 1,2-Dichloroethane was used as the solvent so the reaction could be performed at temperatures higher than 40 °C in order to compensate for the expected lower reactivity of β -substitutted α '-oxy enones. When using squaramide C7 as the catalyst at room temperature a very low conversion was observed after 48 h (Table 4, entry 1). When increasing the temperature from RT to 50 °C only moderate conversion was observed after 48 h, but good diastereoselectivity and enantioselectivity were obtained (Table 4, entry 2). Very low conversions were obtained with the analogous thiourea C9 (entry 4) and also with the L-tert-leucine derived squaramide C8 (entry 3). The reaction with catalyst $C12^{154b}$ afforded the Michael addition product **19Ea** in similar selectivity to catalyst **C7**, but a slightly lower reactivity was observed (entry 5). On the other hand, increasing the reaction temperature to 70 °C when using catalyst **C7** allowed the reaction to proceed to complete conversion within 48 h affording the desired Michael addition adduct **19Ea** in high yield and excellent diastereo- and enantioselectivity.



Table 4. Condition optimization for the reaction of 17E with α '-oxy enone 14a^[a]



[a] Reactions conducted on a 0.3 mmol scale in 0.9 mL of 1,2-DCE (17E/14a/cat molar ratio = 1:3:0.1). [b] Determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy on reaction aliquots before workup. [c] Combined yield of both isomers after chromatography. [d] Determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. [e] *ee* of major isomer, determined by chiral HPLC.

The conversion was determined by ¹H NMR (300 MHz) analysis of untreated samples of the reaction (Table 4, entry 4; Figure 4). Comparing the peak areas of the

 C_{α} -proton of oxazolone **17E** (4.77 ppm, 1 H), and the protons of the methyl substituent at the aromatic ring of adduct **19Ea** (2.24 ppm, 3 H).





The diastereomeric ratio was determined by ¹H NMR (300 MHz) analysis of the reaction crude (Figure 5) comparing the peak areas of the protons of the methyl substituent at the aromatic ring of the adduct (2.24 ppm for major and 2.21 ppm for minor).



Once the optimized conditions were found, the reaction of oxazolones 17A and 17E with α '-hydroxy enones 14a-14d was carried out following the next standard procedure: the oxazolone was dissolved in 1,2-dichloroethane (0.33 M) and 3

equivalents of the enone and 10 mol% of the catalyst **C7** were added. The reaction mixture was stirred at 70 °C, and after verifying reaction completion (TLC monitoring, 48 h), the corresponding Michael adduct was isolated as a mixture of diastereomers by flash column chromatography on silica gel eluting with hexane/acetate mixtures.

The data in Table 5 show that the reaction also proceeded in good yield for the 5-*iso*-butyl substituted oxazolone (adduct **19Aa**), although a slightly lower yield was obtained as a consequence of an incomplete conversion. Higher steric impediment of the alkyl chain of **17A** as compared to the alkyl chain of **17E** could be a possible cause. On the other hand, β -aryl α '-hydroxy enones with electron-donor (**19Ec**) and withdrawing (**19Eb**) groups were well tolerated. Furthermore, the *dr* was higher than 12:1 in all cases, and the lowest enantiomeric excess for the major diastereomer was 96%. Unexpectedly, β -alkyl substituted α '-hydroxy enones seemed to be unreactive under these reaction conditions (**19Ee**). This is especially curious taking into account that the trend for the reaction with α -cyanoacetates is just the opposite, with β -alkyl substituted α '-hydroxy enones being the most reactive (Scheme 17).¹⁵⁸

¹⁵⁸ For more information on the organocatalytic asymmetric Michael addition of cyanoacetates to α 'hydroxy enones see the doctoral Thesis of Eider Badiola, *UPV/EHU*, Donostia, **2016**.



Table 5. Michael reaction scope between oxazolones and β -substituted α '-hydroxy enones^[a]

[a] Reactions conducted on a 0.15 mmol scale in 0.45 mL of 1,2-DCE (17/14/C7 molar ratio = 1:3:0.1). Combined yield of both isomers after chromatography. *dr* determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. *ee* of major isomer determined by chiral HPLC.



Scheme 17.

3.1.3.3. a-Substituted a'-oxy enones as electrophiles

The method was also extended to the reaction with α -substituted α '-oxy enones. This reaction would lead to the formation of two nonadjacent stereogenic centers which, unlike in the case of α '-oxy enones examined above, are created in two different steps. The stereogenic center at C_{α}-atom of oxazolone is formed during the addition step (C–C bond forming step), and the second stereocenter is subsequently formed upon protonation of the resulting enolate. This is a complication since here asymmetric induction from the diol substrate and the catalyst may or may not be convergent. In the protonation step, the asymmetric induction of both the catalyst and the stereogenic center of the substrate are expected to play an important role (Scheme 18).



Scheme 18. Protonation step

Initially the reaction between oxazolone 17E and α -methyl α '-oxy enones (15, 15') in the presence of catalyst C7 was taken as a model to optimize the Michael reaction conditions (Table 6). The reaction at room temperature led to low conversions, even after 72 h (Table 6, entry 1). By increasing the temperature to 50 °C better conversions were obtained, and the desired adduct 22E was obtained in moderate yield, low diastereoselectivity, and moderate enantioselectivity (Table 6, entry 2). Further increase of the temperature afforded similar results, but with a slightly lower diastereoselectivity (Table 6, entry 3). When the reaction was attempted with the *O*-trimethylsilyl derivative 15' no reaction was observed (Table 6, entry 4).

Next, we tested a selection of catalysts, starting with the squaramide catalyst **C8**, which afforded the desired adduct **22E** in similar selectivity to **C7**, but in conversions lower than 50% (Table 6, entry 5). Thiourea catalyst **C9** exhibited an inferior catalytic capacity (Table 6, entry 6). It was gratifying to observe that using catalyst **C13**, which bears an additional amide group for further H-bonding, a good conversion was observed and the corresponding product was obtained in very good enantioselectivity (92 %) (Table 6, entry 7). Unfortunately, none of the tested catalysts afforded diastereomeric ratios higher than 2:1.

Ph 17)5 + 0 + E	O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	R <u>1) cat (10</u> 2) (For en MeOH, 3	mol%), 1,2-DCE, try 4) HF 48% (ac RT, 45 min	72 h 1.) Ph	22E	≺ ^{он}
Entry	cat	Enone	T (°C)	Conv. (%) ^[b]	Yield (%) ^[c]	$dr^{[d]}$	<i>ee</i> (%) ^[e]
1	C7	15	15	<35	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
2	C7	15	50	70	61	2:1	72
3	C7	15	70	80	64	1.5:1	72
4	C7	15'	50	0	-	-	-
5	C8	15	50	42	n.d.	2:1	75
6	C9	15	50	23	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
7	C13	15	50	89	67	2:1	92
$F_{3}C$ N $F_{3}C$ N $F_{3}C$ N N $F_{3}C$ N							

MeO

C7

N H

MeC

C9

Table 6. Condition optimization for the reaction of 17E with α -methyl α '-oxy enones^[a]

[a] Reactions conducted on a 0.15 mmol scale in 0.45 mL of 1,2-dichloroethane (**17E**/enone/cat molar ratio = 2:1:0.1). [b] Determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy on reaction aliquots before workup. [c] Combined yield of both isomers after chromatography. [d] Determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. [e] *ee* of major isomer, determined by chiral HPLC.

F₃C

HN

ö

C8

N

C13

MeO

⁻³ 0

The diastereomeric ratio was determined by ¹H NMR (300 MHz) analysis of the reaction crude (Figure 6) comparing the peak area of the proton at the α -position of the diastereomer adducts (3.27 ppm for minor and 3.13 ppm for major).



Figure 6.

Catalyst C13 was prepared in good yield in four routinely steps according to the method described in Scheme 19.¹⁵⁹





As illustrated in Scheme 20, an alternative approach was also developed in our group¹⁶⁰ for the synthesis of **C13**. This approach takes the advantage that different

¹⁵⁹ For detailed information on the synthesis of catalysts see the Experimental Section.

¹⁶⁰ E. Badiola, I. Olaizola, A. Vázquez, S. Vera, A. Mielgo, C. Palomo, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2017**, *23*, 8185–8195.


catalysts of this type may be prepared via a single common squaramide carboxylic acid precursor.

Scheme 20.

Once the optimized conditions were found, the reaction of oxazolones **17B-E** with α '-hydroxy enone **15** was carried out following the next standard procedure: the enone was dissolved in 1,2-dichloroethane (0.33 M) and 2 equivalents of the oxazolone and 10 mol% of the catalyst **C13** were added. The reaction mixture was stirred at 50 °C, and after verifying that the reaction stopped (¹H NMR monitoring, 72 h), the crude material was purified by flash column chromatography on silica leading to a mixture of diastereomers.

The results in Table 7 show that the reaction proceeded in good yield for the four different oxazolones tested. However, enantioselectivity seems to be very substratedependent, as oxazolones with a longer alkyl chain (Bn, 90% *ee*; hex, 92% *ee*) provided the highest enantioselectivity, while oxazolones with a small side chain afforded lower selectivity (Pr, 80% *ee*; Me, 70% *ee*). Furthermore, the *dr* was lower or equal to 2:1 in all cases.



Table 7. Michael reaction scope between oxazolones and α -methyl α '-hydroxy enone 15^[a]

[a] Reactions conducted on a 0.15 mmol scale in 0.45 mL of 1,2-DCE ($\frac{17}{15}/C13$ molar ratio = 2:1:0.1). Combined yield of both isomers after chromatography. *dr* determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. *ee* of major isomer determined by chiral HPLC.

While this work was ongoing, Misaki and Sugimura¹⁶¹ reported the asymmetric conjugate addition of oxazolones to α -chloroacrylonitrile, obtaining the corresponding α -chloronitriles in excellent yield and diastereoselectivity and high enantioselectivity (Scheme 21).

¹⁶¹ T. Misaki, N.-R. Choi, A. Morita, T. Sugimura, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2015**, *56*, 5063–5066.



Scheme 21.

3.1.3.4. Elaboration of adducts

In order to validate the α '-hydroxy ketone functionality as a carboxylic acid surrogate, the oxidation of the ketol moiety by using cerium ammonium nitrate (CAN) was carried out. The corresponding carboxylic acids **23–26** were obtained in high yield and without loss of optical purity (Scheme 22).





Carboxylic acid **23** was further derivatized to afford known γ -lactone **28**,¹⁶² which served to determine the configuration of adduct **23** and its precursor **18C** as *R* (Scheme 23). Configuration of the remaining adducts **18** was assigned by assuming a uniform reaction mechanism.

¹⁶² A. Paju, M. Laos, A. Jõgi, M. Päri, R. Jäälaid, T. Pehk, T. Kanger, M. Lopp, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2006**, *47*, 4491–4493.





A crystalline sample of adduct **19Eb** was obtained by crystallisation from a mixture of hexane/ethyl acetate and then its absolute configuration was established by a single crystal X-ray analysis thus confirming initial assignment (Figure 7).





On the other hand, chemical correlation between adduct **18E** and **22E** as indicated in Scheme 24 demonstrated again that the newly generated stereocenter at the oxazolone C5 position is the same in both reactions.



Scheme 24.

3.2. 1,6-Additions to α '-oxy dienones

After developing a robust method for the asymmetric 1,4-addition reactions, the investigation of the nucleophilic addition at more remote positions of polyunsaturated α '-oxy ketones was the next logical step.

3.2.1. Precedents and objectives

At the outset of this project there were only two precedents in the literature involving catalyst-controlled direct stereoselective 1,6-addition reactions of enolizable nucleophiles leading to the formation of a stereogenic center at C_{α} : On the one hand, Jørgensen and coworkers¹⁶³ reported the regio- and stereoselective addition of cyclic β -ketoesters and iminoesters to diverse unsubstituted diunsaturated electrophiles under phase-transfer conditions with excellent results (Scheme 25a). On the other hand, Ooi et al.¹⁶⁴ performed the 1,6- and 1,8-addition of azlactones to polyunsaturated γ - and ζ -alkyl *N*-acylpyrroles respectively catalyzed by a triaminophosphorane catalyst with high levels of regio- and stereocontrol, and later extended the substrate scope to diunsaturated γ -aryl *N*-acylpyrroles (Scheme 25b).¹⁶⁵



Scheme 25.

¹⁶³ L. Bernardi, J. López-Cantarero, B. Niess, K. A. Jørgensen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 5772–5778.
¹⁶⁴ a) D. Uraguchi, K. Yoshioka, Y. Ueki, T. Ooi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 19370–19373. b) D. Uraguchi, K. Yoshioka, T. Ooi, *Nature Commun.* DOI: 10.1038/ncomms14793.

¹⁶⁵ For further information on conjugate 1,6-addition reactions see: a) A. G. Csákÿ, G. Herrán, M. C. Murcia, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2010**, *39*, 4080–4102. b) E. M. P. Silve, A. M. S. Silva, **2012**, 44, 3109–3128.

Moreover, while the project was ongoing Feng and coworkers reported the scandium-catalyzed asymmetric 1,6-addition of 3-substituted oxindoles to dienyl ketones in excellen selectivity (Scheme 26).



Scheme 26.

Taking into account the scarcity of methods, the viability of the asymmetric 1,6conjugate addition of enolizable carbonyl compounds to α '-oxy dienones was attempted (Scheme 27).





The realization of this objective possessed several challenges to overcome: i) the control of the face selectivity at sites remote from the coordination point, ii) the diminished reactivity of α '-oxy dienones as compared to the parent unsubstituted α '-oxy enones and iii) the control of the 1,4 vs 1,6 addition.

The goal was to identify the factors that govern the different reactivity and selectivity aspects of this transformation.

3.2.2. Oxazolones as nucleophiles

The suitability of a catalytic 1,6-addition process involving our dienones was first evaluated by using oxazolone **17E** and α '-oxy dienones **16** and **16'** in the presence of several Brønsted base catalysts (Table 8). In a first run the reaction was carried out under the conditions developed for the Michael reaction of oxazolones with β -aryl α '-hydroxy enones. Under these conditions (**C7** 10 mol%, 70 °C) a 2:1 mixture of the 1,6-and 1,4-addition products was obtained in low stereoselectivity (Table 8, entry 1). In

light of this result, we decided to change the tertiary amine by a stronger basic functionality. The idea was that a stronger base would increase the amount of enolate present in the reaction media, thus accelerating the reaction and making feasible its performance under kinetic control, hopefully favouring the addition at the least sterically-hindered position (δ).

For that goal, a set of novel guanidine catalysts (C14-C18) and phosphanimine C19 were synthesised. The reactions at 0 °C with guanidine catalysts C14-C18, afforded the 1,6-addition adduct exclusively. However, enantioselectivity remained suboptimal in all the cases. For example guanidine C14, similar to the ones developed by Ishikawa,¹⁶⁶ afforded the desired product in an almost racemic manner (Table 8, entry 2). Moreover, the use of catalysts C15-C17, including variations in the relative disposition of the stereogenic centers did not lead to substantial improvement of the enantioselection (entries 3, 5 and 7, respectively). The silylated dienone 16' was also tested in the reaction with catalysts C15-C17 (entries 4, 6 and 8, respectively), but reaction times became longer and similar *ee* values to the one described by Wang and Qu,¹⁶⁷ was tested in the reaction (Table 8, entry 9), similar results were obtained. Finally, phosphanimine catalyst C19 was also employed in the reaction (Table 8, entry 10), but low conversion rates were observed.

¹⁶⁶ T. Ishikawa, Y. Araki, T. Kumamoto, H. Seki, K. Fukuda, T. Isobe, *Chem. Commun.* 2001, 245–246.

¹⁶⁷ L. Zou, B. Wang, H. Mu, H. Zhang, Y. Song, J. Qu, Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 3106–3109.



Table 8. Catalyst screening for the reaction of oxazolone 17E with α '-oxy dienones^[a]

[a] Reactions conducted on a 0.15 mmol scale in 0.45 mL of CH_2Cl_2 (**17E**/dienone/cat molar ratio = 1:2:0.1). [b] Yield of combined isomers after chromatography. [c] Determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. [d] *ee* of compound **29**, determined by chiral HPLC. [e] Reaction carried out at 70 °C using 1,2-DCE as the solvent.

While this study was ongoing, Misaki and Sugimura¹⁶⁸ reported a similar work where they performed the conjugate 1,6-addition of oxazolones to simple dienones. The corresponding adducts were obtained in variable yield and excellent enantioselectivity as a mixture of E/Z isomers (Scheme 28).



Scheme 28.

3.2.3. α-Cyanoacetates as nucleophiles

At this point, we decided to switch from oxazolones to α -cyanoacetates as nucleophiles, and the reaction of cyanoacetate **30** with α '-oxy dienone **16** was taken as a model to perform the catalyst screening (Table 9). Also some new catalysts **C20-C25** were synthesised. To begin with, guanidine catalysts bearing the squaramide (**C16**), thiourea (**C20**) and ureidopeptide (**C21**) motifs were employed in the reaction, and although the 1,6-addition adduct was formed exclusively, enantioselectivity near zero was obtained. When catalyst **C18** was used for the reaction, some stereoselectivity was observed and therefore different catalysts bearing the same chiral guanidine structure were synthesised and tested. First, catalyst **C22**, with a different relative configuration, rendered the adduct in lower *ee*. On the other hand, catalyst **C23**, not bearing additional H-bonding groups, turned out to be an inefficient catalyst for the reaction. Finally, catalysts **C24** and **C25**, with additional basic functionalities, rendered the desired product in good yield, but very low enantioselectivity.

¹⁶⁸ a) A. Morita, T. Misaki, T. Sugimura, *Chem Lett.* **2014**, *43*, 1826–1828. b) A. Morita, T. Misaki, T. Sugimura, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2015**, 56, 264–267.



Table 9. Catalyst screening for the reaction of cyanoacetate 30 with α '-hydroxy dienone 16^[a]

[a] Reactions conducted on a 0.15 mmol scale in 0.45 mL of CH_2Cl_2 (**30**/16/cat molar ratio = 1:2:0.1). Isolated yield after chromatography. *ee* determined by chiral HPLC.

At this point, seeing the difficulties we were encountering in our quest for a catalyst that could effectively control the enantioselectivity of the 1,6-addition reaction, we decided to provisionally put the project aside to focus on other goals.

3.3.Regio-, diastereo- and enantioselective functionalization of unactivated cyclic ketones

3.3.1. Precedents and objectives

Despite the great synthetic interest of chiral ketones, the direct asymmetric α -functionalization of enolizable ketones remains challenging. This situation aggravates in the case of non-symmetrical unactivated ketones with two sites for deprotonation, in which the procedures for the catalytic asymmetric α -carbofunctionalization are mostly limited to the use of either chiral auxiliaries or preformed enolates and equivalents. For instance, to the best of our knowledge, the direct catalytic stereoselective α -arylation¹⁶⁹ of these ketones is yet to be achieved, and only scarce examples of allylation¹⁷⁰ and alkylation¹⁷¹ reactions have been reported so far.

Successful strategies for the α -alkylation involving the use of primary amine catalysts have been independently reported by the groups of Carter¹⁷² and Kotsuki,¹⁷³ but they require a high catalyst loading and harsh reaction conditions (Scheme 29). Furthermore, the substituent at C_{α} is limited to the methyl, ethyl, *n*-propyl and benzyl groups, affording the adducts of the latter two in low yield (<35%).

¹⁶⁹ For reviews on the arylation of carbonyl compounds see: a) C. C. C. Johansson, T. J. Colacot, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2010**, *49*, 676–707. b) F. Bellina, R. Rossi, *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, *110*, 1082–1146.

¹⁷⁰ For a metal-catalyzed example of this reaction see: a) W. Chen, M. Chen, J. F. Hartwig, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2014, *136*, 15825–15828. For reviews on the allylation of carbonyl compounds see: b) S. Oliver, P. A. Evans, *Synthesis* 2013, *45*, 3179–3198. c) J. C. Hethcox, S. E. Shockley, B. M. Stolz, *ACS Catal.* 2016, *6*, 6207–6213.

¹⁷¹ For a recent review on the direct asymmetric alkylation of ketones see: R. Cano, A. Zakarian, G. P. McGlacken, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 9278–9290.

¹⁷² a) J. Y. Kang, R. G. Carter, *Org. Lett.* **2012**, *14*, 3178–3181. b) J. Y. Kang, R. C. Johnston, K. M. Snyder, P. H.-Y. Cheong, R. G. Carter, *J. Org. Chem.* **2016**, *81*, 3629–3637.

¹⁷³ R. Horinouchi, K. Kamei, R. Watanabe, N. Hieda, N. Tatsumi, K. Nakano, Y. Ichikawa, H. Kotsuki, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2015**, 4457–4463.





On the other hand, Toste¹⁷⁴ and List¹⁷⁵ have independently demonstrated the utility of chiral phosphoric acids as Brønsted acid catalysts for the regioselective asymmetric α -carbofunctionalization of cyclic ketones with allenamides, allylic alcohols and α '-branched α , β -unsaturated ketones (Scheme 30).





At the outset of this project, the only direct Brønsted base-catalyzed example in the literature for the regio- and stereoselective functionalization of ketones with two

 ¹⁷⁴ a) X. Yang, F. D. Toste, *Chem. Sci.* 2016, 7, 2653–2656. For examples of heterofunctionalization reactions see: b) X. Yang, R. J. Phipps, F. D. Toste, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2014, *136*, 5225–5228. c) X. Yang, F. D. Toste, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2015, *137*, 3205–3208.

¹⁷⁵ a) I. Felker, G. Pupo, P. Kraft, B. List, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 1960–1964. b) G. Pupo, R. Properzi, B. List, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 6099–6102. For an example of amination reaction see: c) G. A. Shevchenko, G. Pupo, B. List, *Synlett* **2015**, *26*, 1413–1416.

distinct sites for deprotonation was the one reported by Wang,¹⁷⁶ where the Michael reaction of α -aryl substituted cyclopentanones with nitroalkenes was catalyzed by a chiral thiourea-sulfonamide catalyst (Scheme 31). The corresponding α -alkylation adducts were obtained exclusively and in excellent yield, diastereo- and enantioselectivity. However, α -phenyl cyclohexanone behaved sluggishly.



Scheme 31.

We decided to explore alternative strategies to provide a methodology for the α functionalization of either cyclic or acyclic α -substituted ketones. The major handicaps in developing direct regio- and enantioselective C-C bond forming reactions at C_{α} position of α -branched ketones under proton transfer conditions stem from: i) the relatively high pK_a value of the ketone substrate and ii) the steric constraints imposed by the carbonyl α -substituent, which difficult proton abstraction and decreases nucleophilicity. We envisioned that an alkenyl group installed at the α -position of the carbonyl function, that is an α -alkenyl cycloalkanone, would not only provide synthetic versatility to the resulting adducts, but, most importantly, also charge delocalization during enolization. As a result, a weak base catalyst might suffice to trigger the reaction while securing regioselective α - vs α '-enolization (Scheme 32).



Scheme 32.

¹⁷⁶ X.-Q. Dong, H.-L. Teng, M.-C. Tong, H. Huang, H.-Y. Tao, C.-J. Wang, *Chem Commun.* **2010**, *46*, 6840–6842.

However, in that design the Brønsted base should also be effective in controlling both the α - vs γ -reactivity of the transiently formed vinylogous enolate and the reaction stereoselectivity during generation of the quaternary stereogenic center.

Recently, I. Iriarte, O. Olaizola and Dr. Vera from this laboratory¹⁷⁷ have addressed this latter problem in acyclic systems and found that conjugate additions of β , γ -unsaturated ketones to nitroalkenes catalyzed by bifunctional Brønsted bases provided only the α -addition adducts (Scheme 33).



Scheme 33.

3.3.2. α-Functionalization of α-alkenyl cycloalkanones

On this basis, our study was initiated with the reaction of α -alkenyl cycloalkanones with vinyl sulphones under similar conditions, addressing the double $\alpha/\alpha'/\gamma$ regioselectivity problem. We elected to use vinyl sulphones as acceptors because, upon double bond hydrogenation and desulfonylation, products from a formal α -alkylation of α -alkyl cycloalkanones would result in a concise, simple way (Scheme 34). Such products are otherwise difficult to achieve.¹⁷⁸

¹⁷⁷ I. Iriarte, O. Olaizola, S. Vera, I. Gamboa, M. Oiarbide, C. Palomo, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 8860–8864.

¹⁷⁸ For an approach involving protection of α'-position, α-alkylation and α'-deprotection see: a) T. Hamada, A. Chieffi, J. Ahman, S. L. Buchwald, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 1261–1268. b) T. Kano, Y. Hayashi, K. Maruoka, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 7134–7137.



Scheme 34.

3.3.2.1. Catalyst screening

Initially the reaction between 2-styrylcyclohexanone (34A) and 1,1bis(phenylsulfonyl)ethylene (35) was taken as a model to find the optimal catalyst for the α -alkylation reaction of cyclic α -alkenylketones (Table 10). In a first run, the reaction catalyzed by thiourea C9 afforded exclusively the desired α -addition product **35A** in good yield, but in an almost racemic manner. Urea catalysts $C26^{179}$ and $C27^{180}$ afforded the adduct in better, but still low enantioselectivity. On the other hand, $(DHQD)_2PYR$ (C11) and squaramide catalysts $C7^{154a}$ and $C12^{154b}$ rendered the addition product in high yield and moderate ee values (60-73% ee). When using the less basic amine $C10^{156}$ as the catalyst low conversions were observed, even at room temperature. Moreover, the new squaramide catalysts bearing an amide group as an additional Hbond donor functionality (C13 and C28¹⁸¹) led to no improvement as compared to C7. However, using a more sterically demanding catalyst such as $C29^{182}$ the desired adduct was obtained in excellent yield and enantioselectivity (96% ee). Furthermore, catalyst C30, synthesised from hydrogenated quinine, afforded adduct 35A in even higher enantioselectivity (98% *ee*). Remarkably, no formation of α '- or γ -addition adducts was observed with any of the catalyst.

¹⁷⁹ K. Greenaway, P. Dambruoso, A. Ferrali, A. J. Hazelwood, F. Sladojevich, D. J. Dixon, *Synthesis* **2011**, *12*, 1880–1886.

¹⁸⁰ S. Diosdado, R. López, C. Palomo, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2014**, *20*, 6526–6531.

¹⁸¹ I. Urruzuno, O. Mugica, M. Oiarbide, C. Palomo, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 2059–2063.

¹⁸² A. Odriozola, M. Oiarbide, C. Palomo, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2017**, *23*, 12758–12762.



Table 10. Catalyst screening for the reaction between 34A and 33^[a]

[a] Reactions conducted on a 0.15 mmol scale in 0.3 mL of CH_2Cl_2 (**34A/33**/cat molar ratio = 1:1.5:0.1). Yield of isolated product after chromatography. *ee* determined by chiral HPLC. [b] Reaction conducted at RT.

3.3.2.2. Reaction scope

Once the optimal catalyst for the reaction model was found, the reaction of cyclic α -alkenyl ketones **34** with bisulfone **33** was conducted following the next standard procedure: the donor ketone was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (0.5 M) and 1.5 equivalents of 1,1-bis(phenylsulfonyl)ethylene (**35**) and 5 mol% of the catalyst **C30** were added. The reaction mixture was stirred at 0 °C, and after verifying that the reaction finished (TLC monitoring, 14 h), the reaction mixture was submitted to flash column chromatography on silica gel eluting with hexane/EtOAc mixtures.

As data in Table 11 show, the reaction tolerated well the different ring-sized cycloalkanones (**34B–34D**), affording the corresponding alkylation products **35B–35D** in high yield and excellent enantioselectivity except for the cyclopentanone derivative **35B**, which was obtained in lower selectivity. It is noteworthy that in the case of adducts **35B** and **35D** switching the solvent to toluene resulted in an increase of stereoselectivity. Moreover, compound **35E**, bearing substituents at the cyclohexanone ring and with a more electron-rich alkenylic group was also obtained with equally good results. However, product **35F**, not having a styril group, was obtained in lower *ee* values. Curiously, when α -phenyl cyclohexanone (**35G**) was tested in the reaction for a comparison, no reaction was observed, probably due to the bigger steric hindrance present at the α -position as compared to the other substrates. In addition, the scalability of the reaction was demonstrated by performing the reaction at 3 mmol scale, obtaining adduct **35A** with similar results. Importantly, in every case exclusively the α -addition product was formed.



Table 11. Reaction scope between α -alkenyl ketones and 33^[a]

[a] Reactions conducted on a 0.15 mmol scale in 0.3 mL of CH_2Cl_2 (34/33/C30 molar ratio = 1:1.5:0.05). Yield of isolated product after chromatography. *ee* determined by chiral HPLC. [b] Reaction conducted at 3 mmol scale. [c] Reaction conducted at RT using toluene as the solvent.

In parallel to our work, O. Mugica from this laboratory found that vinyl sulphones are suitable acceptors for the conjugate addition reaction with α -alkenyl α -tetralones, and was able to obtain the addition products in high yield and almost perfect enantioselectivity by using "bulky" Brønsted base catalyst **C30** (Scheme 35).



Scheme 35.

3.3.2.3. Elaboration of adducts

Once an efficient and highly selective procedure for the α -alkylation was obtained, some possibilities for the transformation of the adducts were briefly explored.

First, the desulfonylation of adducts **35A** and **35E** was performed with magnesium in methanol after ketalization of the carbonyl to avoid its non-stereoselective reduction (Scheme 36). The desired desulfonylated products **38** and **39** were obtained in moderate yield.





Moreover, alkylation of product **36** was performed with methyl iodide and sodium hydride obtaining the methylated product **40** in high yield, and upon subsequent desulfonylation and deprotection α , α -disubstituted ketone **41** was obtained in moderate yield (Scheme 37). Unfortunately, preliminary attempts on benzylation and allylation of **36** under the same reaction conditions were unsuccessful.





In another transformation, compound **38** was derivatized to afford known β -ketoester **43**,¹⁸³ which served to determine the configuration of adduct **38** and its precursor **35A** as *R* (Scheme 38). Configuration of remaining adducts was assumed based on a uniform reaction mechanism.

¹⁸³ S. Pinheiro, A. Guingant, D. Desmaële, D. d'Angelo, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **1992**, *3*, 1003–1006.





On the other hand, the branched cycloalkanone **45** was synthesised in high yield from **38** via hydrolysis of the ketal and reduction of the double bond with H₂/Pd (Scheme 39). The former is the adduct formally derived from the asymmetric alkylation of an α -alkyl cycloalkanone, which is difficult to achieve regio- and stereoselectivily.





Intermediate 44 was also used for the preparation of the β , γ -dihydroxy ketone derivative 42 (Scheme 40). In a first step ketone 44 was oxidized with osmium tetroxide and *N*-methylmorpholine *N*-oxide affording the hemiketal 46, and after conversion to the ketal the desired diol 42 was obtained in good yield and as a single isomer.



Scheme 40.

Additionally, a crystalline sample of adduct **46** was obtained by crystallization from a mixture of hexane/dichloromethane and thus the absolute configuration of compound **46** was established by a single crystal X-ray analysis. The configuration of

the molecule happened to be (1S, 6S, 7S, 8S), with both hydroxyl groups on the same side of the tetrahydrofurane ring (Figure 8).





Finally, compound **39** was reduced with H₂/Pd leading to the α,α -dialkyl ketal intermediate **47**, which after subsequent oxidative scission and deprotection of the ketone afforded product **48** (Scheme 41). It is worth noting that **48** is the adduct formally derived from the asymmetric Michael reaction between an α -alkyl cycloalkanone and an enoate, a reaction for which no direct Brønsted base-catalyzed procedures have yet been reported.



Scheme 41.

3.3.3. α-Alkylation of β-tetralone derivatives

Given these results, we next explored the behaviour of β -tetralones in this type of reations. We hypothesised that the fused aromatic ring in β -tetralones might induce preferential enolization at C_a rather than C_a' (Scheme 42), and that in the presence of a Brønsted base relatively high concentrations of the enolic form would be expected, thus eventually driving the catalytic process forward. Moreover, not only alkenyl, but also alkyl groups at this position might be equally tolerated.





The only previous asymmetric procedures for the α '- and α -functionalization of β -tetralone derivatives described by Seebach¹⁸⁴ and d'Angelo¹⁸⁵ respectively rely on the use of chiral amine auxiliaries, affording the corresponding adducts in good yield but variable diastereo- and enantioselectivity (Scheme 43). Most importantly, in these examples the regioselectivity of the reaction is strongly substrate-dependent.





In this context, the use of a chiral Brønsted base would not only enable the use of catalytic amounts of the chiral compound for the selective α -functionalization of β -tetralones, but, compared to the previous procedures employing chiral amine auxiliaries,

¹⁸⁴ a) S. J. Blarer, W. D. Seebach, *Chem. Ber.* **1982**, *116*, 3086–3096.

¹⁸⁵ a) T. Volpe, G. Revial, M. Pfau, J. d'Angelo, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1987, 28, 2367–2370. b) J. d'Angelo, G. Revial, T. Volpe, M. Pfau, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1988, 29, 4427–4430.

would also avoid the need for additional steps for the formation of the nucleophilic species and the recovery of the final carbonyl compound.

Indeed, whilst O. Mugica from this laboratory demonstrated that β -tetralones can be selectively substituted at C_a in the presence of a Brønsted base catalyst employing vinyl sulphones as electrophiles,¹⁸⁶ we have found that nitroolefins and azodicarboxylates are also suitable substrate acceptors for this reaction leading to attractive building-blocks of products of relatively greater complexity, as it has been shown in previous works (Scheme 44).¹⁸⁷



Scheme 44.

3.3.3.1. Initial experiments and reaction scope

Initially the reaction between β -tetralone **49B** and nitrostyrene (**32a**) at 0 °C was taken as a model in order to find the optimal Brønsted base catalyst to render the corresponding α, α -disubstituted β -tetralones. As the most representative experiments summarised in Table 12 show, all catalysts afforded the α -addition adduct exclusively in a completely diastereoselective manner. However, stereoselectivity happened to be

¹⁸⁶ Results not published yet.

¹⁸⁷ For the synthesis of: Homoerythrina alkaloids: a) M. A. Le Dréau, D. Desmaele, F. Dumas, J. O'Angelo, J. Org. Chem. **1993**, 58, 2933–2935. Morphan derivatives: b) G. Lim, J. W. Hooper, US Patent 4, 017,493; Apr. 12, **1997**. Glucocorticoid receptors: c) B. P. Morgan, A. G. Swick, D. M. Hargrove, J. A. LaFlame, M. S. Moybihan, R. S. Carrol, K. A. Martin, G. Lee, D. Decosta, J. Bordner, J. Med. Chem. **2002**, 45, 2417–2424. Stradiols: d) Y. Bouali, F. Nique, J.-G. Teutsch, P. Van de Velde, US Patent 6, 207,657BI, Mar 27, **2001**. e) J. P. Larkin, C. Whrey, P. Boffelli, H. Lagraulet, G. Lamaitre, A. Nedelec, D. Prat, Org. Process Res. Dev. **2002**, 6, 20–27.

highly catalyst-dependent. Catalysts bearing the squaramide H-bonding structure (C7, C12, C13, C28, C31-C33) exhibited a superior enantiocontrol when compared to catalysts with other functionalities such as urea (C26¹⁷⁹), thiourea (C9¹⁵⁵) and ureidopeptide (C35¹⁸⁸), or catalysts not bearing this kind of H-bond donor moieties (C11 and C34), which all rendered adduct 51Ba in low *ee* (<50%). C7^{154a} and C12^{154b} afforded the adduct in similar enantioselectivity, while catalysts C13 and C31¹⁸⁹ provided slightly higher *ee*. Catalysts bearing a benzylic group instead of an arylic group at the amide functionality (C28¹⁸¹ and C32) rendered the product in improved enantioselectivity, although longer reaction times (16 h) were required. Furthermore, the use of *N*-methylated catalyst (C33) leaded to a significant loss of enantioselectivity, thus suggesting that the amide group is involved in some H-bond interaction during the reaction.



Table 12. Catalyst screening for the reaction between 49B and 32a^[a]

¹⁸⁸ S. Diosdado, J. Etxabe, J. Izquierdo, A. Landa, A. Mielgo, I. Olaizaola, R. López, C. Palomo, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 11846–11851.

¹⁸⁹ J. Etxabe, J. Izquierdo, A. Landa, M. Oiarbide, C. Palomo, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 6883–6886.



[a] Reactions conducted on a 0.30 mmol scale in 0.6 mL of CH_2Cl_2 (**49B/32a**/cat molar ratio = 1:1.2: 0.1). Yield of isolated product after chromatography. dr = >20:1 in all cases. *ee* determined by chiral HPLC.

Next, to ensure that stereoselectivity was not substrate-dependent catalysts with the squaramide motif (C13, C28, C32 and C33) were tested in the reaction between β -tetralone **49B** and the more electron-rich nitroalkene **32b** (Table 13). The results were similar to the ones above obtained.

Table 13. Catalyst screening for the reaction between 49B and 32b^[a]



[a] Reactions conducted on a 0.30 mmol scale in 0.6 mL of CH_2Cl_2 (**49B/32b**/cat molar ratio = 1:1.2:0.1). Yield of isolated product after chromatography. dr = >20:1 in all cases. *ee* determined by chiral HPLC.

In light of the preliminary results, catalysts C13, C28 and C32 were chosen for the study of the effect of temperature in the reaction between β -tetralone 49B and nitrostyrene (32a) (Table 14). Lowering the temperature from 15 to 0 °C improved the enantioselectivity obtained with catalyst C13, although reaction times became longer (entry 1 vs. 2). Further decrease in temperature to -20 °C allowed to obtain adduct 51Ba in 90% *ee* (Table 14, entry 3). Conducting the reaction with catalyst C28 at -10 °C instead of 15 °C also improved the stereoselectivity (entry 4 vs. 5), and afforded comparable results to the ones obtained with catalysts C13 at -20 °C (entry 3 vs. 5). An improvement in enantioselectivity was also observed with catalyst C32 when using it at 0 °C as compared to the same reaction at 15 °C (entry 6 vs. 7). However, the low solubility of catalyst C32 made it behave sluggishly when decreasing the temperature bellow 0°C, affording the product in similar yield and enantioselectivity, but after longer reaction times (72 h) (Table 14, entry 8). Thus, C28 was chosen as the optimal catalyst for the reaction of α -substituted β -tetralones with nitroalkenes (32).



	Ph 49B +	Ph ^{NO} 2 32a	cat (10 mol%) CH ₂ Cl ₂	Ph Ph 51Ba CF ₃	NO ₂ D
R		$\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{O} \mathbf{NH}$ $\mathbf{F_{3}C}$ $\mathbf{F_{3}C}$	F ₃ C CF ₃	CF ₃	IH CF3
	MEO	013	620		632
Entry	cat	T (°C)	t (h)	Yield (%) ^[b]	<i>ee</i> (%) ^[c]
Entry 1	cat C13	T (°C) 15	t (h) 6	Yield (%) ^[b] 85	<i>ee</i> (%) ^[c] 76
Entry 1 2	cat C13 C13	T (°C) 15 0	t (h) 6 16	Yield (%) ^[b] 85 84	<i>ee</i> (%) ^[c] 76 86
Entry 1 2 3	cat C13 C13 C13 C13	T (°C) 15 0 -20	t (h) 6 16 24	Yield (%) ^[b] 85 84 84	<i>ee</i> (%) ^[c] 76 86 90
Entry 1 2 3 4	C13 C13 C13 C13 C28	T (°C) 15 0 -20 15	t (h) 6 16 24 16	Yield (%) ^[b] 85 84 84 93	<i>ee</i> (%) ^[c] 76 86 90 80
Entry 1 2 3 4 5	Cat C13 C13 C13 C13 C28 C28	T (°C) 15 0 -20 15 -10	t (h) 6 16 24 16 24	Yield (%) ^[b] 85 84 84 93 82	ee (%) ^[c] 76 86 90 80 90
Entry 1 2 3 4 5 6	Cat C13 C13 C13 C13 C28 C28 C28 C32	T (°C) 15 0 -20 15 -10 15	t (h) 6 16 24 16 24 16 24 16	Yield (%) ^[b] 85 84 84 93 82 84	ee (%) ^[c] 76 86 90 80 90 85
Entry 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Cat C13 C13 C13 C13 C28 C28 C28 C28 C28 C32 C32	T (°C) 15 0 -20 15 -10 15 0	t (h) 6 16 24 16 24 16 24 16 24	Yield (%) ^[b] 85 84 84 93 82 84 84 86	ee (%) ^[c] 76 86 90 80 90 85 89

[a] Reactions conducted on a 0.30 mmol scale in 0.6 mL of CH_2Cl_2 (**49B**/**32a**/cat molar ratio = 1:1.2:0.1). dr = >20:1 in all cases. [b] Yield after chromatography. [c] *ee* of major isomer, determined by chiral HPLC. [d] Low solubility of the catalyst.

With the optimized conditions in hand, the reaction of β -tetralone derivatives **49B-49D** with a set of nitroalkenes (**32**) was carried out following the next standard procedure: the donor was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (0.5 M) and 1.2 equivalents of the acceptor nitroalkene and 10 mol% of the catalyst **C28** were added. The reaction mixture was stirred at -10 °C, and after verifying that the reaction finished (TLC monitoring, 16–64 h) the reaction mixture was submitted to flash column chromatography on silica gel eluting with hexane/EtOAc mixtures.

As the results in Table 15 show, the reaction proceeds smoothly for the differently substituted aromatic nitroalkenes (**32a-32d**) affording the corresponding adducts (**51Ba–51Bd**) in high selectivity (90–92% ee). Furthermore, heteroaromatic (**32h**) and aliphatic (**32i**) nitroalkenes afforded the corresponding adducts (**51Bh** and **51Bi**) with similarly good yield and enantioselectivity. α -Subsituted tetralones bearing additional functionality (**49C** and **49D**) were also successfully employed in the reaction with equally good yields and *ee* values (products **51Ce** and **51Df**). The reaction also tolerates β -tetralones bearing electron donating or withdrawing groups at the aromatic ring, which were equally efficient (adducts **51Fg**, **51Ga** and **51Hj**). Importantly, in every case no traces of products from the reaction at the α '-carbon atom were found, and the adducts were obtained essentially as a sole diastereomer. It must be noted that for the formation of some adducts (**51Ce**, **51Fg** and **51Ga**) in high yield the use of the more active catalyst **C13** was necessary.



Table 15. Michael reaction scope between α -substituted β -tetralones and nitroalkenes^[a]

[a] Reactions conducted on a 0.30 mmol scale in 0.6 mL of CH_2Cl_2 (49/32/C28 molar ratio = 1:1.2:0.1). Yield of isolated product after chromatography. dr = >20:1 in all cases. *ee* determined by chiral HPLC. [b] Reaction conducted at -20 °C. [c] Reaction conducted at -20 °C with catalyst C13. [d] Reaction conducted at RT with catalyst C13.

In light of the good results obtained with α -substituted β -tetralones, the behaviour of the parent α -unsubstituted β -tetralone **49A** was investigated under similar reaction conditions. A selection of bifunctional Brønsted base catalysts bearing the squaramide functional unit (**C7**, **C13** and **C28**) were tested in the reaction of **49A** with nitrostyrene (**32a**) (Table 16). In all the cases the desired adduct **51Aa** with a tertiary stereocenter at C_{α} was obtained in high yield, good diastereoselectivity and almost

perfect enantioselectivity. Importantly, no α , α -double addition or α '-addition adducts were observed in any of the cases.



Table 16. Catalyst screening for the reaction between 35A and 34a^[a]

[a] Reactions conducted on a 0.30 mmol scale in 0.6 mL of CH_2Cl_2 (**49A/32a**/cat molar ratio = 1:1.2: 0.1). Yield of isolated product after chromatography. *dr* determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. *ee* determined by chiral HPLC.

Next, the effect of temperature and the C7 loading in the reaction of 49A with nitrostyrene (32a) were examined (Table 17). Almost perfect enantioselectivity was obtained at 0, -20 and -40 °C (Table 17, entries 1, 2 and 4, respectively), but higher temperatures (Table 17, entry 1) and prolonged reaction times (Table 17, entries 3 and 4) were detrimental for the diastereomeric ratio, likely due to the tendency of the adduct to further deprotonate in the presence of the catalyst, resulting in epimerization. Furthermore, reactions with 5, 2 and 1 mol% C7 loadings afforded adduct 51Aa in equally good results (entries 5, 6 and 7, respectively).

$\begin{array}{c} & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ \hline \\ & & & \\ &$						
Entry	C7 (mol%)	T (°C)	t (h)	Yield (%) ^[b]	<i>dr</i> (%) ^[c]	<i>ee</i> (%) ^[d]
1	10	15	1	74	1:1	99
2	10	-20	2	85	4:1	99
3	10	-20	8	81	1:1	99
4	10	-40	16	75	2:1	99
5	5	-20	4	82	4:1	99
6	2	-20	8	83	4:1	99
7	1	-20	16	82	4:1	98

Table 17. Study of the effect of temperature in the reaction between 49A and 32a catalyzed by C7^[a]

[a] Reactions conducted on a 0.30 mmol scale in 0.6 mL of CH_2Cl_2 (**49A/32a** molar ratio = 1:1.2). [b] Combined yield of isomers after chromatography. [c] Determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy of an untreated reaction sample and confirmed by HPLC. [d] *ee* determined by chiral HPLC, same for both isomers.

The diastereomeric ratio was determined by ¹H NMR (300 MHz) analysis of the reaction crude (Figure 9) comparing the peak areas of the protons at C_{α} of adduct **51Aa** (3.76 ppm for minor and 3.65 ppm for major).



Figure 9.

Once the optimized conditions were found, the reaction of β -tetralone **49A** with nitroalkenes (**32**) was carried out following the next standard procedure: the donor was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (0.5 M) and 1.2 equivalents of the acceptor nitroalkene and 2 mol% of the catalyst **C7** were added. The reaction mixture was stirred at -20 °C for the aromatic nitroalkenes, and at room temperature for the aliphatic ones. After verifying that the reaction finished (TLC monitoring, 8–24 h) the reaction mixture was submitted to flash column chromatography, isolating the corresponding adduct **51A** as a mixture of diastereomers.

As results in Table 18 show, the reaction was again found to be completely regioselective for all the nitroalkenes tested. Furthermore, the corresponding Michael addition products **51A** were obtained from both aryl- (**51Aa-51Ae**) and alky-substituted (**51Ai-51Aj**) nitroalkenes in high yield and in excellent enantioselectivity. Furthermore, diastereoselectivity was good (4:1 or higher) with the only exception of the 2-furyl substituted nitroalkene **32h**, which afforded a 1:1 diastereomeric mixture (entry 6). The reaction was also scaled up to a 2 mmol scale for adduct **51Aa** obtaining equally good results (entry 10).

Table 18. Michael reaction scope between β -tetralone 49A and nitroalkenes^[a]

$F_{3}C$ $(7 (2 \text{ mol}\%) \text{ MeO}$ R R H							
	49A	R' ~ 2 32		CH ₂ 0	Cl ₂	51A	
Entry	Product	R	T (°C)	t (h)	Yield (%) ^[b]	$dr(\%)^{[c]}$	ee (%) ^[d]
1	51Aa	Ph	-20	8	83	4:1	99
2	51Ab	4-MeOC ₆ H ₄	-20	8	85	8:1	99
3	51Ac	$4-BrC_6H_4$	-20	8	88	4:1	99
4	51Ad	3-MeOC ₆ H ₄	-20	8	80	4:1	99
5	51Ae	$2-ClC_6H_4$	-20	8	84	>20:1	99
6	51Ah	2-furyl	-20	8	86	1:1	98
7	51Ai	CH ₃ (CH ₂) ₂	RT	16	81	5:1	99
8	51Aj	$Ph(CH_2)_2$	RT	16	83	5:1	99
9	51Ak	$C_{6}H_{11}$	RT	24	80	4:1	99
10	51Aa	Ph	-20	8	83	4:1	98 ^[e]

[a] Reactions conducted on a 0.30 mmol scale in 0.6 mL of CH_2Cl_2 (**49A/32/C7** molar ratio = 1:1.2:0.02). [b] Combined yield of isomers after chromatography. [c] Determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy of an untreated reaction sample. [d] *ee* determined by chiral HPLC, same for both isomers. [e] Reaction conducted at a 2 mmol scale.

Control experiments were carried out to study the tendency of adducts **51A** towards epimerization. Thus, when compound **51Aa** (dr = 4:1) was exposed to 5 mol% of **C7** at room temperature in dichloromethane for 3 h an equimolar mixture of diastereomers was obtained, as could be monitored by HPLC (Scheme 45). However, in the absence of **C7**, no epimerization was observed, even after 24 h at 80 °C.



Later, the suitability of this method for the site- and stereoselective α -functionalization of related ketone substrates was investigated. Indeed, the aromatic ring-fused cycloalkanone **53** with an oxygen heteroatom in the cycle was equally competent undergoing the regioselective Michael addition under described catalytic conditions (Scheme 46). The desired adduct **54** was obtained in high yield and excellent enantioselectivity as a 1:1 diastereomeric mixture. The diastereoselective formation of the product **54** was observed when lowering the reaction temperature to -60 °C, but upon flash column chromatography on silica gel the 1:1 mixture was again obtained.



Scheme 46.

Cycloalkanone **55**, with a 7-membered ring, was also found suitable for the reaction although it needed to be conducted at room temperature and with a 10 mol% catalyst loading (Scheme 47). The corresponding adducts were obtained in good yield, good diastereoselectivity (4:1 or higher) and excellent enantioselectivity. Again, no double addition nor α '-addition products were observed.





3.3.3.2. Elaboration of adducts

Once an efficient and highly regio- and stereoselective procedure for the Michael addition of β -tetralones to nitroalkenes was obtained, some possibilities for the transformation of the adducts into more complex polycyclic structures were explored.

First, adducts **51Aa** and **51Ae** were reacted with nitroalkenes **32a** and **32i**, respectively, in the presence of 10 mol% C7, as shown in Scheme 48. As a result, the tricyclic systems **57/57'** and **58/58'** were obtained in moderate combined yield. Remarkably, with this procedure only two out of the possible 64 stereoisomers are obtained. Furthermore, no cyclization product derived from the minor isomer of **51A** was observed in neither of the cases, and the isomeric composition of the isolated product was found to be independent of the initial mixture of **51A**. These results suggest that formation of the tricycles through a Michael/Henry cascade reaction involves some kinetic resolution process.





When **51Ae** was treated with acrolein in the presence of **C7** at room temperature, the Michael addition product **59** was isolated. Then, the aldol-reaction-mediated cyclization to **60** was achieved employing 10 mol% pyrrolidine. Otherwise, direct transformation of **51Ae** to the spirocyclic aldol **60** could also be achieved by treatment with acrolein in the presence of 10 mol% pyrrolidine. Both ways **60** was produced as essentially a single diastereomer. It should be noted that the course of the reaction is quite unexpected, taking into account that cycloalkanones under similar reaction conditions have been reported to afford substituted decalins instead.¹⁹⁰



Scheme 49.

¹⁹⁰ S. Anwar, H.-J. Chang, K. Chen, Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 2200–2203.

Moreover, the reduction of adducts **51** afforded in very good yield hexahydrobenzo[*e*]indoles, heterocyclic cores present in many biologically active compounds (Scheme 50).¹⁹¹ For instance, reduction of the adduct **51Ae** with H₂/Pd provided amine **61** in a highly selective manner. On the other hand, adduct **51Ba** was also reduced with either Zn/H⁺ or H₂/Pd, providing imine **62** and imine *N*-oxide **63**, respectively.



Scheme 50.

3.3.3.3. Determination of the absolute configuration

The absolute configuration of compounds **57**, **60** and **63** was established by a single crystal X-ray analysis and for the remaining compounds derived from β -tetralones and related cyclic ketones was assumed based on a uniform reaction mechanism. The ORTEP diagrams of the molecules are shown in Figure 10.

¹⁹¹ C. H. Lin, S. R. Haadsma-Svensson, G. Phillips, R. B. McCall, M. F. Piercey, M. W. Smith, K. Svensson, A. Carlsson, C. G. Chidester, P. F. Von Voigtlander, *J. Med. Chem.* **1993**, *36*, 2208–2218.





Knowing the configuration of the C_{β} , the absolute configuration of **61** was established by a NOESY analysis of its amide derivative **64** (Scheme 51). Irradiation at
3.80 ppm (H²) (Figure 11) revealed the proximity of H¹ (NOESY >2%), indicating that both protons are on the same side of the pyrrolidine ring. On the other hand, only a small signal from H³ was detected (<0.5%), thus indicating that H² and H³ are on opposite sides of the pyrrolidine ring. Therefore, the configuration of the molecule was assigned as (1*R*, 2*S*, 3*S*).



Scheme 51.



Figure 11.

On the other hand, the configuration of **57**' was established by a NOESY analysis, and for product **58**' was assumed based on a uniform reaction mechanism. Irradiation at 3.82 ppm (H⁵) (Figure 12) revealed the proximity of H³ and H⁴ (NOESY >1.5%), indicating that both protons are on the same side of the ring. On the other hand, H¹, H² and H⁶, gave almost no signal (<0.30%), thus suggesting that these protons are





Figure 12.

3.3.4. α-Amination of β-tetralone derivatives

Given these results, we decided to further extend the regioselective functionalization of β -tetralones. Thus, we explored the behaviour of β -tetralones in the amination reaction using azodicarboxylates as acceptors under similar reaction conditions.

3.3.4.1. Catalyst screening

Initially the reaction between β -tetralone **49B** and di-*tert*-butyl azodicarboxylate (**50**) was taken as a model to find the optimum Brønsted base catalyst for the reaction to afford α -amino β -tetralones in a stereoselective manner (Table 19). We began by comparing catalysts **C7**, **C9** and **C26** in order to determine the most suited *H*-bond donor group for the reaction. In a first run, reaction with squaramide catalyst **C7**^{154a} afforded the desired adduct **52B** in complete regioselectivity and good yield, but low stereoselectivity. Unexpectedly, using the parent thiourea **C9**¹⁵⁵ no addition product was

observed even after 24 h. The use of urea $C26^{179}$ in the reaction allowed to shorten the reaction times, but low enantioselectivity was obtained. On the other hand, when ureidopeptide $C27^{180}$ was employed the reaction finished in only 10 h and in excellent stereoselectivity. Finally, no reaction was observed when quinine (C34) was used as the catalyst.







[[]a] Reactions conducted on a 0.30 mmol scale in 0.6 mL of CH_2Cl_2 (**49B/50**/cat molar ratio = 1:2: 0.05). Yield of isolated product after chromatography. *ee* determined by chiral HPLC.

3.3.4.2. Reaction scope

The study of the generality of the method was addressed by carrying out the reaction of β -tetralones **49B-49E** and related ketone substrates **65** and **66** with **50**. As standard reaction conditions, the ketone was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (0.5 M) and 2.0 equivalents of **50** and 5 mol% of the catalyst **C27** were added. The reaction mixture was stirred at 0 °C, and after verifying that the reaction finished (TLC monitoring, 10 h), the

mixture was submitted to flash column chromatography on silica gel eluting with hexane/EtOAc mixtures.

As the results in Table 20 show, the reaction proceeded in good yield and excellent enantioselectivity with a variety of α -substituted β -tetralones (adducts **52B-52E**). Furthermore, the aromatic ring-fused cycloalkanone **67** with an oxygen heteroatom in the cycle was equally competent undergoing the amination reaction, although lower temperatures were needed in order to obtain good stereocontrol due to the higher reactivity of the nucleophile as compared to β -tetralones. On the other hand, reaction with the 7-membered ring cycloalkanone **66** did not happen, even after 24 h at room temperature. Importantly, no addition at the α '-carbon was observed in any of the cases.



Table 20. α -Amination reaction of β -tetralones and related ketone substrates^[a]

[a] Reactions conducted on a 0.15 mmol scale in 0.3 mL of CH_2Cl_2 (donor/**50**/ **C27** molar ratio = 1:2:0.05). Yield of isolated product after chromatography. *ee* determined by chiral HPLC. [b] Reaction conducted at -60 °C.

3.4.Conclusions

In summary, the highly stereoselective generation of tetrasubstituted carbon stereocenters at C_{α} of several enolizable carbonyl compounds has been realized via bifunctional Brønsted base-catalyzed Michael reaction.

On the one hand the addition of oxazolones with differently substituted α '-oxy enones as key enoate surrogates has been preformed and it was demonstrated that the resulting α '-oxy ketone adducts can be smoothly converted into the corresponding carboxylic acid through simple oxidative cleavage of the ketol unit.

In addition, a set of novel catalysts were developed for the 1,6-addition reaction of oxazolones and cyanoacetates to α '-oxy dienones, obtaining the desired adducts in good yield and virtually perfect regioselectivity using chiral guanidines as catalysts. Unfortunately, low enantioselectivity was obtained in all cases.

On the other hand, the asymmetric Brønsted base-catalyzed regio-, diastereoand enantioselective functionalization of cyclic unactivated ketones (not bearing a strongly EWG at α -carbon) has been studied. In this context, the α -functionalization of cyclic α -alkenyl ketones was achieved in a highly diastereo- and enantioselective manner and with complete regioselectivity, leading to the formation of quaternary carbon stereocenters at C $_{\alpha}$. Furthermore, some possibilities for the transformation of these adducts were explored.

Moreover, the method was successfully extended to the α -functionalization of β tetralone derivatives. Once again the reaction proceeded with complete regioselectivity, obtaining exclusively the α -substitution adducts in high diastereo- and enantioselectivity, and leading to the formation of either a tri- or tetrasubstituted stereogenic carbon atom. In addition, the synthetic utility of the method was demonstrated by easy transformation of adducts into diverse polycyclic compounds featuring up to six contiguous stereogenic centers.

Chapter 4:

Synthesis of arogenate by dearomatising cyclisation of a L-tyrosine derivative

4. Synthesis of arogenate by dearomatising cyclisation of a L-tyrosine derivative

4.1. Arogenate: Origin, structure and interest	149
4.2. Previous approaches towards the synthesis of arogenate	151
4.3. Group precedents and objectives	153
4.4. Synthetic plan	157
4.5.Results and discussion	159
4.5.1. Oxazolidinone route	159
4.5.2. Imidazolidinone route	160
4.5.3. Acyclic route	164
4.5.4. Hydantoin route	165
4.6. Conclusions	167

Synthesis of arogenate by dearomatising cyclisation of a Ltyrosine derivative

4.1. Arogenate: Origin, structure and interest

Arogenate $[L-(8S)-\beta-(carboxy-4-hydroxy-2,5-cyclohexadien-1-yl)alanine]^{2-}$ is a precursor in the biosynthesis of aromatic amino acids (AAA) in some microorganisms¹⁹² and plants.¹⁹³ Although it is an immediate precursor of L-phenylalanine and L-tyrosine in the shikimate pathway, organisms can alternatively obtain these amino acids through the phenylpyruvate route, as shown in Scheme 1.¹⁹⁴

Unlike in plants, pathways for the synthesis of AAA are inexistent in animals, and therefore enzymes involved in their biosynthesis are usual targets for the development of safe herbicides. Indeed, depending on the exact plant species, either one or both arogenate and phenylpyruvate routes are used to produce AAA, and thus this metabolic diversity might provide a source for discriminative herbicides through the selective inhibition of one of the pathways. In this context, herbicides structurally related to arogenate could inhibit either arogenate dehydrogenase (ADH) or arogenate dehydratase (ADT) (Scheme 1).

¹⁹² Selected examples: S. L. Stenmark, D. L. Pierson, G. I. Glover, R. A. Jensen, *Nature (London)* 1974, 247, 290–292. b) S. L. Stenmark, D. L. Pierson, G. I. Glover, R. A. Jensen, *Nature (London)* 1974, 254, 667–671. c) A. M. Fazel, R. A. Jensen, *J. Bacteriol.* 1979, 138, 805–815. d) R. Borde, D. Birnbaum, *Biochem. Physiol. Pflanzen.* 1978, 173, 44–49.

¹⁹³ Selected examples: N. Patel, S. Stenmark-Cox, R. A. Jensen, *J. Biol. Chem.* **1978**, *253*, 2972–2978. b)
E. Jung, L. O. Zamir, R. A Jensen, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1986**, *83*, 7231–7245.

¹⁹⁴ H. Maeda, N. Dudareva, Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 2012, 63, 73–105.



Scheme 1.

Arogenate presents a chirality axis located in the future aromatic ring in addition to the stereocenter at C_{α} of natural α -amino acids, making it a small but rather complex molecule. Furthermore, the free acid (arogenic acid; see Scheme 1) is highly unstable and it is quantitatively transformed into L-phenylalanine,¹⁹⁵ and arogenate salts are stable at pH 7.5 in the solid state, but decompose when exposed to heat or to a strong base.¹⁹⁶

¹⁹⁵ L. O. Zamir, R. A. Jensen, B. H. Arison, A. W. Douglas, G. Albers-Schönberg, J. R. Bowen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1980**, *102*, 4499–4504.

¹⁹⁶ M. J. Crossley, R. C. Reid, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1994, 2237–2238.

4.2.Previous approaches towards the synthesis of arogenate

In light of the precarious stability of arogenate along with its difficult isolation from natural sources,¹⁹⁵ a total synthesis seems to be a more appropriate alternative for the obtainment of arogenate and its structural analogues. Surprisingly, however, arogenate has received little synthetic attention, with only two published syntheses.

In 1981 Danishefsky and coworkers¹⁹⁷ described the first total synthesis of arogenate starting from a glutamic acid derivative in 8 steps, via a key Diels-Alder reaction (Scheme 2). However, the yield of the final step was not reported.





On the other hand, Crossley and Reid¹⁹⁶ performed its synthesis in 7 steps in an overall 2.8% yield from methyl 2,5-diene-1-carboxylate through a key Michael addition and resolution (Scheme 3).

¹⁹⁷ S. Danishefsky, J. Morris, L. A. Clizbe, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1981**, 103, 1602–1604.



Scheme 3.

In both approaches, the diastereoselective reduction of a cyclohexadienone spyrolactam intermediate is the key step for the formation of the chirality axis of the cyclohexadiene ring present in arogenate, and in both cases a mixture of diastereomers is formed.

Surprisingly, neither of these syntheses employ the obvious starting material to arogenate: tyrosine itself.

4.3. Group precedents and objectives

Previous work in the Clayden group in the context of another project involving a development of Seebach's self-regeneration of stereocenters¹⁹⁸ explored the coupling of tyrosine-derived carbamoyl chloride **71** with *N*-methylaniline to form the corresponding urea. However, the alternative spirocyclic imidazolidinone **72** was obtained in high yield instead (Scheme 4). The intramolecular nucleophilic dearomatizing spirocyclisation reaction seemingly proceeds through the formation of a carbamoyl iodide intermediate, as low yield was obtained in the absence of the iodine salt. The spirocyclisation of the diastereomeric isomer of **71** was also attempted but no reaction was observed, most probably because the steric hindrance of the *tert*-butyl group avoided the approach of the phenol ring to the carbamoyl group.





Compound **72** is structurally closely related to the spirocyclic lactam intermediates described in the previously reported synthetic methods (see Scheme 2 and Scheme 3), and therefore it was thought that the dearomatising spirocyclisation reaction may open a pathway for the synthesis of arogenate and related compounds from the natural amino acid L-tyrosine, inverting the biological path for AAA metabolism (Scheme 5).

¹⁹⁸ For a review see: D. Seebach, A. R. Sting, M. Hoffmann, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1996**, *35*, 2708–2748.





The Luche reduction of compound **72** was performed before this stay, affording a 3:1 diastereomeric mixture of alcohol **73** in moderate yield (Scheme 6). Unfortunately, attempts to hydrolyse the three C–N bonds in the product under acid conditions without compromising the integrity of the fragile cyclohexadienone to afford arogenate were unsuccessful.





In light of this result, alternative synthetic pathways were proposed involving alternative heterocyclic structures, namely oxazolidinone and hydantoin, that may make feasible the use of milder hydrolysis conditions leading to arogenate (Figure 1).



Figure 1.

A preparatory work on the formation of the oxazolidinone structure from Ltyrosine was carried out in the Clayden group by L. Eagling (Scheme 7). *O-tert*-Butyl-L-tyrosine was condensed with benzaldehyde forming the imine, which upon reaction with benzoyl chloride afforded the *N*-benzoyl substituted oxazolidinone in low yield.





On the other hand, in a preliminary work with the hydantoin structure, L. Eagling achieved the synthesis of hydantoin **75**, which possessed the carbamoyl chloride moiety, from L-tyrosine methyl ester (Scheme 8). *O*-TBS L-tyrosine methyl ester was reacted with *tert*-butyl isocyanate to form the corresponding urea, and it was then treated with potassium *tert*-butoxyde to form hydantoin **74** in moderate yield. Finally, reaction with triphosgene afforded the *N*-substituted hydantoin **75** in low yield.





At the outset of this doctoral stay, the development of a reproducible method for the synthesis of modifiable spirocyclic compounds that could subsequently be reduced and hydrolysed leading to arogenate was a priority, as it was essential for the posterior preparation of arogenate-based potential herbicides (Figure 2).



Figure 2.

With this aim, the series of possible synthetic routes described below were proposed.

4.4.Synthetic plan

The synthesis of arogenate through oxazolidinone intermediates was taken as the first alternative, following the preliminary work carried out in the Clayden group (see Scheme 7). However, at the outset two main challenges of this synthetic route were patent: i) the formation and isolation of an *N*-carbamoyl oxazolidinone (Scheme 9, step 1); and ii) the yet unrealised dearomatising spirocyclisation of this oxazolidinone intermediate (Scheme 9, step 2).





On the other hand, further exploration on the hydrolysis of spirocyclic imidazolidinone **73** was taken as a second option, this time under basic conditions given the low stability of arogenate at low pH (Scheme 10).



Scheme 10.

In addition, the importance of the cyclic imidazolidinone structure for the stabilization of the carbamoyl moiety and the spirocyclisation reaction was also investigated by comparison with the parent acyclic ester and amide (Figure 3).





Finally, the suitability of the hydantoin structure for the spirocyclisation reaction was addressed. The main challenge here was finding a suitable protecting group for the phenol functionality that would ideally be automatically cleaved during the spirocyclisation step, or alternatively in a previous step without affecting the integrity of the carbamoyl chloride moiety (Scheme 11).



Scheme 11.

4.5. Results and discussion

4.5.1. Oxazolidinone route

In a first try, *O*-unprotected L-tyrosine was tested following the preliminary experiments on the oxazolidinone route in an attempt to avoid an additional deprotection step prior to the spirocyclisation. With that aim, l-tyrosine was condensed with benzaldehyde affording imine **76** in excellent yield. Unfortunately, when imine **76** was submitted to the reaction conditions previously used for the preparation of oxazolidinones, a complex mixture of unknown compounds was obtained (Scheme 12). In a first instance it was assumed to be due to the participation of the unprotected phenol group in the reaction.





Next, imine 77 was prepared in good yield from the commercially available Omethyl L-tyrosine for its reaction with a number of active carbonyl compounds to afford the corresponding N-carbonyl oxazolidinones (Scheme 13). In a first run, the imine was reacted with phosgene, but instead of the expected N-carbamoyl chloride substituted oxazolidinone, NCA (N-carboxyanhydride) 78 was isolated as the major product in low yield. In a second run, 4-nitrophenyl chloroformate was used instead of phosgene, but a complex product mixture was obtained, and no formation of the desired oxazolidinone product was observed. At this point, the reaction was repeated with benzoyl chloride in order to ensure the reproducibility of the reaction performed in the preliminary work (see Scheme 7), affording the oxazolidinone 79 in good yield. On the other hand, when 1,1'-carbonyldiimidazole (DCI) was used instead of a an acyl chloride, no reaction was observed, even after 24 h at room temperature. Finally, in the reaction with N,N'disuccinimidyl carbonate (DSC), the unstable compound 80 was isolated as the major product in low yield. This result suggested that the reaction was not proceeding as expected because in most cases the addition to the electrophile occurred through the oxygen atom at the carboxylic salt instead of the nitrogen of the imine, therefore rendering impossible the formation of the oxazolidinone.



Scheme 13.

In light of these results, the oxazolidinone route was discarded as a viable option for the synthesis of arogenate.

4.5.2. Imidazolidinone route

As stated in the group precedents of section 4.3, the spirocyclisation reaction using imidazolidinone **71** had previously been performed in the Clayden group and only the final hydrolysis step was lacking. Therefore, polycyclic compound **73** was synthesised following the previously established procedure from a small amount of *O*-benzyl imidazolidinone **81** provided by L. Eagling (Scheme 14). Deprotection of **81** with H_2/Pd in THF afforded the desired unprotected alcohol **71** without observing reduction of the acyl choride, and it was subsequently cyclised to afford dienone **72** in high yield. The posterior Luche reduction afforded the corresponding alcohol as a 3:1 mixture of diastereomers **73:73'** in good overall yield.



Scheme 14.

The major isomer **73** was then submitted to hydrolysis. In order to avoid acid reaction conditions, which would compromise the integrity of arogenate, a set of basic hydrolysis conditions were tested for the cleavage of the C–N bonds (Scheme 15). In a first attempt, hydrolysis with sodium hydroxide and sodium carbonate in ethanol at 70 $^{\circ}$ C afforded a complex product mixture, in which no traces of arogenate were found by ¹H NMR. In a second run, reaction with triton B (benzyltrimethylammonium hydroxide) and sodium hydroxide in a water and THF mixture afforded similar results. Triethylamine was also tested as a base for hydrolysis, but no reaction occurred. Similarly, no change was observed in the reaction mixture when **73** was treated with lithium hydroxide or sodium hydroxide at room temperature. The treatment with sodium methoxide in methanol did not lead to any transformation either. On the other hand, when oxazolidinone **73** was treated with barium hydroxide in a dioxane / water mixture at 90 °C, peaks matching the ones expected for arogenate were observed by ¹H NMR.





An initial attempt for the purification of arogenate was performed employing Sephadex A-25 ion exchange resin (chlorine form), but no separation of the reaction products was achieved. In a second trial arogenate (70) was purified by reverse phase column chromatography eluting with TFA / MeCN mixtures, obtaining traces of the desired compound. The chemical shifts observed in the ¹H NMR (400 MHz) spectra (Figure 4) were consistent with the data found in the literature,¹⁹⁹ thus confirming the formation of arogenate (70) and the configuration of intermediate 73.

¹⁹⁹ S. Danishefsky, J. Morris, L. A. Clizbe, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 1602-1604.



Figure 4.

Interestingly, a posterior thorough study by L. Eagling in the Clayden group revealed that spiro-arogenate (**69**),²⁰⁰ which is the product from the penultimate step in Danishefsky's synthesis of arogenate (see Scheme 2 in page 151), was the main product of this reaction, therefore further supporting the validity of this route for the synthesis of arogenate (Scheme 16).



Scheme 16. Last setp of Danishefsky's synthesis of arogenate

The study of the imidazoline route is still ongoing in the Clayden group.

²⁰⁰ L. O. Zamir, R. Tiberio, E. Jung, R. Jensen, J. Biol. Chem. **1983**, 258, 6486–6491.

4.5.3. Acyclic route

As no investigation on the use of acyclic molecules for the spirocyclisation reaction had been performed, we set out to synthesise the ester and amide analogues of imidazolidinone 71 to determine whether the cyclic structure offered any real advantages for the synthesis of arogenate (Figure 5).





We started by attempting to prepare the ester (Scheme 17), and in a first step the commercially available *O*-benzyl-L-tyrosine methyl ester was submitted to reductive amination with trimethylacetaldehyde, affording amine **82** in moderate yield. However, when the insertion of the *N*-carbamoyl chloride functionality was attempted employing the reaction conditions previously used for imidazolidinone **71**, NCA **83** was obtained instead of the desired acyclic ester.



Scheme 17.

Next, the preparation of the amide was addressed. The readily available *Boc-O*-benzyl-L-tyrosine hydroxysuccinimide ester was reacted with dimethylamine affording amide **84**, and subsequently decarboxylated affording the unprotected amine **85** quantitatively. The reductive amination with trimethylacetaldehyde afforded compound

86 in moderate yield, which was submitted to the same *N*-funtionalization conditions used for imidazolidinone **71**. Unfortunately, although untreated ¹H NMR aliquots of the reaction looked promising, only NCA **83** was isolated upon purification by silica gel column chromatography.



Scheme 18.

In light of these results, we could conclude that the rigidity of the cyclic structure of imidazolidinone provides the essential stability that mantains the carbamoyl chloride functionality intact under isolation or mild reaction conditions.

4.5.4. Hydantoin route

As stated in the group precedents of Section 0, hydantoin **75** had previously been synthesised in the Clayden group. Therefore, it was prepared following the previously established procedure from a small amount of *O*-TBS hydantoin **74** provided by L. Eagling in moderate yield. The dearomatising cyclisation reaction was then attempted with the *O*-TBS protected compound **75**, but no reaction occurred, as shown in Scheme 19.



Scheme 19.

In consequence, the desilylation of **75** was addressed, as it was expected that the free alcohol would be able to undergo the intramolecular spirocyclisation reaction. Unfortunately, the carbamoyl moiety exhibited a higher reactivity than the TBS group, and therefore the selective deprotection of the alcohol could not be performed (Scheme 20). Indeed, when **75** was treated with TBAF (tetrabutylammonium fluoride), the *N*-unsubstituted hydantoin **87** was obtained in moderate yield. In a second run, HCl in Et₂O was employed, but no reaction was observed. On the other hand, when HCl in MeOH was used, the product resulting from the nucleophilic addition of methanol to the carbamoyl group (**88**) was obtained. Despite having a worse leaving group than its precursor, as the phenol group was now unprotected, the spirocyclisation of compound **88** was attempted in toluene at 100 °C, but no reaction was observed even after 24 h.



Scheme 20.

In order to allow the deprotection of the phenol group without compromising the integrity of the carbamoyl moiety, the use of an *O*-benzyl substituted intermediate was besought based on the previous work with imidazolidinones (see Scheme 14). Thus, compound **91** was synthesised in three steps starting from the commercially available

O-benzyl-L-tyrosine methyl ester (Scheme 21). First, urea **89** was formed reacting the starting amine hydrochloride with *tert*-butyl isocyanate, and it was then reacted with potassium *tert*-butoxyde to form hydantoin **90** in moderate yield. Reaction with triphosgene afforded the *N*-substituted hydantoin **91** in low yield. As expected, the deportection of the alcohol with H_2/Pd in THF proceeded smoothly affording hydantoin **92** as the major product in moderate yield.



Scheme 21.

The dearomatising cyclisation of 92 was not attempted within the stay in the Clayden group.

4.6.Conclusions

In summary, oxazolidinones, imidazolidinones and hydantoins have been studied as intermediates for the synthesis of arogenate via an intramolecular dearomatising cyclisation reaction starting from the natural amino acid L-tyrosine. During the investigation, it was shown that the oxazolidinone route was not suitable for the formation of the necessary *N*-carbonyl substituted intermediates, and important advances were made in the hydantoin route. Furthermore, the importance of the cyclic structure of intermediates for the stabilization of the carbamoyl chloride functionality was demonstrated, and the total synthesis of arogenate was achieved for the first time employing imidazolidinone intermediates.

Chapter 5:

Experimental section

5. Experimental section

5.1. Material and techniques 175
5.1.1. Reagents and solvents 175
5.1.2. General experimental 175
5.1.3. Chromatography 176
5.1.4. Optical rotation 176
5.1.5. Melting points 176
5.1.6. NMR spectra 176
5.1.7. Mass spectra 177
5.1.8. Infrared spectra 177
5.1.9. Determination of enantiomeric excesses
5.1.10. X-Ray diffraction analysis 177
5.2. Preparation of catalysts 178
5.2.1. Preparation of proline-based aminocatalysts C1-C6 178
5.2.2. Preparation of squaramide-based Brønsted base catalysts 182
5.2.2.1. Preparation of catalysts C7 and C12 183
5.2.2.2. Preparation of catalyst C8
5.2.2.3. Preparation of catalyst C10 188
5.2.2.4. Preparation of catalysts C13, C28 and C31-C33 189
5.2.2.5. Preparation of catalysts C14-C17 193
5.2.2.6. Preparation of catalysts C18 and C22 199
5.2.2.7. Preparation of catalyst C19 203
5.2.2.8. Preparation of catalysts C29 and C30 205
5.2.3. Preparation of thiourea- and urea-based Brønsted base catalysts
C9 , C20 and C26
5.2.4. Ureidopeptide-like Brønsted base catalysts C21, C27 and C35 211
5.2.5. Preparation of catalysts C23-C25
5.2.6. Representative NMR spectra 216
5.3.Experimental section of Chapter 2
5.3.1. Synthesis of propargylic aldehydes 1
5.3.2. Synthesis of propargylic ketoesters 2
5.3.3. Cross-aldol reaction of α,β-ynals

5.3.3.1. General procedure	. 238
5.3.3.2. Characterization data for compounds 5B	. 239
5.3.3.3. Benzoylation of adducts for ee determination by HPLC	. 240
5.3.4. Cross-aldol reaction of α,β-ynones	. 242
5.3.4.1. General procedure	. 242
5.3.4.2. Characterization data for compounds 9	. 242
5.3.5. Elaboration of adducts 5 and 9	. 246
5.3.5.1. Silylation of adducts	. 246
5.3.5.2. Intramolecular Pauson-Khand reaction	. 248
5.3.5.3. Hydrolysis of the acetal 7a	. 250
5.3.5.4. Reduction of adducts 9Ba and 9Ca	. 250
5.3.6. ORTEP diagram of compound 9Aa	. 251
5.3.7. Representative NMR spectra	. 252
5.3.8. HPLC chromatograms	. 276
5.4. Experimental section of Chapter 3	. 287
5.4.1. General procedures for the synthesis of α '-oxy enones	. 287
5.4.1.1. Preparation of α '-oxy enones 13	. 287
5.4.1.2. Preparation of β -substituted α '-hydroxy enones 14	. 290
5.4.1.3. Preparation of α -methyl α '-oxy enones 15	. 293
5.4.1.4. Preparation of α '-oxy dienones 16	. 294
5.4.2. Preparation of 5H-oxazol-4-ones (oxazolones) 17	. 295
5.4.3. Preparation of α-cyanoacetate 30	. 298
5.4.4.1,4-addition of oxazolones to unsubstituted α '-silyloxy enone 13b	. 299
5.4.4.1. General procedure	. 299
5.4.4.2. Characterization data for compounds 18	. 299
5.4.5.1,4-addition of oxazolones to β -substituted α '-hydroxy enones 14	. 301
5.4.5.1. General procedure	. 301
5.4.5.2. Characterization data for compounds 19	. 302
5.4.6.1,4-addition of oxazolones to α -substituted α '-hydroxy enone 15	. 304
5.4.6.1. General procedure	. 304
5.4.6.2. Characterization data for compounds 22	. 305
5.4.7. Elaboration of adducts 18 and 19	. 307
5.4.7.1. Transformation of adducts to carboxylic acids 23-26	. 307
5.4.7.2. Synthesis of γ -lactone 28	. 310

5.4.8.1,6-addition to α '-oxy dienones	. 312
5.4.8.1. General procedure	. 312
5.4.8.2. Characterization data for compounds 29 and 31	. 312
5.4.9. Preparation of α-alkenyl cycloalkanones 34	. 314
5.4.10. α -Functionalization of α -alkenyl cycloalkanones	. 317
5.4.10.1.General procedure	. 317
5.4.10.2. Characterization data of compounds 35	. 317
5.4.11. Elaboration of adducts 35	. 320
5.4.11.1.Synthesis of 38 and 39	. 320
5.4.11.2.Synthesis of compound 41	. 322
5.4.11.3. Preparation of β -ketoester 43	. 324
5.4.11.4. Preparation of cycloalkanone 45	. 325
5.4.11.5.Synthesis of hemiketal 46 and diastereopure diol 42	. 326
5.4.11.6.Synthesis of cycloalkanone 48	. 327
5.4.12. General procedure for the synthesis of rac 1-substituted	
β-tetralones 49	. 328
5.4.13. Preparation of chroman-3-ones 53 and 65	. 331
5.4.14. Preparation of seven-membered cycloalkanones 55 and 66	. 333
5.4.15. α -Alkylation of β -tetralones and related ketones with nitroalkenes	. 334
5.4.15.1.General procedure	. 334
5.4.15.2. Characterization data for compounds 51 , 54 and 56	. 335
5.4.16. Base-promoted epimerization of β -tetralone 51Aa	. 347
5.4.17. Elaboration of adducts 51	. 348
5.4.17.1.Synthesis of tricyclic compounds 57/57' and 58/58'	. 348
5.4.17.2.Synthesis of spirocyclic compound 60	. 350
5.4.17.3.Synthesis of tricyclic compounds 61-64	. 352
5.4.18. α -Amination of β -tetralones and related ketones	. 355
5.4.18.1.General procedure	. 355
5.4.18.2. Characterization data for compounds 52 and 67	. 355
5.4.19. ORTEP diagram of compound 19Eb	. 357
5.4.20. ORTEP diagram of compound 46	. 358
5.4.21. ORTEP diagram of compound 57	. 358
5.4.22. ORTEP diagram of compound 60	. 359
5.4.23. ORTEP diagram of compound 63	. 359

5.4.24. Representative NMR spectra	
5.4.25. HPLC chromatograms	
5.5. Experimental section of chapter 4	516
5.5.1. Oxazolidinone route	516
5.5.1.1. Preparation of imine 76	516
5.5.1.2. Preparation of imine 77 and transformation into	
compounds 78-80	516
5.5.2. Imidazolidinone route	519
5.5.2.1. Preparation of spirocyclic compound 73	519
5.5.2.2. Synthesis of disodium arogenate (70)	520
5.5.3. Acyclic route	521
5.5.3.1. Preparation of ester intermediate 82	521
5.5.3.2. Preparation of amide intermediate 86	522
5.5.3.3. Synthesis of NCA 83	523
5.5.4. Hydantoin route	524
5.5.4.1. Synthesis of hydantoins 87 and 88	524
5.5.4.2. Synthesis of hydantoin 92	525
5.5.5. Representative NMR spectra	528

Experimental section

5.1. Material and techniques

5.1.1. Reagents and solvents

Reagents were purchased from different commercial suppliers (Aldrich, Acros, Alfa Aesar, Fluka, TCI, Merck, Fluorochem, etc.), stored as specified by the manufacturer and used without previous purification unless otherwise stated.

Triethylamine, DBU, DIPA and DIPEA were purified by distillation. Liquid aldehydes were purified by distillation before usage and stored in the fridge at -30 °C under nitrogen.

When anhydrous solvents were required, they were dried following established procedures.²⁰¹ Dichloromethane was dried over CaH₂, and diethyl ether and tetrahydrofuran were dried by filtration through activated alumina (powder \approx 150 mesh, pore size 58 Å, basic, Sigma aldrich) columns.

5.1.2. General experimental

All non-aqueous reactions were performed under inhert atmosphere using ovendried glassware and were magnetically stirred. Yields refer to chromatographically purified and spectroscopically pure compounds, unless otherwise stated.

Heat requiring reactions were performed using a hotplate with a sand bath and a condenser. Reactions requiring low temperatures were performed using cooling bath circulators *Huber* T100E and acetone or isopropanol baths.

Organic layers washed with aqueous phases were dried over MgSO₄ or Na₂SO₄ and filtered through cotton.

Organic solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure using rotavapors Büchi R-110, R-200 and R-210, the latter equipped with a Büchi V-700 vacuum pump and a Büchi V-850 vacuum controller, appropriate for the evaporation of solvents when products were volatile compounds. For the complete removal of solvents vacuum pump Telstar Top-3 (~0.5 mmHg) was employed.

²⁰¹ Armarego, W. L. F.; Perrin, D. D. *Purification of laboratory Chemicals* 3rd Edition Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford **1988**.

5.1.3. Chromatography

Reactions and flash chromatographic columns were monitored by thin layer chromatography (TLC) using Merck silica gel 60 F254 plates and visualized by fluorescence quenching under UV light, Fisher Bioblock lamp VL-4LC, $\lambda = 254$ and 365 nm. In addition, TLC plates were stained with a dipping solution of potassium permanganate (1 g) in 100 ml of water (limited lifetime), followed by heating.

Chromatographic purification was performed on Merck ROCC 60 silica gel 40-63 µm as stationary phase and a suitable mixture of solvents (typically hexane/ethyl acetate, pentane/diethyl ether or dichloromethane/methanol) as eluent.

5.1.4. Optical rotation

Optical rotations were recorded using a Jasco P-2000 polarimeter; specific rotation (SR) ($[\alpha]_D$) are reported in 10⁻¹ deg·cm²·g⁻¹; concentrations (*c*) are quoted in g/100 mL; _D refers to the D-line of sodium (589 nm); temperatures (T) are given in degree Celsius (°C).

5.1.5. Melting points

Melting points were determined in open capillaries in a Stuart SHP3 melting point apparatus and microscope and were uncorrected.

5.1.6. NMR spectra

NMR spectra were recorded using a Bruker Avance 300 (300 MHz for ¹H, 75 MHz for ¹³C) spectrometer, Bruker 400 spectrometer (400 MHz for ¹H, 100 MHz for ¹³C), Varian 400 MR (400 MHz for ¹H, 100 MHz for ¹³C) or Bruker AV-500 spectrometer (500 MHz for ¹H, 125 MHz for ¹³C). Chemical shifts (δ) are quoted in parts per million referenced to the residual solvent peak, usually CDCl₃, ¹H (δ = 7.26) and ¹³C (δ = 77.0). The multiplicity of each signal is designated using the following abbreviations: s, singlet; d, doublet; t, triplet; q, quartet; m, multiplet; brs, broad singlet. Coupling constants (*J*) are reported in Hertz (Hz).

MestrReNova Mnova 8.1 program was used to process and edit the registered spectra.

5.1.7. Mass spectra

MS spectra were recorded on an ESI-ion trap Mass spectrometer (Agilent 1100 series LC/MSD, SL model) on a UPLC-DAD-QTOF, Ultra High Performance Liquid Chromatography-Mass spectrometer, Waters UPLC ACQUITY, Waters PDA detector, Waters Sunapt G2 or on an Agilent Thermoquest LCT spectrometer. Mass spectrometry analyses were performed in the General Research Service (SGIker) of the University of the Basque Country (UPV/EHU) or in the Chemistry School in the University of Bristol.

5.1.8. Infrared spectra

Infrared spectra were recorded on a Bruker Alpha FT-IR spectrometer as a thin film.

5.1.9. Determination of enantiomeric excesses

Enantiomeric excesses were determined using analytical high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) performed on either a Waters 600 (equipped with Photodiode Array Detector Waters 2996). The used columns were Chiralpack AD-H, AY-H, IA, IB and IC; and flow/solvent conditions are given for each compound.

5.1.10. X-Ray diffraction analysis

The X-ray diffraction analysis experiments were conducted in the General Research Service (SGIker) of the University of the Basque Country (UPV/EHU) using diffractometers for monocrystals.

5.2. Preparation of catalysts

Catalysts C11 [(DHQD)₂PYR] and C34 (quinine) are commercially available and were purchased from commercial suppliers. The remaining catalysts were prepared as follows.



5.2.1. Preparation of proline-based aminocatalysts C1-C6

Known catalysts C1,²⁰² C5²⁰³ and C6²⁰⁴ and the newly prepared catalysts C2-C4 were prepared according to the following synthetic sequence:



Preparation of (S)-1-(1-benzylpyrrolidin-2-yl)ethan-1-one



²⁰² E. Gómez-Bengoa, S. Jiménez, I. Lapuerta, A. Mielgo, M. Oiarbide, I. Otazo, I. Velilla, S. Vera, C. Palomo, I. Velilla, *Chem. Sci.* **2012**, *3*, 2949–2957.

²⁰³ E. Gómez-Bengoa, A. Landa, A. Lizarraga, A. Mielgo, M. Oiarbide, C. Palomo, I. Velilla, *Chem. Sci.* **2011**, *2*, 353–357.

²⁰⁴ M. Marigo, T. C. Wabnitz, D. Fielenbach, K. A. Jørgensen, *Angewandte Chem. Int. Ed.* **2005**, *44*, 794–797.

 1^{st} step:²⁰⁵ Thionyl chloride (4.0 mL, 55 mmol, 1.1 equiv.) was added dropwise over 5 min to a suspension of L-proline (5.76 g, 50 mmol, 1 equiv.) in methanol at 0 °C, and the resulting solution was stirred at reflux for 1 h. Then, the solvent and excess thionly chloride were eliminated under reduced pressure, affording the crude product as a yellow oil, which was used in the next step without further purification.

2nd step:²⁰⁶ Benzyl bromide (6.5 mL, 55 mmol, 1.1 equiv.) was added dropwise to a solution of the crude product previously obtained (8.28 g, 50 mmol, 1 equiv.) and DIPEA (26.1 mL, 150 mmol, 3 equiv.) in toluene (50 mL) at 0 °C, and the resulting solution was stirred at reflux for 6 h. Then, the reaction mixture was cooled to 0 °C and a saturated solution of NaHCO₃ (40 mL) was added. The layers were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc (2 × 40 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO₄ and the solvent was eliminated under reduced pressure to afford the crude (*S*)-1-(1-benzylpyrrolidin-2-yl)ethan-1-one as a brown oil, which was used in the next step without further purification. Yield: 95% (10.3 g, 47.5 mmol). All data were consistent with those previously reported.²⁰⁷ **1H-NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.34, (m, 5H), 5.15 (m, 2H), 4.35 (m, 1H), 3.86-3.40 (m, 5H), 2.30 -1.80 (m, 4H).

General procedure for the preparation of catalysts C1-C6



1st step:²⁰⁸ A solution of the corresponding alkyl or aryl bromide magnesium bromide (3 equiv.) was added dropwise to a stirred solution of the crude ester (4.38 g, 20 mmol, 1 equiv.) in dry THF (40 mL) at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was let to stir at room temperature for 16 h before being cooled to 0 °C to quench the reaction by adding a saturated solution of NH₄Cl (30 mL). The resulting salts were filtered over a path of celite and rinsed with dichloromethane (3 × 20 mL). The combined organic phases were

²⁰⁵ P. N. Confalone, E. H. Huie, S. S. Ko, G. H. Cole, J. Org. Chem. 1988, 53, 482–487.

²⁰⁶ Adapted from: K. Funabashi, M. Jachmann, M. Kanai, M. Shibasaki, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2003**, *42*, 5489–5492.

²⁰⁷ D. Gray, C. Concellón, T. Gallagher, J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 4849–4851.

²⁰⁸ Adapted from: K. Soai, H, Hachida, N. Yokota, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin. Trans I 1987, 1909–1914.
washed with brine and dried over MgSO₄, and the solvent was eliminated under reduced pressure. The desired alcohol was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel eluting with a 95:5 mixture of hexane/EtOAc.

 2^{nd} step:²⁰² The product resulting from the previous step and palladium on activated charcoal (10% wt.) (20% wt.) were stirred in EtOH (1 mL/mmol) under an hydrogen atmosphere. The resulting suspension was filtered over a pad of celite and the solvent was eliminated under reduced pressure affording the crude unprotected amine, which was used in the next step without further purification.

3rd step:²⁰² The crude product obtained in the previous step (10 mmol, 1 equiv.) was dissolved in dichloromethane (20 mL), and DMAP (2.43 g, 20 mmol, 2 equiv.) and the corresponding silyl chloride (17.5 mmol, 1.75 equiv.) were added at 0 °C. The resulting solution was refluxed for 16 h, and after cooling to room temperature water (25 mL) was added. The layers were separated, the aqueous phase was extracted with dichloromethane (3 \times 25 mL), and the combined organic phases were washed with a saturated solution of NaHCO₃ (40 mL). The organic phase was then dried over MgSO₄, and the solvent was eliminated under reduced pressure. Catalysts **C1-C6** were purified by silica gel flash column chromatography eluting with CH₂Cl₂/MeOH mixtures. The pure product was then dissolved in dichloromethane (20 mL), washed with a saturated solution of NaHCO₃ (20 mL) and the organic phase was dried over MgSO₄. The solvent was eliminated under reduced pressure to afford the corresponding with a saturated solution of NaHCO₃ (20 mL) and the organic phase was dried over MgSO₄. The solvent was eliminated under reduced pressure to afford the corresponding pure and basified catalyst.

(S)-2-(2,6-Dimethyl-4-((triphenylsilyl)oxy)heptan-4-yl)pyrrolidine (C1)²⁰²



C1 was prepared starting from L-proline according to the general procedure described above using ⁱBuMgBr (2 M solution in Et₂O, 30 mL, 60 mmol) in the Grignard reaction and Ph₃SiCl (5.18 g, 17.5 mmol) in the silvlation step. White solid. m. p.: 112–115 °C. Yield

after 5 steps: 61%. All data were consistent with those previously reported. $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +20.3^{\circ}$ (c = 1.00, CH₂Cl₂). ¹**H-NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.74-7.67 (m, 6H), 7.45-7.33 (m, 9H), 3.24 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 2.74 (dt, $J_I = 6.4$ Hz, $J_2 = 12.7$ Hz, 1H), 2.69-2.60 (m, 1H), 1.97-1.79 (m, 2H), 1.74 (dd, $J_I = 5.6$ Hz, $J_2 = 14.4$ Hz, 2H), 1.59 (dd, $J_I = 3.4$ Hz, $J_2 = 10.9$ Hz, 2H), 1.55-1.48 (m, 2H), 1.50 (bs, 1H), 1.33 (dd, $J_I = 5.7$ Hz, $J_2 = 14.2$, 2H), 0.97 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H), 0.90 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 3H), 0.88 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 3H), 0.70 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 137.4, 135.8, 129.3, 127.5, 84.2, 65.7, 47.0, 44.8, 27.2, 26.3, 25.3, 25.1, 24.9, 23.8, 23.4. MS: calculated for C₃₁H₄₂NOSi (M + H⁺): 472.3035; found: 472.3039.

(S)-2-(2,6-Dimethyl-4-((methyldiphenylsilyl)oxy)heptan-4-yl)pyrrolidine (C2)



C2 was prepared starting from L-proline according to the general procedure described above using ⁱBuMgBr (2 M solution in Et₂O, 30 mL, 60 mmol) in the Grignard reaction and MePh₂SiCl (3.20 g, 17.5 mmol) in the silvlation step. Yellow oil. Yield after 5 steps: 54%.

 $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +7.4^{\circ}$ (c = 1.00, CH₂Cl₂). ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.61 – 7.53 (m, 4H), 7.38 – 7.29 (m, 6H), 2.99 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.79 – 2.71 (m, 1H), 2.65 – 2.55 (m, 1H), 1.86 – 1.72 (m, 2H), 1.67 – 1.45 (m, 7H), 1.41 (dd, J = 14.1, 4.9 Hz, 1H), 0.92 (ddd, J =7.5, 6.6, 1.8 Hz, 12H), 0.76 (s, 3H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 139.7, 134.8, 129.5, 127.9, 83.3, 66.7, 47.3, 47.0, 46.2, 27.1, 26.3, 25.7, 25.6, 25.5, 25.3, 24.4, 24.1, 1.3. MS: calculated for C₃₁H₄₂NOSi (M, H⁺): C₂₆H₃₉NOSi (M + H⁺), 410.2789; found, 410.2868.

(S)-2-(4-((Dimethyl(phenyl)silyl)oxy)-2,6-dimethylheptan-4-yl)pyrrolidine (C3)



C3 was prepared starting from L-proline according to the general procedure described above using ⁱBuMgBr (2 M solution in Et₂O, 30 mL, 60 mmol) in the Grignard reaction and Me₂PhSiCl (2.12 g, 17.5 mmol) in the silvlation step. Yellow oil. Yield after 5 steps: 50%.

 $[\alpha]_{D^{20}} = -5.2^{\circ} (c = 1.00, CH_2Cl_2).$ ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.62 – 7.57 (m, 2H), 7.37 – 7.32 (m, 3H), 2.94 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.89 – 2.79 (m, 1H), 2.65 (dt, J = 10.5, 6.3 Hz, 1H), 1.82 – 1.68 (m, 2H), 1.68 – 1.54 (m, 5H), 1.52 – 1.37 (m, 3H), 0.99 – 0.89 (m, 12H), 0.44 (s, 3H), 0.43 (s, 3H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 140.7, 133.3, 128.9, 127.6, 82.0, 66.4, 46.8, 46.4, 46.0, 26.5, 25.8, 25.2, 25.1, 24.9, 24.9, 24.0, 23.9, 2.1, 1.9. MS: calculated for C₂₁H₃₇NOSi (M + H⁺), 348.2722; found, 348.2717.

(S)-2-(2,6-Dimethyl-4-((trimethylsilyl)oxy)heptan-4-yl)pyrrolidine (C4)



C4 was prepared starting from L-proline according to the general procedure described above using ⁱBuMgBr (2 M solution in Et₂O, 30 mL, 60 mmol) in the Grignard reaction and Me₃SiCl (3.20 mL, 17.5 mmol) in the silvlation step. Yellow oil. Yield after 5 steps: 65%.

 $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} = -6.2^{\circ}$ (c = 1.00, CH₂Cl₂). ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.55 – 3.31 (m, 2H), 3.23 – 3.07 (m, 1H), 2.11 (tt, J = 14.1, 7.0 Hz, 6H), 2.00 – 1.89 (m, 3H), 1.82 (dd, J = 14.0, 4.9 Hz, 1H), 1.46 – 1.24 (m, 12H), 0.54 (s, 9H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 81.2, 66.5, 47.0, 46.2, 26.5, 26.0, 25.2, 25.1, 24.9, 24.9, 23.9, 3.2. MS: calculated for C₂₁H₃₇NOSi (M + H⁺), C₂₀H₃₄NOSi (M, H+), 286.2566; found, 286.2548.

(S)-2-(Diphenyl((triphenylsilyl)oxy)methyl)pyrrolidine (C5)²⁰³

C5 was prepared starting from L-proline according to the general procedure described above using PhMgBr (2 M solution in Et₂O, 30 mL, 60 mmol) in the Grignard reaction and Ph₃SiCl (5.18 g, 17.5 mmol) in the silylation step. White solid. m. p.: 147–150 °C. Yield after 5 steps: 75%. All data were consistent with those previously reported. $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -24.4^\circ$ (c = 1.00, CH₂Cl₂). ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.47-7.41 (m, 8H), 7.39–7.22 (m, 11H), 7.20–7.08 (m, 6H), 3.98 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 2.74–2.66 (m, 1H), 2.63–2.49 (m, 1H), 1.88–1.68 (m, 1H), 1.60–1.38 (m, 3H), 1.30 (t, J = 10.6 Hz, 1H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 146.3, 145.1, 136.3, 135.1, 129.3, 129.1, 127.8, 127.4, 127.0, 126.7, 85.0, 65.3, 46.9, 28.0, 25.0.

(S)-2-(Diphenyl((trimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)pyrrolidine (C6)²⁰⁴

C6 was prepared starting from L-proline according to the general procedure described above using PhMgBr (2 M solution in Et₂O, 30 mL, 60 mmol) in the Grignard reaction and Me₃SiCl (3.20 mL, 17.5 mmol) in the silvlation step. Yellow oil. Yield after 5 steps: 78%. All data were consistent with those previously reported. $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +20.3^\circ$ (c = 1.00, CH₂Cl₂). ¹**H-NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.47 – 7.42 (m, 2H), 7.37 – 7.32 (m, 2H), 7.31 – 7.27 (m, 2H), 7.26 – 7.17 (m, 4H), 4.04 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 2.91 – 2.65 (m, 2H), 1.62 – 1.50 (m, 3H), 1.42 – 1.31 (m, 1H), -0.10 (s, 9H).

5.2.2. Preparation of squaramide-based Brønsted base catalysts

Squaramide-based catalysts were prepared according to the following synthetic sequence:



5.2.2.1. Preparation of catalysts C7 and C12



Known catalysts $C7^{209}$ and $C12^{210}$ were synthesised as follows:

Preparation of squaric ester monoamides



To a solution of 3,4-dimethoxy-3-cyclobutane-1,2-dione (280 mg, 2.0 mmol) in MeOH (20 mL) was added the corresponding amine (2.0 mmol) and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 48 h. The formed precipitate was filtered and dried in vacuo to give the squaric ester monoamide.

3-((3,5-bis(Trifluoromethyl)phenyl)amino)-4-methoxycyclobut-3-ene-1,2-dione²¹¹



The title compound was prepared according to the general procedure described above using 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)aniline (310 mg, 2.0 mmol) as the amine. Yield: 68% (463, 1.36 mmol). All spectroscopic data were

identical to those reported in literature. ¹**H-NMR** (300 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 11.18 (s, 1H), 8.04 (s, 2H), 7.78 (s, 1H), 4.41 (s, 3H).

3-((3,5-bis(Trifluoromethyl)benzyl)amino)-4-methoxycyclobut-3-ene-1,2-dione²¹²



The title compound was prepared according to the general procedure described above using 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)benzylamine (486 mg, 2.0 mmol) as the amine. Yield: 62% (438, 1.24 mmol). All spectroscopic data were identical to those reported in literature. ¹H-NMR (300

²⁰⁹ W. Yang, D. M. Du, Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 5450–5453.

²¹⁰ J. P. Malerich, K. Hagihara, V. H. Rawal. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 14416–14417.

²¹¹ Yang, W.; Du, D. M. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 5450–5453.

²¹² J. P. Malerich, K. Hagihara, V. H. Rawal. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 14416–14417.

MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 8.94 (br s, 1H), 7.09 (s, 2H), 7.94 (s, 1H), 4.78 (br s, 2H), 4.26 (s, 3H).

Preparation of 9-amino-(9-deoxy)epiquinine²¹³



1st step:²¹⁴ A mixture of quinine (16.2 g, 50 mmol, 1 equiv.) and triethylamine (25.1 mL, 180 mmol, 3.6 equiv.) in dry THF (250 mL) was cooled to 0 °C and then methanesulfonyl chloride (7.0 mL, 90 mmol, 1.8 equiv.) was added dropwise. The mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. The reaction was quenched with water (40 mL) and then THF was removed under vacuum. The residue was dissolved in dichloromethane (40 mL) and washed with water (30 mL) and a saturated solution of NaHCO₃ (30 mL). The organic layer was dried over MgSO₄, filtered and concentred under vacuum to afford the crude product in 96% yield, which was used in the next step without further purification.

 2^{nd} step:²¹⁵ The crude product (19.3 g, 48 mmol, 1 equiv.) was dissolved in DMF (150 mL). The solution was cooled to 0 °C and NaN₃ (6.2 g, 96 mmol, 2 equiv.) was added in portions. The mixture was stirred at 70 °C for 16 h and after this time the reaction was quenched with water (80 mL) and then ethyl acetate (150 mL) was added. The organic layer was separated and washed with brine (10 × 60 mL), dried over MgSO₄, filtered and evaporated under reduced pressure to obtain the crude product in quantitative yield, which was used in the next step without further purification.

²¹³ Adapted from: H. Brunner, J. Büegler, B. Nuber, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, **1995**, *6*, 1699–1702.

²¹⁴ Adapted from: M. Zielinska-Blajet, M. Kucharska, J. Skarzewski, *Synthesis*, **2006**, *7*, 4383–4387.

²¹⁵ Adapted from: U. Sudermeier, C. Döbler, G. M. Mehltretter, W. Baumann, M. Beller, *Chirality*, **2003**, *15*, 127–134.

3rd step:²¹⁵ The crude product was dissolved in THF (250 mL) and PPh₃ (12.6 g, 48 mmol, 1 equiv.) was added. The reaction mixture was heated to 40 °C and stirred until the gas evolution ceased (~5 h). Then H₂O (8 mL) was added and the mixture was stirred overnight at 40 °C. The solvent was removed under vacuum and the residue was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (150 mL). HCl 6M (250 mL) was added and the aqueous phase was separated and washed with dichloromethane (2 × 100 mL). Then the aqueous layer was cooled to 0 °C and basified until pH > 10 with NaOH 40%. The aqueous phase was then extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 150 mL), dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford 9-amino-(9-deoxy)*epi*quinine as a yellow viscous oil. Yield: 56% (8.7 g, 26.9 mmol). All data were consistent with those previously reported. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃), δ 8.75 (d, *J* = 4.6 Hz, 1H), 7.36–8.05 (m, 4H), 5.79 (m, 1H), 4.97 (m, 2H), 4.57 (d, *J* = 10.4 Hz, 1H), 3.97 (s, 3H), 3.02–3.34 (m, 3H), 2.77 (m, 2H), 2.27 (m, 1H), 2.08 (s, 2H), 1.26–1.63 (m, 4H), 0.80 (m, 1H).

Coupling with squaric ester monoamide



To a suspension of the corresponding squaric ester monoamide prepared above (1.0 mmol, 1 equiv.) in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) was added 9-amino-(9-deoxy)*epi*quinine (323 mg, 1.0 mmol, 1 equiv.) and the reaction mixture was stirred for 48 h at room temperature, the solvent evaporated, and the product submitted to purification by silica gel column chromatography (eluting hexane/EtOAc $50:50 \rightarrow 0:100$).

3-((3,5-bis(Trifluoromethyl)phenyl)amino)-4-(((*S*)-(6-methoxyquinolin-4yl)((1*S*,2*S*,4*S*,5*R*)-5-vinylquinuclidin-2-yl)methyl)amino)cyclobut-3-ene-1,2-dione (C7)²⁰⁹



The title compound was prepared according to the general procedure described above from 3-((3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)amino)-4-methoxycyclobut-3-ene-1,2-dione (339 mg, 1.0 mmol). Yellow solid. m. p. 223–225 °C. Yield: 70% (441 mg, 0.70 mmol). All spectroscopic data were identical to those reported in

literature. ¹**H-NMR** (300 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 9.88 (br s, 1H), 8.80 (d, J = 4.5 Hz, 1H), 8.36 (br s, 1H), 8.04 – 7.86 (m, 3H), 7.76 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 1H), 7.67 (d, J = 4.5 Hz, 1H), 7.58 (s, 1H), 7.47 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 6.19 – 5.73 (m, 2H), 5.13 – 4.92 (m, 2H), 3.95 (s,

3H), 3.52-3.42 (m, 1H), 3.30- 3.25 (m, 1H) 2.77 – 2.58 (m, 2H), 2.35 – 2.20 (m, 1H), 1.60 – 1.47 (m, 4H), 0.66 (m, 1H)..

3-((3,5-bis(Trifluoromethyl)benzyl)amino)-4-(((*S*)-(6-methoxyquinolin-4yl)((1*S*,2*S*,4*S*,5*R*)-5-vinylquinuclidin-2-yl)methyl)amino)cyclobut-3-ene-1,2-dione (C12)²¹⁰



The title compound was prepared according to the general procedure described above from 3-((3,5-bis(Trifluoromethyl)benzyl)amino)-4-

methoxycyclobut-3-ene-1,2-dione (353 mg, 1.0 mmol, 1 equiv.). Yield: 64% (413, 0.64 mmol). All spectroscopic data were identical to those reported in

literature. ¹**H-NMR** (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 8.90 (d, J = 4.5 Hz, 1H), 8.39 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.93-8.07 (m, 5H), 7.77 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.74 (br s, 1H), 7.66 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.62 (d, J = 4.4 Hz, 1H), 6.06 (brs, 1H), 5.79 (ddd, J = 17.1, 10.5, 6.5 Hz, 1H), 5.12 (d, J = 17.2 Hz, 1H), 5.03 (d, J = 10.5 Hz, 1H), 4.82 (m, 2H), 3.27 (q, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 3.07 (dd, J = 13.9, 7.1 Hz, 1H), 2.89 (t, J = 12.2 Hz, 1H), 2.81 (m, 1H), 2.74 (dd, J = 14.4, 10.5 Hz, 1H), 2.17 (q, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 1.40-1.55 (m, 3H), 0.83-0.99 (m, 2H).

5.2.2.2. Preparation of catalyst C8

Known catalyst $C8^{216}$ was prepared according to the following synthetic sequence:



 1^{st} step:²¹⁷ Na₂CO₃ (2.12 g, 20 mmol, 2 equiv.) and Boc₂O (3.3 g, 15 mmol, 1.5 equiv.) were added to a solution of L-*tert*-leucine (1.31 g, 10 mmol, 1 equiv.) in water (20 mL)

²¹⁶ K. Hu, A. Lu, Y. Wang, Z. Zhou, C. Tang, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2013**, *24*, 953–957.

and THF (5 mL) at 0 °C. After stirring for 12 h at room temperature HCl (10 %) was added until pH 2 and the mixture was extracted with EtOAc (3 x 30 mL). The aqueous phases were combined, washed with brine (50 mL) and dried over MgSO₄. After removing the solvent under reduced pressure, the resulting residue was redissolved in dry DMF (20 mL) and DIPEA (2.58 g, 20 mmol, 2 equiv.) and HBTU (5.7 g, 15 mmol, 1.5 equiv.) were added. After stirring for 1 h piperidine (0.94 g, 11 mmol, 1.1 equiv.) was added and the mixture was stirred for further 16 h. The reaction was quenched adding HCl 1 M (20 mL) and the mixture was extracted with EtOAc (2 x 20 mL). The organic phases were combined and washed with HCl 1 M and brine (20 mL) and dried over MgSO₄. The solvent was then removed under reduced pressure and the resulting residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (eluting with hexane/EtOAc 8:/15) to afford tert-butyl (S)-(3,3-dimethyl-1-oxo-1-(piperidin-1yl)butan-2-yl)carbamate as a white solid. Yield: 82% (2.5 g, 8.3 mmol). All spectroscopic data were identical to those reported in the literature. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.98 (s, 9H), 1.43 (s, 9H), 1.52 – 1.62 (m, 6H), 3.46 – 3.69 (m, 4 H), 4.54 (d, J = 9.7 Hz, 1H), 5.38 (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H).

2nd step:²¹⁷ The previously obtained amide (2.5 g, 8 mmol, 1 equiv.) was dissolved in a mixture of CH₂Cl₂ (8 mL) and trifluoroacetic acid (2 mL) and stirred at 40 °C until no more starting material was observed by TLC (eluting with hexane/EtOAc 70:30). The solvent was then removed under reduced pressure and the residue was redissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL). The solution was washed with NaOH (40 %), dried over MgSO₄ and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to afford the aminoamide as a yellow oil. The aminoamide was then dissolved in dry diethyl ether (10 mL) and was added dropwise over a suspension of lithium aluminiumhydride (879 mg, 24 mmol, 3 equiv.) in diethyl ether (40 mL) at 0 °C under nitrogen atmosphere. The mixture was stirred at the same temperature for some minutes and afterwards it was stirred at room temperature for 16 h. The reaction was quenched by the addition of water (5 mL) and NaOH 15 % (1.2 mL) at 0 °C. The resulting mixture was filtered and the filtrate was extracted with diethyl ether (2 x 10 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO₄ and the solvent was eliminated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (eluting with hexane/EtOAc 1:1) to afford (S)-3,3-dimethyl-1-(piperidin-1-yl)butan-2-amine as yellow oil. Yield: 92% (1.16 g, 6.8 mmol). All spectroscopic data were identical to those reported in the literature. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.66 (dd, J = 11.0, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 2.52 (d, J = 12.3 Hz, 4H), 2.28 (dd, J = 12.3, 2.8 Hz, 3H), 2.13 (dd, J = 12.1, 11.2 Hz, 1H), 1.61-1.53 (m, 4H), 1.44 – 1.42 (m, 2H), 0.90 (s, 9H).

²¹⁷ Y. Gao, Q. Ren, L. Wang, J. Wang, Chem. Eur. J. 2010, 16, 13068–13071.

3rd step:²¹⁶ To a solution of the diamine (780 mg, 4.6 mmol, 1 equiv.) in methanol (30 mL) the squaric ester monoamide obtained above (1.56 g, 4.6 mmol, 1 equiv.) was added and the mixture was stirred until complete disappearance of the starting amide as monitored by TLC (16 h). The formed white precipitate was filtered and washed with CH₂Cl₂ to afford essentially pure **C8** as a white solid. m. p. 246–248 °C. Yield: 59% (1.29 g, 2.6 mmol). All spectroscopic data were identical to those reported in the literature. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.09 (s, 1H), 8.08 (s, 2H), 7.64 (s, 1H), 4.07 – 3.93 (m, 1H), 2.49 – 2.04 (m, 5H), 1.51 – 1.22 (m, 6H), 0.93 (s, 9H).

5.2.2.3. Preparation of catalyst C10

Known catalyst $C10^{218}$ was prepared according to the following synthetic sequence:



1st **step:**²¹⁹ Glutaraldehyde (50 wt% in H₂O, 1.90 mL, 10.4 mmol, 1.04 equiv.) was added dropwise into a mixture of diamine (1.140 g, 10 mmol, 1 equiv.) and NaBH(OAc)₃ (8.500 g, 40 mmol, 4 equiv.) in 1,2-dichloroethane (60 mL) at room temperature. The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3h, and quenched with NaOH 6 M (30 mL). The organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 x 30 mL). The combined organic layers were concentrated. The residue was then redissolved in 50 mL CH₂Cl₂, washed with brine (20 mL), dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated to give the product as a yellow liquid. Yield: 89% (1.622 g, 8.9 mmol). All spectroscopic data were identical to those reported in the literature. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.87 – 2.68 (m, 1H), 2.67 – 2.49 (m, 3H), 2.41 – 2.19 (m, 2H), 2.16 – 1.92 (m, 2H), 1.88 – 1.34 (m, 8H), 1.31 – 0.97 (m, 4H).

²¹⁸ W. Yang, D.-M Du, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2011, 353, 1241–1246.

²¹⁹ Y. Zhu, J. P. Malerich, V. H. Rawal, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 153–156.

2nd step:²¹⁸ To a suspension of the squaric ester monoamide described above in section 5.2.2.1 (339 mg, 1.0 mmol, 1 equiv.) in 5 mL of CH₂Cl₂ was added 2-(piperidin-1-yl)cyclohexanamine (379 mg, 1.0 mmol, 1 equiv.) and the reaction mixture was stirred for 48 h at room temperature. After solvent evaporation the desired product was obtained by silica gel column chromatography (eluting with CH₂Cl₂/MeOH, 98:2). White solid. m. p. 134–136 °C. Yield: 71% (347 mg, 0.71 mmol). All spectroscopic data were identical to those reported in the literature. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.88 (s, 2H), 7.43 (s, 1H), 4.00 – 3.80 (m, 1H), 2.66 – 2.49 (m, 2H), 2.39 – 2.14 (m, 3H), 1.93 – 1.59 (m, 4H), 1.48 – 0.98 (m, 10H).

5.2.2.4. Preparation of catalysts C13, C28 and C31-C33

Amide group-bearing squaramide-based catalysts C13, C28 and C31-C33 were prepared according to the following synthetic sequence. Catalyst $C31^{220}$ was previously described in in our group and the rest were synthesised for the first time:



²²⁰ J. Etxabe, J. Izquierdo, A. Landa, M. Oiarbide, C. Palomo, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 6883–6886.

1st **step:** Method A:²²¹ 1-Methylimidazole (1.0 mL, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv.) was added to a slurry of the corresponding 3-nitrobenzoic acid (5 mmol, 1 equiv.) in CH₂CL₂ (25 mL) at 0 °C, and the mixture was stirred for 10 min. MsCl (0.58 mL, 7.5 mmol, 1.5 equiv.) in CH₂Cl₂ (1 mL) was added to the mixture under -5 °C. After the resulting mixture was stirred under that temperature for 20 min and then 3,5bis(trifluoromethyl)aniline (0.78 mL, 5 mmol, 1 equiv.) was added. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h. H₂O (50 mL) was then added and a solid precipitated, which was solved in EtOAc (50 mL). The organic layer was washed with brine (3 × 25 mL) and dried over anhydrous MgSO₄. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the crude was crushed with diethyl ether to afford the desired amide as a white solid in quantitative yield.

Method B: Oxalyl chloride (0.47 mL, 5.5 mmol, 1.1 equiv.) was added to a suspension of the corresponding 3-nitrobenzoic acid (5 mmol, 1 equiv.) in dichloromethane (5 mL) at 0 °C under nitrogen atmosphere. DMF (1 drop) was then added and the mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature for 2 h, observing the complete dissolution of the solid. The resulting crude was concentrated under reduced pressure and slowly added to a solution of the corresponding benzylamine (5 mmol, 1 equiv.) and triethylamine (2.1 mL, 15 mmol, 3 equiv.) in dichloromethane (15 mL) at 0 °C under nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight and EtOAc (30 mL) was added. The organic phase was washed with aqueous HCl (1 M) (2 × 30 mL) and brine (30 mL), dried over MgSO₄ and the solvent was eliminated under reduced pressure affording the desired amide as a white solid in quantitative yield.

 2^{nd} step: To a solution of the previous benzamide (5 mmol) in EtOAc (15 mL) under inert atmosphere, Pd/C was added (230 mg, Pd 10% in activated carbon, 10% in weight). The reaction mixture was stirred under H₂ atmosphere (1 atm) at room temperature for 20 h. After that the mixture was filtered over celite and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure to afford the hydrogenated product quantitatively, which was used for the preparation of the corresponding squaric ester monoamide without further purification.

 3^{rd} step: To a solution of 3,4-dimethoxy-3-cyclobutane-1,2-dione (280 mg, 2.0 mmol) in MeOH (20 mL) was added the corresponding amine (2.0 mmol) and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 48 h. The formed precipitate was filtered and dried in vacuo to give the squaric ester monoamide.

4th step: To a suspension of the corresponding squaric ester monoamide prepared above (1.0 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) was added 9-amino-(9-deoxy)*epi*quinine (323 mg, 1.0

²²¹ Adapted from: L. Mao, Z. Wang, Y. Li, X. Han, W. Zhou, *Synlett* **2011**, *1*, 129–133.

mmol) and the reaction mixture was stirred for 48 h at room temperature, the solvent evaporated, and the product submitted to purification by silica gel column chromatography (eluting hexane/EtOAc 50:50 \rightarrow 0:100), affording the catalysts corresponding catalyst.

N-(3,5-bis(Trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-3-((2-(((S)-(6-methoxyquinolin-4yl)((1S,2S,4S,5R)-5-vinylquinuclidin-2-yl)methyl)amino)-3,4-dioxocyclobut-1-en-1yl)amino)-5-(trifluoromethyl)benzamide (C13)



The title compound was prepared according to the general procedure described above starting from 3-nitro-5-(trifluoromethyl)benzoic acid (1.2 g, 5 mmol, 1 equiv.) and 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)aniline (1.1 g, 5 mmol, 1 equiv.). Yellow solid. Yield of 4th step: 68% (555 mg, 0.68 mmol). ¹**H-NMR** (300 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 10.13 (s, 1H), 9.24 (s, 1H), 8.13 (d, *J* = 4.6 Hz, 1H), 7.81 (s, 2H), 7.55 (s, 1H), 7.31 (d, *J* = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 7.21

- 6.67 (m, 8H), 5.48 – 5.16 (m, 2H), 4.46 – 4.19 (m, 2H), 3.29 (s, 3H), 2.89 – 2.58 (m, 3H), 2.15 – 1.90 (m, 2H), 1.61 (s, 1H), 1.08 – 0.65 (m, 4H), -0.02 (s, 1H). ¹³**C-NMR** (75 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 184.6, 180.1, 168.5, 164.4, 163.0, 157.9, 147.8, 144.3, 143.1, 142.1, 140.7, 140.3, 136.0, 131.5, 130.9, 130.5, 127.5, 125.1, 121.2, 120.0, 118.0, 117.5, 116.8, 114.3, 101.5, 58.9, 55.7, 27.3, 26.0. **MS:** calculated for C₄₀H₄₂NO₄F₉ (M + H⁺): 472.3035; found: 472.3039.

N-(3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)benzyl)-3-((2-(((*S*)-(6-methoxyquinolin-4yl)((1*S*,2*S*,4*S*,5*R*)-5-vinylquinuclidin-2-yl)methyl)amino)-3,4-dioxocyclobut-1-en-1yl)amino)-5-(trifluoromethyl)benzamide (C28)



The title compound was prepared according to the general procedure described above starting from 3-nitro-5-(trifluoromethyl)benzoic acid (1.2 g, 5 mmol, 1 equiv.) and 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)benzylamine (1.2 g, 5 mmol, 1 equiv.). Yellow solid. Yield of 4th step: 84% (698 mg, 0.84 mmol). ¹**H-NMR** (300 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 10.16 (s, 1H), 9.38 (t, *J* = 5.9 Hz, 1H), 8.80 (d, *J* = 4.5 Hz, 1H), 8.30 (s, 1H), 8.11 (s, 1H), 8.02 (s, 2H), 8.01–7.94 (m, 2H), 7.92 (t, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.84 (s, 1H), 7.75

(d, J = 2.7 Hz, 1H), 7.66 (d, J = 4.6 Hz, 1H), 7.44 (dd, J = 9.2, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 5.97 (ddd, J = 17.6, 10.2, 7.7 Hz, 2H), 5.15–4.89 (m, 2H), 4.66 (d, J = 5.8 Hz, 2H), 3.95 (s, 3H), 3.33–3.08 (m, 3H), 2.81–2.55 (m, 2H), 2.34–2.18 (m, 1H), 1.69–1.40 (m, 4H), 0.77–0.59 (m, 1H). ¹³**C-NMR** (75 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 184.6, 180.0, 168.4, 164.8, 163.1,

157.9, 147.8, 144.3, 143.1, 142.8, 142.1, 140.1, 136.0, 131.5 (q), 130.5 (q), 130.2, 128.3, 128.3, 127.4, 125.5, 125.2, 121.9, 121.6, 120.9, 120.8, 120.8, 120.7, 117.3, 117.3, 117.1, 114.4, 101.5, 58.9, 55.7, 42.2, 27.3, 26.0. **MS:** calculated for $C_{41}H_{35}N_5O_4F_9$ (M + H⁺): 832.2545; found: 832.2559.

N-(3,5-bis(Trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-3-((2-(((S)-(6-methoxyquinolin-4yl)((1S,2S,4S,5R)-5-vinylquinuclidin-2-yl)methyl)amino)-3,4-dioxocyclobut-1-en-1yl)amino)benzamide (C31)



The title compound was prepared according to the general procedure described above starting from 3-nitrobenzoic acid (840 mg, 5 mmol, 1 equiv.) and 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)aniline (1.1 g, 5 mmol, 1 equiv.). White solid. Yield of 4th step: 68% (510 mg, 0.68 mmol). All spectroscopic data were identical to those reported in literature. ¹**H-NMR** (300 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 10.94 (s, 1H), 10.16 (s, 1H), 8.80 (d, *J* = 4.5 Hz, 1H), 8.47 (d, *J* = 1.8

Hz, 2H), 8.27 (s, 1H), 8.17 (s, 1H), 7.97 (t, J = 4.5 Hz, 3H), 7.84 (s, 1H), 7.76 (s, 1H), 7.67 (d, J = 4.6 Hz, 1H), 7.45 (dd, J = 9.2, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 6.22–5.82 (m, 2H), 5.30–4.81 (m, 2H), 3.96 (s, 3H), 3.56–3.06 (m, 4H), 2.85–2.55 (m, 2H), 2.28 (q, J = 8.0, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 1.84–1.34 (m, 4H), 0.68 (s, 1H).

N-(3,5-bis(Trifluoromethyl)benzyl)-3-((2-(((1*S*)-(6-methoxyquinolin-4-yl)(5-vinylquinuclidin-2-yl)methyl)amino)-3,4-dioxocyclobut-1-en-1-vl)amino)benzamide (C32)



The title compound was prepared according to the general procedure described above starting from 3-nitrobenzoic acid (840 mg, 5 mmol, 1 equiv.) and 3.5bis(trifluoromethyl)benzylamine (1.2 g, 5 mmol, 1 equiv.). Yellow solid. Yield of 4th step: 90% (686 mg, 0.90 mmol). ¹**H-NMR** (300 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 9.98 (s, 1H), 9.14 (s, 1H), 8.79 (d, J = 4.5 Hz, 1H), 8.39 (s, 1H), 8.04–7.95 (m, 3H), 7.77 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 7.71–7.63 (m, 2H), 7.54–7.36 (m, 3H), 6.13-5.86 (m, 2H), 5.09-4.86 (m, 2H), 4.71-4.56 (m,

2H), 3.95 (s, 3H), 3.46 (dd, J = 18.2, 9.3 Hz, 1H), 3.19 (dd, J = 13.4, 10.1 Hz, 1H), 2.82–2.58 (m, 2H), 2.27 (t, J = 9.1 Hz, 1H), 1.52 (t, J = 20.0 Hz, 4H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 184.2, 179.8, 168.1, 166.2, 163.6, 157.8, 147.8, 144.3, 143.2, 142.1, 139.0, 135.1, 131.5, 130.4, 129.9, 129.4, 128.1, 127.4, 125.1, 121.9, 121.1, 120.6, 117.4, 114.3, 101.5, 55.7, 55.6, 42.0, 30.7, 27.3, 26.0. MS: calculated for C₄₀H₃₆N₅O₄F₆ (M + H⁺): 764.2671; found: 764.2676.

N-(3,5-bis(Trifluoromethyl)benzyl)-3-((2-(((S)-(6-methoxyquinolin-4yl)((1S,2S,4S,5R)-5-vinylquinuclidin-2-yl)methyl)amino)-3,4-dioxocyclobut-1-en-1yl)amino)-N-methylbenzamide (C33)



The title compound was prepared according to the general procedure described above starting from 3-nitrobenzoic acid (840 mmol, equiv.) mg, 5 1 and 3.5bis(trifluoromethyl)benzylmethylamine²²² (1.3 g, 5 mmol, 1 equiv.). Yellow solid. Yield of 4th step: 90% (700 mg, 0.90 mmol). ¹**H-NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.56 (d, J = 4.1 Hz, 1H), 7.94 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 7.80 (s, 1H), 7.75 (d, J = 16.0Hz, 2H), 7.54-7.28 (m, 4H), 6.98 (s, 2H), 6.89-6.73 (m, 1H), 6.22 (s, 1H), 5.87–5.69 (m, 1H), 5.04–4.87 (m, 2H),

4.85–4.54 (m, 2H), 3.95 (s, 3H), 3.63–3.34 (m, 2H), 3.17 (t, J = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 2.87 (s, 3H), 2.81–2.63 (m, 2H), 2.35–2.19 (m, 1H), 1.75–1.42 (m, 4H), 0.78–0.64 (m, 1H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 183.7, 181.3, 171.5, 168.7, 163.3, 158.7, 147.2, 144.5, 143.4, 141.0, 139.3, 138.5, 136.0, 132.2, 131.8, 131.3, 129.4, 127.9, 127.0, 124.8, 122.6, 121.7, 121.2, 120.4, 118.7, 117.4, 114.7, 101.1, 77.2, 59.7, 55.9, 50.4, 40.7, 39.3, 37.4, 27.4, 26.1. **MS:** calculated for C₄₀H₃₆N₅O₄F₆ (M + H⁺): 764.2671; found: 764.2676.

5.2.2.5. Preparation of catalysts C14-C17

New catalysts C14-C17 were synthesised as follows:

Preparation of the imidazolium chlorides

Known imidazolium salt intermediates were prepared according to a previously reported synthetic sequence:²²³



²²² Prepared following the procedure previously described: A. Arasappan *et al.*, *PCI Int. Appl.* **2011**, 2011103441.

²²³ T. Ma, X. Fu, C. W. Kee, L. Zong, Y. Pan, K. Huang, C. Tan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 2828–2831.

1st **step:** Triphosgene (2.93 g, 10 mmol, 1 equiv.) was slowly added to a solution of the corresponding 1,2-iphenylethylenediamine (6.36 g, 30 mmol, 1 equiv.) and triethylamine (12.3 mL, 90 mmol, 3 equiv.) in CH₂Cl₂ (75 mL) at 0 °C. The reaction was then stirred for 5 h at room temperature and quenched adding H₂O (60 mL). The aqueous phase was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2 x 100 mL), and the combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried over MgSO₄ and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure to afford the desired intermediate as a yellow solid quantitatively, which was subsequently used without further purification. All spectroscopic data were identical to those reported in the literature. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.36 (d, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 5H), 7.31 – 7.25 (m, 5H), 5.03 (s, 2H), 4.59 (s, 2H).

2nd step: The solid obtained in the previous step was dissolved in dry THF (45 mL) and it was slowly added to a suspension of NaH (2.2 g, 90 mmol, 3 equiv.) in THF (60 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min and iodomethane (6.9 mL, 111 mmol, 3.7 equiv.) was added. After completion of the reaction (TLC monitoring) the resulting mixture was filtered through celite and the reaction crude was concentrated under reduced pressure and purified by flash column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 60:40), affording the dimethylated intermediate as a white solid. Yield: 66% (5.2g, 20 mmol). All spectroscopic data were identical to those reported in the literature. ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.38 (dd, *J* = 5.0, 2.0 Hz, 6H), 7.18 (dt, *J* = 6.1, 2.4 Hz, 4H), 4.12 (s, 2H), 2.74 (s, 6H).

3rd step: To a solution of the previously obtained solid (5.2 g, 20 mmol, 1 equiv.) in toluene (130 mL) oxalyl chloride (16.8 mL, 200 mmol, 10 equiv.) was added and the reaction mixture was refluxed for 16 h. The solvent was eliminated under reduced pressure with big care to avoid contact of the compound with air and the resulting white solid was stored under Ar in a desiccator until it was submitted to the next step. ¹H **NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.61 – 7.54 (m, 4H), 7.47 – 7.41 (m, 6H), 5.30 (s, 2H), 3.21 (s, 6H).

Preparation of Boc-protected diamines

Previously known Boc-protected diamine intermediates were prepared according to the following synthetic sequence:



1st **step:**²²⁴ The corresponding amino acid (50 mmol, 1 equiv.) was added to a suspension of NaBH₄ (4.78 g, 125 mmol, 2.5 equiv.) in THF (120 mL), and the resulting mixture was cooled to 0 °C before I₂ (12.7 g, 50 mmol, 1 equiv.) in THF (30 mL) was added over a 30 min period. Then, the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature, and after the evolution of gas ceased it was refluxed for 16 h. The reaction was quenched by slow addition of MeOH at 0 °C, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The solid residue was dissolved in KOH (20%; 100 mL), stirring at room temperature for 4 h and the resulting solution was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (5 x 50 mL), the combined organic layers were dried over MgSO₄ and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure to afford the corresponding aminoalcohol as a colourless oil, which was used in the next step without further purification. Yield: Cuantitative.

 2^{nd} step:²²⁵ To a solution of the previously obtained aminoalcohol (30 mmol, 1 equiv.) and triethylamine (4.2 mL, 30 mmol, 1 equiv.) in THF (90 mL) Boc₂O (6.54 g, 30 mmol, 1 equiv.) was added at 0 °C, and the resulting mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature for 2 h. The solvent was removed under vacuum and the solid residue was redissolved in EtOAc (75 mL), washed with H₂O (2 x 75 mL) and brine (75 mL). The organic phase was then dried over MgSO₄ and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure to afford the corresponding *N*-boc aminoalcohol, which was subsequently used without further purification. Yield: Cuantitative.

 3^{rd} step:²²⁶ A solution of phthalic anhydride (3.35 g, 22.8 mmol, 1.5 equiv.), triphenylphosphine (11.9 g, 45 mmol, 3 equiv.) and the alcohol above obtained (15 mmol, 1 equiv.) in dry THF (150 mL) was cooled to 0 °C and diethylazodicarboxylate (DEAD; 5.95 mL, 37.5 mmol, 2.5 equiv.) was slowly added. The resulting mixture was

²²⁴ M. Nakamura, T. Hatakeyama, K. Hara, E. Nakamura, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2003**, 125, 6362–6363.

²²⁵ C. Ebner, A. Pfaltz, *Tetrahedron*. **2011**, 67, 10287–10290.

²²⁶ C. A. Busacca, D. Grossbach, E. Spinelli, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* 2000, 11, 1907–1910.

let to warm to room temperature and it was stirred at the same temperature for 3 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the product was purified by flash column chromatography (eluting with hexane/EtOAc 80:20), affording the corresponding phthalimide as a white solid. Yield: 80–90%.

4th step:²²⁶ Hydrazine monohydrate (2.43 mL, 50 mmol, 5 equiv.) was added to a solution of the previously obtained solid (10 mmol, 1 equiv.) in EtOH (85 mL) and the mixture was refluxed for 45 min, observing the formation of a white solid. After cooling to room temperature, the mixture was filtered through a pad of celite and the filtrate was washed with Et₂O (150 mL). The liquid phase was then washed with H₂O (100 mL), dried over MgSO₄ and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure affording the Boc-protected diamine as a white solid, which was used in the next step without further purification. Yield: 80–85%.

tert-Butyl (S)-(1-amino-3,3-dimethylbutan-2-yl)carbamate²²⁷

Prepared starting from L-*tert*-leucine (1.8 g, 8.5 mmol). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.40 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 3.33 (td, J = 10.3, 3.1 Hz, 1H), 2.95 (dd, J = 13.3, 3.1 Hz, 1H), 2.39 (dd, J = 13.3, 10.3 Hz, 1H), 1.45 (s, 9H), 1.06 (bs, 2H), 0.90 (s, 9H).

tert-Butyl (R)-(1-amino-3-methylbutan-2-yl)carbamate²²⁶

Prepared starting from D-valine (1.6 g, 8.0 mmol).¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.54 (s, 0H), 3.39 (s, 0H), 2.83 (dd, J = 13.2, 4.3 Hz, 1H), 2.65 (dd, J = 13.2, 7.8 Hz, 1H), 1.78 (dq, J = 13.4, 6.7 Hz, 1H), 1.48 (s, 6H), 0.96 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.93 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H).

tert-Butyl (S)-(1-amino-3-phenylpropan-2-yl)carbamate²²⁸

Ph NH₂ NH₂ Prepared starting from L-phenylalanine (2.1 g, 8.4 mmol).¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.34 – 7.09 (m, 5H), 4.66 (bs, 1H), 3.86 – 3.72 (m, 1H), 2.83 – 2.56 (m, 4H), 1.41 (s, 9H), 1.17 (bs, 2H).

²²⁷ H. Cho et al., PCI Int. Appl. 2002, 2002014324.

²²⁸ G. Kokotos, V. Constantinou-Kokotou, J. Chem. Res. 1992, 12, 391.

Preparation of catalysts C14-C17



1st step: A solution of the above prepared amine (1 mmol, 1 equiv.) in CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL) was added to a solution of the previously obtained imidazolium salt (1.5 mmol, 1.5 equiv.) in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) and TEA (1.25 mL, 9 mmol, 9 equiv.) was added to the resulting solution. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 5 h making sure that the reaction media remained basic, and then the reaction was quenched by adding HCL 3 M. The aqueous phase was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 x 10 mL) and the combined organic layer was dried over MgSO₄ and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure to afford the crude product, which was subsequently purified by flash column chromatography (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 90:10) affording the pure product as a viscous oil. Yield: 60–70%.

 2^{nd} step: The guanidine above obtained (0.6 mmol) was dissolved in TFA and the resulting solution was stirred at room temperature for 2 h. The solvent was then removed under reduced pressure and the residue was redissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL). The solution was washed with NaOH 2 M (5 mL) and dried over MgSO₄, and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure to afford the crude product, which was used in the next step without further purification. Yield: Cuantitative.

 3^{rd} step: To a suspension of the squaric ester monoamide prepared above (Section 5.2.2.1, 170 mg, 0.5 mmol, 1 equiv.) in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) was added the previously obtained amine (0.5 mmol, 1 equiv.) and the reaction mixture was stirred for 48 h at room temperature, the solvent evaporated, and the product submitted to purification by silica gel column chromatography (eluting CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 95:5).

3-((3,5-bis(Trifluoromethyl)phenyl)amino)-4-(((*S*)-1-(((4*S*,5*S*)-1,3-dimethyl-4,5diphenylimidazolidin-2-ylidene)amino)-3,3-dimethylbutan-2-yl)amino)cyclobut-3ene 1,2-dione (C14)



The title compound was prepared from *tert*butyl (S)-(1-amino-3,3-dimethylbutan-2yl)carbamate (182 mg, 0.5 mmol) and the S,Simidazolium salt. Yellow solid. m. p. = 137-141°C. Yield: 15%, (50 mg, 0.075 mmol). [α] p^{23} =

+30.7° (c = 0.5, CH₂Cl₂). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.07 (s, 2H), 7.49 – 7.08 (m, 11H), 4.39 (d, J = 15.7 Hz, 1H), 4.17 (t, J = 24.9 Hz, 2H), 4.05 – 3.70 (m, 1H), 3.05 – 2.70 (m, 6H), 1.10 (s, 9H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 185.4, 180.1, 169.5, 165.1, 160.7, 141.1, 135.4, 135.0, 132.2, 129.7, 129.5, 126.8, 125.0, 121.3, 118.2, 115.3, 77.2, 75.9, 73.1, 63.8, 42.9, 36.1, 26.4. **MS:** calculated for C₃₅H₃₆N₅O₂F₆ (M + H⁺), 672.2773; found, 672.2775.

3-((3,5-bis(Trifluoromethyl)phenyl)amino)-4-(((*S*)-1-(((*4R*,5*R*)-1,3-dimethyl-4,5-diphenylimidazolidin-2-ylidene)amino)-3,3-dimethylbutan-2-yl)amino)cyclobut-3-ene-1,2-dione (C15)



The title compound was prepared from *tert*butyl (*S*)-(1-amino-3,3-dimethylbutan-2yl)carbamate (182 mg, 0.5 mmol) and the *R*,*R*imidazolium salt. Yellow solid. m. p. = 162-165°C. Yield: 70%, (235 mg, 0.35 mmol). $[\alpha]_D^{24} = -$

25.0° (c = 1, CH₂Cl₂). ¹**H** NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.17 (s, 2H), 7.46 – 7.26 (m, 7H), 7.11 (dd, J = 22.0, 7.9 Hz, 4H), 4.34 (s, 1H), 4.30 – 4.18 (m, 1H), 4.08 – 3.97 (m, 1H), 3.96 – 3.82 (m, 1H), 3.00 (s, 6H), 2.85 (s, 1H), 2.72 – 2.63 (m, 1H), 1.12 (s, 9H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 186.1, 180.8, 170.2, 165.7, 161.4, 141.8, 136.1, 135.7, 132.9, 130.4, 130.2, 127.5, 125.6, 122.0, 118.9, 115.9, 77.9, 76.6, 73.8, 64.5, 43.6, 36.8, 27.1. MS: calculated for C₃₅H₃₆N₅O₂F₆ (M + H⁺), 672.2773; found, 672.2775.

3-((3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)amino)-4-(((*S*)-1-(((*4R*,5*R*)-1,3-dimethyl-4,5-diphenylimidazolidin-2-ylidene)amino)-3-phenylpropan-2-yl)amino)cyclobut-3-ene-1,2-dione (C16)



The title compound was prepared from *tert*-Butyl (*S*)-(1-amino-3-phenylpropan-2-yl)carbamate (202 mg, 0.5 mmol) and the *R*,*R*-imidazolium salt. Yellow solid. m. p. = 140–145 °C. Yield: 84%, (296 mg, 0.42 mmol). $[\alpha]_D^{24}$ =

9.2° (c = 1, CH₂Cl₂). ¹**H** NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.19 (s, 1H), 7.48 – 7.08 (m, 15H), 6.81 (s, 2H), 4.68 (bs, 1H), 4.48 (bs, 1H), 4.34 – 4.19 (m, 1H), 4.05 (bs, 1H), 3.90 – 3.78 (m, 1H), 3.36 – 3.06 (m, 1H), 2.86 (s,3H), 2.58 (s, 3H), 1.38 – 1.19 (m, 2H), 0.96 – 0.78 (m, 1H). ¹³**C** NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 184.4, 180.9, 169.8, 165.2, 165.0, 164.3, 159.3, 158.9, 141.7, 137.3, 136.6, 135.2, 134.8, 132.1, 129.4, 128.6, 127.1, 126.9, 126.6, 124.9, 121.3, 118.7, 118.4, 115.0, 76.4, 73.9, 57.5, 56.8, 40.4, 33.2, 29.4. **MS:** calculated for C₃₈H₃₄N₅O₂F₆ (M, H⁺), 706.2617; found, 706.2632.

3-((3,5-bis(Trifluoromethyl)phenyl)amino)-4-(((*R*)-1-(((4*S*,5*S*)-1,3-dimethyl-4,5diphenylimidazolidin-2-ylidene)amino)-3-methylbutan-2-yl)amino)cyclobut-3-ene-1,2-dione (C17)



The title compound was prepared from *tert*butyl *tert*-Butyl (*R*)-(1-amino-3-methylbutan-2yl)carbamate (175 mg, 0.5 mmol) and the *S*,*S*imidazolium salt. Yellow solid. m. p. = 150-154°C. Yield: 60%, (197 mg, 0.30 mmol). $[\alpha]_{D}^{23}$ =

+31.5° (c = 0.5, CH₂Cl₂). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CH₂Cl₂) δ 8.17 (s, 1H), 7.38 – 7.23 (m, 7H), 7.09 (d, J = 5.6 Hz, 4H), 4.39 (s, 2H), 4.19 – 3.96 (m, 3H), 3.04 (s, 6H), 2.30 – 2.11 (m, 1H), 1.10 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H), 1.04 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 185.1, 180.48 , 169.7, 165.0, 160.0, 141.4, 135.4, 129.5, 129.4, 126.7, 125.0, 121.3, 118.3, 114.9, 74.1, 60.9, 45.1, 34.7, 31.1, 29.6, 18.9, 18.5. MS: calculated for C₃₄H₃₄N₅O₂F₆ (M + H⁺), 658.2617; found, 658.2625.

5.2.2.6. Preparation of catalysts C18 and C22

New catalysts C18 and C22 were synthesised as follows:

Preparation of the thiourea intermediate

The known thiourea intermediate was prepared following a previously described synthetic sequence:²²⁹

²²⁹ L. Zou, X. Bao, Y. Ma, Y. Song, J. Qu, B. Wang, Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 5760–5762.



1st step: A solution of diethyl(2*R*,3*R*)-2,3-*O*-isopropildentartrate (14.8 g, 60 mmol, 1 equiv.) in THF (15 mL) was slowly added to phenylmagnesium bromide (3 M in THF; 100 mL, 300 mmol, 5 equiv.) at 0 °C, and the reaction mixture was refluxed for 1.5 h. After cooling, a saturated solution of NH₄Cl (400 mL) was added, and the organic phase was separated. The aqueous phase was then extracted with EtOAc (1 x 150 mL) and the combined organic layers were washed with brine and dried over MgSO₄, and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure to afford the crude product, which was purified by recrystallization from CH₂Cl₂/hexane, affording the diol as a white solid. All spectroscopic data were identical to those reported in the literature. Yield: 95% (27 g, 57 mmol). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.55 (m, 4H), 7.35 (m, 16H), 4.61 (s, 2H), 3.95 (s, 2H), 1.05 (s, 6H).

2nd step: To a solution of the diol (4.7 g, 10 mmol, 1 equiv.) in CH₂Cl₂ (60 mL) at room temperature thionyl chloride was added (1.8 g, 30 mmol, 3 equiv.) and the mixture was warmed to reflux and TEA (4.2 g, 42 mmol, 4.2 equiv.) in CH₂Cl₂ (60 mL) was added during a 3 h period. The reaction mixture was stirred until complete consumption of the starting material was observed by TLC, and the solvent was eliminated under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in DMF (50 mL) and to this solution sodium azide (2.6 g, 40 mmol, 4 equiv.) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at 80 °C for 72 h. After cooling to room temperature, H₂O (150 mL) was added and the aqueous solution was extracted with Et₂O (3 x 100 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with water (3 x 100 mL) dried over MgSO₄, and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure to afford the crude product, which was purified by flash column chromatography (eluting hexane /acetate 80:20) to afford the diazide as a yellow solid. All spectroscopic data were identical to those reported in the literature. Yield: 84% (3.9 g, 8.4 mmol). ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.39 (m, 20H), 4.64 (s, 2H), 1.07 (s, 6H).

 3^{rd} step: To a solution of the above obtained diazide (3.6 g, 7 mmol, 1 equiv.) in dry THF (40 mL) at 0 °C was added dropwise a suspension of LiAlH₄ (1,6 g, 42 mmol, 6 equiv.) in dry THF (40 mL) and the reaction mixture was stirred at the same temperature for further 4 h. Then, NaOH 1M (5 mL) was added dropwise, the mixture

was diluted with Et₂O (200 mL) and Na₂SO₄·10 H₂O (20 g) was added. The mixture was stirred for 2 h at room temperature before being filtered, and the filtrate was dried over MgSO₄ and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure to afford the crude product. The product was purified by flash column chromatography (eluting CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 80:20) to afford the diamine as a white solid. All spectroscopic data were identical to those reported in the literature. Yield: 53% (1.72 g, 3.7 mmol). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.56 (m, 4H), 7.35 (m, 6H), 7.19 (m, 10 H), 4.28 (s, 2H), 2.32 (brs, 4H), 1.12 (s, 6H).

4th step: To a solution of the diamine obtained above (1.4 g, 3.0 mmol 1 equiv.) in pyridine (5 mL) was added carbon disulphide (361 μ L, 6.0 mmol, 2 equiv.) and the resulting mixture was stirred at 60 °C for 7 h. Then CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) and H₂O (10 mL) were added and the pH was adjusted to 2 adding HCl 1 M dropwise. The layers were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 x 50 mL) and the combined organic layers were washed with NaOH 1 M (1 x 50 mL) and brine (1 x 50 mL), subsequently. The organich phase was dried over MgSO₄ and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure to afford the crude product, which was crushed with a hexane/CH₂Cl₂ mixture and filtered, affording the pure thiourea as a white solid. All spectroscopic data were identical to those reported in the literature. Yield: 81% (1.2 g, 2.4 mmol). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.62 (m, 4H), 7.42 (m, 6H), 7.28 (m, 6H), 7.15 (m, 4H), 6.85 (s, 2H), 4.59 (s, 2H), 1.20 (s, 6H).





 1^{st} step:²²⁹ The thiourea intermediate above prepared (250 mg, 0.50 mmol, 1 equiv.) was added to a suspension of K₂CO₃ (1.0 g, 7.5 mmol, 15 equiv.) and CuCl (1.0 g, 1.05 mmol, 2.1 equiv.) in dry THF (5 mL) and the resulting mixture was stirred for 10 min at room temperature before adding the corresponding *N*-phthaloyl-1,2-

diphenylethylenediamine²³⁰ (171 mg, 0.5 mmol, 1 equiv.). The reaction mixture was then stirred at 40 °C for 72 h and a saturated solution of NH₄Cl (2 mL) was added. Then HCl 1 M was added dropwise until pH 5 was reached, the mixture was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 x 20 mL), and the combined organic phase was washed with brine (1 x 10 mL), dried over MgSO₄ and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure to afford the crude product, which was purified by flash column chromatography (eluting CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 95:5) to afford the guanidine intermediate as a vellow solid. Yield: 60-70%.

2nd step: Hydrazine monohydrate (0.38 mL, 3.0 mmol, 10 equiv.) was added to a solution of the previously obtained solid (245 mg, 0.3 mmol, 1 equiv.) in EtOH (1 mL) and the mixture was refluxed for 45 min, observing the formation of a white solid. After cooling to room temperature, the mixture was filtered through a pad of celite and the filtrate was washed with Et_2O (15 mL). The liquid phase was then washed with H_2O (10 mL), dried over MgSO₄ and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure affording the crude amine, which was crushed in MeOH and filtered to afford the pure intermediate. Yield: 75-85%.

 3^{rd} step: To a suspension of the squaric ester monoamide prepared above (Section 5.2.2.1, 68 mg, 0.5 mmol, 1 equiv.) in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) was added the previously obtained amine (0.5 mmol, 1 equiv.) and the reaction mixture was stirred for 48 h at room temperature, the solvent evaporated, and the product submitted to purification by silica gel column chromatography (eluting hexane/EtOAc 50:50).

3-((3,5-bis(Trifluoromethyl)phenyl)amino)-4-(((1R,2R)-2-(((3aR,8aR)-2,2-dimethyl-4,4,8,8-tetraphenylhexahydro-6H-[1,3]dioxolo[4,5-e][1,3]diazepin-6vlidene)amino)-1,2-diphenylethyl)amino)cyclobut-3-ene-1,2-dione (C18)

 CF_3 0, F₃C ₽h HN C18 (R,R,R,R)

The title compound was prepared according to the general procedure above described. Yellow solid. m. p. = 178-182 °C. Yield after 3 steps: 12%, (47 mg, 0.070 mmol). $[\alpha]_D^{24} =$ $+38.8^{\circ}$ (c = 1.00, CH₂Cl₂). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.06 (s, 1H), 7.87 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.66 – 7.48 (m, 9H), 7.45 – 7.23 (m, 16H), 7.16 (d, J = 3.2 Hz, 7H), 6.98 (s, 2H), 4.69 (s, 1H), 4.56 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 4.23 (s, 1H), 4.02 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 1.24 (s, 3H), 0.74 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) § 201.2, 188.4, 176.1, 157.9, 149.2, 141.7, 129.8, 129.6, 129.0, 128.8, 128.4, 128.1, 127.3, 126.7, 126.3, 117.6, 113.8, 108.3, 83.9, 81.0, 68.2, 66.1, 62.2, 27.2, 26.6.

²³⁰ Prepared following the procedure previously described: M. Kaik, J. Gawronski, Chem. Commun. 2003, 14, 1559-1563.

MS: calculated for $C_{46}H_{45}N_4O_2$ (M – squaric ester monoamide), 685.3537; found, 685.3533.

3-((3,5-bis(Trifluoromethyl)phenyl)amino)-4-(((1*S*,2*S*)-2-(((3*aR*,8*aR*)-2,2-dimethyl-4,4,8,8-tetraphenylhexahydro-6*H*-[1,3]dioxolo[4,5-e][1,3]diazepin-6ylidene)amino)-1,2-diphenylethyl)amino)cyclobut-3-ene-1,2-dione (C22)



The title compound was prepared according to the general procedure above described. Yellow solid. m. p. = 187-192 °C. Yield after 3 steps: 18%, (60 mg, 0.090 mmol). [α]_D²⁴= +9.7° (c = 1.00, CH₂Cl₂). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ

10.61 (s, 1H), 8.87 (s, 1H), 7.99 (s, 1H), 7.74 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 7.64 – 7.50 (m, 8H), 7.46 – 7.09 (m, 22H), 6.56 (d, J = 5.6 Hz, 2H), 4.69 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 4.54 – 4.38 (m, 1H), 3.95 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 1.25 (s, 3H), 1.22 (s, 3H).). ¹³**C** NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 202.5, 189.7, 177.4, 159.2, 150.5, 143.0, 131.1, 131.0, 130.3, 130.1, 129.7, 129.4, 128.6, 128.0, 127.6, 118.9, 115.1, 109.6, 85.3, 82.45, 69.5, 67.4, 63.5, 28.6, 27.9. MS: calculated for C₄₆H₄₅N₄O₂ (M – squaric ester monoamide), 685.3537; found, 685.3533.

5.2.2.7. Preparation of catalyst C19

New catalyst C19 was prepared according to the following synthetic sequence:



1st step:²³¹ To a solution of the *N*-Boc diamine above prepared (Section 5.2.2.5; 324 mg, 1.5 mmol, 1 equiv.), K_2CO_3 (351 mg, 2.6 mmol, 1.7 equiv.) and $CuSO_4 \cdot 5H_2O$ (3.9

²³¹ M. G. Núñez, A. J. M. Farley, D. J. Dixon, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 16348–16351.

mg, 1 mol %) in MeOH (9 mL) at 0 °C 1*H*-Imidazole-1-sulfonyl azide hydrochloride²³² (372 mg, 1.8 mmol, 1.2 equiv.) was added carefully in small portions and the reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature overnight. The resulting mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure without heating and was diluted with H₂O (25 mL) and Et₂O (25 mL). The aqueous phase was extracted with Et₂O (2 x 20 mL) and the combined organic layer was washed with brine (50 mL), dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude compound was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluting hexane/EtOAc 90:10). White solid. All spectroscopic data were identical to those reported in the literature. Yield: 82%, (300 mg, 1.23 mmol). ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.53 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 3.71 – 3.54 (m, 1H), 3.47 (dd, *J* = 12.6, 3.5 Hz, 1H), 3.22 (dd, *J* = 12.4, 8.2 Hz, 1H), 1.46 (s, 9H), 0.93 (s, 9H).

2nd step:²³² To a solution of the azide above prepared (242 mg, 1.0 mmol, 1 equiv.) in Et₂O (2.5 mL) was added triphenylphosphine (262 mg, 1.0 mmol, 1 equiv.) and the resulting solution was stirred until the complete disappearance of the azide was observed (TLC monitoring). The solvent was eliminated under reduced pressure affording the desired product as a white foam, which was subsequently used without further purification. Yield: Cuantitative. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.75 – 7.61 (m, 6H), 7.57 – 7.39 (m, 9H), 4.82 (s, 1H), 3.44 – 3.22 (m, 2H), 3.12 – 2.89 (m, 1H), 1.43 (s, 9H), 0.82 (s, 9H).

 3^{rd} step:²³² The compound obtained in the previous step (238 mg, 0.5 mmol, 1 equiv.) was dissolved in a mixture of CH₂Cl₂ and TFA (1:1, 5 mL) and the resulting solution was stirred at room temperature for 10 min. Then the solvent was eliminated under reduced pressure, and the residue was redissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) and washed with NaOH 2 M (5 mL). The organic layer was dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated under reduced pressure affording the crude product as a yellow solid, which was used in the next step without further purification.

4th step: To a suspension of the squaric ester monoamide prepared above (Section 5.2.2.1, 200 mg, 1.5 mmol, 3 equiv.) in MeOH (5 mL) was added the previously obtained crude amine (0.5 mmol, 1 equiv.) and the reaction mixture was stirred for 48 h at room temperature, the solvent evaporated, and the product submitted to purification by silica gel column chromatography (eluting CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 90:10). White solid. Yield after 2 steps: 32%, (110 mg, 0.16 mmol). [α]_D²⁴= -25.0° (c = 1.00, CH₂Cl₂). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 11.04 (s, 1H), 8.78 (s, 1H), 8.15 (s, 2H), 7.83 – 7.71 (m, 9H), 7.71 – 7.62 (m, 6H), 7.43 (s, 1H), 3.95 – 3.79 (m, 1H), 3.57 – 3.39 (m, 1H), 3.21 – 3.08 (m, 1H), 0.84 (s, 9H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 184.6, 180.4, 170.5, 164.1, 141.0,

²³² T. Wang, D. Y. W. Ng, Y. Wu, J. Thomas, T. TamTran, T. Weil, *Chem. Commun.* **2014**, *50*, 1116–1118.

135.2, 133.5, 133.4, 132.1, 131.9, 130.2, 130.0, 128.6, 128.4, 65.1, 42.6, 34.4, 26.0. **MS:** calculated for $C_{36}H_{33}N_3O_2F_6P$ (M + H⁺), 684.2215; found, 684.2232.

5.2.2.8. Preparation of catalysts C29 and C30

Catalyst **C29**,²³³ previously described in our group, and new catalyst **C30** were synthesised as follows:

Preparation of the squaric ester monoamide

The squaric ester monoamide required for the preparation of catalysts C29 and C30 was prepared according to the synthetic sequence previously reported by our group:²³³



1st step: To a solution 5-aminoisophthalic acid (1.81 g, 10 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) in MeOH (20 mL) was added concentrated H_2SO_4 (4.32 mL, 80 mmol, 8 equiv.). The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at 90 °C. After allowing the mixture to reach room temperature, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Then, water (10 mL) and NaOH 2 M was added until pH 7 and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate (3 × 30 mL). The combined organic phases were dried over MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The product was obtained as white solid and used

²³³ A. Odriozola, M. Oiarbide, C. Palomo, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2017**, *23*, 12758–12762.

without further purification. Yield: 68% (1.54 g, 6.84 mmol). ¹**H-NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.05 (t, *J* = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.52 (d, *J* = 1.5 Hz, 2H), 3.92 (s, 6H).

2nd step: To a solution of the crude dimethyl 3-aminophtalate (1.54 g, 6.84 mmol, 1 equiv.) and Et₃N (1.0 mL, 6.84 mmol, 1 equiv.) in CH₂Cl₂ (33 mL) was added dropwise at 0 °C acetyl chloride (0.54 mL, 7.52 mmol, 1.1 equiv.). After stirring for 7 h at room temperature, the reaction mixture was filtered and the solid was washed with cool CH₂Cl₂ (2 × 10 mL) to provide the title compound as white solid which was used in the next step without further purification. Yield: 90% (1.55 g, 6.16 mmol). ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 10.39 (s, 1H), 8.46 (d, *J* = 1.4 Hz, 2H), 8.21 – 8.10 (m, 1H), 3.89 (s, 6H), 2.08 (s, 3H).

3rd **step:** A solution of the acetamide ester product obtained above (520 mg, 2.0 mmol, 1 equiv.) in THF (5 mL) was added dropwise at 0 °C to a solution of 3,5bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl magnesium bromide (3.2 mL, 0.5M in THF, 8 equiv.) and the mixture was stirred at 90 °C 16 h. The reaction was cooled to room temperature, quenched with a saturated solution of NH₄Cl and solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 5 mL), and the combined organic layers were dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude material was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (eluting with hexane/EtOAc 7:3) to give the title compound as a brown solid. Yield: 79% (1.03 g, 1.57 mmol). ¹**H-NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.86 – 7.78 (m, 4H), 7.64 (d, J = 1.7 Hz, 8H), 7.44 (d, J = 1.7 Hz, 2H), 7.34 (s, 1H), 2.03 (s, 3H).

4th step: To a solution of the amide obtained above (522 mg, 0.5 mmol, 1 equiv.) in MeOH (4.0 mL) and water (0.5 mL) was added NaOH (400 mg, 10 mmol, 20 equiv.) and the mixture was heated at 85 °C for 3 d. The reaction mixture was neutralized by slow addition of HCl 1M until pH 7, and then it was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 10 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO₄, filtered and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure to give the primary amine as a brown solid, which was used in the next step without further purification. Yield: 85% (431 mg, 0.43 mmol). **¹H-NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.88 – 7.78 (m, 4H), 7.68 (d, *J* = 1.7 Hz, 8H), 6.52 (d, *J* = 1.6 Hz, 2H), 6.08 (t, *J* = 1.7 Hz, 1H).

5th step: To a solution of 3,4-dimethoxy-3-cyclobutane-1,2-dione (60 mg, 2.43 mmol) in MeOH (20 mL) was added the above obtained amine (430 mg, 0.43 mmol) and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 48 h. The formed precipitate was filtered and dried in vacuo to give the squaric ester monoamide. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, MeOH-*d*₄) δ 8.02 – 7.89 (m, 4H), 7.76 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 8H), 7.49 (t, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 2H), 6.68 (s, 1H), 4.27 (s, 3H). Yield: 81% (387 mg, 0.35 mmol).

Preparation of 9-amino-10,11-dihydro-(9-deoxy)epiquinine²³⁴



9-amino-(9-deoxy)epiquinine (647 mg, 2 mmol) and palladium on activated charcoal (10% wt.) (130 mg, 20% wt.) were stirred in EtOH (1 mL/mmol) under an hydrogen atmosphere (1 atm). The resulting suspension was filtered over a pad of celite and the solvent was eliminated under reduced pressure affording the crude amine, which was used in the next step without further purification. Yield: Cuantitative. ¹**H-NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.75 (d, *J* = 4.5 Hz, 1H), 8.04 (d, *J* = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 7.75 – 7.61 (m, 1H), 7.53 – 7.45 (m, 1H), 7.39 (dd, *J* = 9.2, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 4.60 (d, *J* = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 3.97 (s, 3H), 3.32 – 3.17 (m, 2H), 3.13 – 2.97 (m, 1H), 2.79 (ddd, *J* = 14.7, 10.2, 5.4 Hz, 1H), 2.53 (ddd, *J* = 13.7, 4.6, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 1.62 – 1.48 (m, 2H), 1.38 – 1.27 (m, 2H), 1.27 – 1.23 (m, 2H), 0.83 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 3H).

Preparation of catalysts C29 and C30

Catalysts C29 and C30 were prepared from the squaric ester monoamide intermediate above synthesised according to the synthetic sequence previously described in our group:²³³



²³⁴ S. Diosdado, J. Etxabe, J. Izquierdo, A. Landa, A. Mielgo, I. Olaizaola, R. López, C. Palomo, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 11846–11851.

 1^{st} step: To a solution of the squaric ester monoamide prepared above (218 mg, 0.20 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (1 mL) was added the corresponding amine (0.20 mmol) and the reaction mixture was stirred for 48 h at room temperature. The solvent was evaporated, and the crude product was subsequently used without further purification.

2nd step: To a suspension of the previously prepared diol-squaramide (0.20 mmol, 1 equiv.) and DMAP (80 mg, 0.60 mmol, 3 equiv.) in CH₂Cl₂ (0.8 mL) was added dropwise chlorotrimethylsilane (80 μ L, 0.60 mmol, 3 equiv.) and the reaction mixture was stirred for 14 h at room temperature. Then, additional CH₂Cl₂ (4 mL) was added and the mixture was washed with water (2 × 4 mL) and HCl 1 M (2 × 4 mL). The organic layer was dried over MgSO₄ and the solvent was evaporated. The resulting residue was subjected to purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 98:2) affording the pure catalyst.

3-((3,5-bis(bis(3,5-bis(Trifluoromethyl)phenyl)((trimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)phenyl) amino)-4-(((1*S*)-(6-methoxyquinolin-4-yl)(5-vinylquinuclidin-2yl)methyl)amino)cyclobut-3-ene-1,2-dione (C29)²³³



The title compound was prepared according to the general procedure described above using 9-amino-(9-deoxy)epiquinine (65 mg, 0.2 mmol, 1 equiv.). Yellow solid. m. p. = 160–168 °C. Yield of after 2 steps: 47% (145 mg, 0.094 mmol). ¹**H-NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.47 (d, J = 4.6 Hz, 1H), 7.82 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 13H), 7.71 (s, 1H), 7.65 – 7.37 (m, 2H), 7.40 – 7.24 (m, 1H), 7.24 – 7.12 (m, 1H), 7.12 – 7.03 (m, 1H), 6.14 (s, 1H),

5.85 – 5.61 (m, 1H), 5.11 – 4.92 (m, 2H), 3.95 (s, 3H), 3.43 – 3.03 (m, 3H), 2.43 – 2.27 (m, 1H), 1.79 – 1.58 (m, 3H), 1.49 (t, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 1.37 – 1.21 (m, 1H), 0.78 (td, J = 17.3, 13.8, 6.5 Hz, 1H), -0.28 (s, 18H). ¹³**C-NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 185.1, 180.5, 168.1, 164.9, 159.7, 148.2, 147.4, 147.0, 145.1, 140.8, 140.6, 132.7, 129.4, 129.0, 128.7, 125.4, 124.0, 122.7, 121.8, 118.2, 118.1, 115.9, 101.6, 84.0, 60.7, 59.4, 56.7, 56.3, 41.3, 39.2, 31.6, 30.4, 27.6, 26.5, 1.7. **MS:** calculated for C₇₀H₅₉N₄O₅F₂₄Si₂ (M + H⁺): 1547.3641; found: 1747.3595.

3-((3,5-bis(bis(3,5-bis(Trifluoromethyl)phenyl)((trimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)phenyl) amino)-4-(((S)-((1S,2S,4S,5R)-5-ethylquinuclidin-2-yl)(6-methoxyquinolin-4yl)methyl)amino)cyclobut-3-ene-1,2-dione (C30)



The title compound was prepared according to the general procedure described above using 9-amino-(9-deoxy)epiquinine (65 mg, 0.2 mmol, 1 equiv.). Yellow solid. m. p. = 155–160 °C. Yield of after 2 steps: 65% (202 mg, 0.13 mmol). ¹**H-NMR** (300 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 8.73 (d, *J* = 4.6 Hz, 1H), 8.21 (s, 1H), 7.96 (d, *J* = 3.9 Hz, 4H), 7.93 (s, 1H), 7.89 (d, *J* = 4.9 Hz, 8H), 7.72 – 7.63 (m, 1H), 7.59 – 7.54 (m, 1H), 7.46 (s, 2H), 7.36

(dd, J = 9.2, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 6.85 (s, 1H), 5.96 (s, 1H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 3.53 – 3.45 (m, 1H), 3.27 – 3.06 (m, 2H), 2.62 – 2.53 (m, 1H), 2.39 (d, J = 13.4 Hz, 1H), 1.61 – 1.17 (m, 8H), 0.90 – 0.75 (m, 3H), 0.62 (s, 1H), -0.33 (s, 18H). ¹³**C-NMR** (75 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 183.9, 179.2, 168.1, 162.9, 157.8, 147.5, 145.4, 144.3, 140.1, 131.4, 130.9, 130.5, 130.1, 127.8, 124.6, 121.9, 121.0, 120.3, 117.2, 101.1, 82.9, 78.4, 72.0, 70.0, 60.3, 55.4, 36.7, 26.8, 25.8, 24.9, 11.8, 0.6. **MS:** calculated for C₇₀H₆₁N₄O₅F₂₄Si₂ (M + H⁺): 1549.3797; found: 1749.3822.

5.2.3. Preparation of thiourea- and urea-based Brønsted base catalysts C9, C20 and C26

Known thiourea/urea-based catalysts $C9^{235}$ and $C26^{236}$ and new thiourea-based catalyst C26 were prepared according to the following synthetic procedure:²³⁷



To a solution of the corresponding amine (5 mmol, 1 equiv.) in THF (7.5 mL) at 0 °C, a solution of bis(trifluomethyl)phenyl isothiocyanate (1.5 g, 5.5 mmol, 1.1 equiv.) or bis(trifluomethyl)phenyl isocyanate (0.6 mL, 5.5 mmol, 1.1 equiv.) in THF (2.5 mL) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature and then concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column

²³⁵ B. Vakulya, S. Varga, A. Csámpai, T. Soós, Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 1967–1969.

²³⁶ K. Greenaway, P. Dambruoso, A. Ferrali, A. J. Hazelwood, F. Sladojevich, D. J. Dixon, *Synthesis* **2011**, *12*, 1880–1886.

²³⁷ Adapted from: B. Vakulya, S. Varga, A. Csámpai, T. Soós, Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 1967–1969.

chromatography on silica gel (eluting with hexane/ ethyl acetate $80:20 \rightarrow 0:100$) to afford compounds **C9**, **C20** and **C26**.

1-(3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-3-((*S*)-(6-methoxyquinolin-4-yl)((1*S*,2*S*,4*S*,5*R*)-5-vinylquinuclidin-2-yl)methyl)thiourea (C9)²³⁵



C9 was prepared from bis(trifluomethyl)phenyl isothiocyanate (1.5 g mL, 5.5 mmol) using 9-amino-(9-deoxy)*epi*quinine (1.6 g, 5 mmol, 1 equiv.) as the amine, according to the general procedure described above. White solid. m. p.: 123–125 °C. Yield: 88% (2.6 g, 4.4 mmol). All data were consistent with those previously reported.

¹**H-NMR** (300 MHz, MeOH- d_4) δ 8.68 (d, J = 4.7 Hz, 1H), 8.11 (brs, 2H), 8.07 (d, J = 2.6 Hz, 1H), 7.95 (d, J = 9.3 Hz, 1H), 7.59 (br s, 1H), 7.55 (d, J = 4.7 Hz, 1H), 7.44 (dd, J = 9.3, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 6.32 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 5.84 (ddd, J = 17.2, 10.5, 6.2 Hz, 1H), 5.02 (dt, J = 10.5, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 4.98 (dt, J = 17.2, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 4.03 (s, 3H), 3.56–3.53 (m, 1H), 3.39–3.37 (m, 1H), 3.29 (dd, J = 13.6, 9.9 Hz, 1H), 2.82 (ddd, J = 15.6, 13.8, 4.9 Hz, 1H), 2.79 (ddd, J = 13.6, 4.7, 2.3 Hz, 1H), 2.38–2.35 (m, 1H), 1.71–1.68 (m, 2H), 1.64–1.61 (m, 1H), 1.45 (ddd, J = 13.3, 10.4, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 0.89 (dd, J = 13.3, 10.4 Hz, 1H).

1-(3,5-bis(Trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-3-((*S*)-1-(((4*R*,5*R*)-1,3-dimethyl-4,5diphenylimidazolidin-2-ylidene)amino)-3-phenylpropan-2-yl)thiourea (C20)



C20 was prepared from bis(trifluomethyl)phenyl isothiocyanate (50 μ L, 0.27 mmol, 1.1 equiv.) using the corresponding amine above prepared (section 5.2.2.5, 92 mg, 0.23 mmol, 1 equiv.) as the amine, according to the general procedure described

above. White solid. Yield: 25% (39 mg, 0.06 mmol). $[\alpha]p^{23} = +2.5^{\circ}$ (c = 1.00, CH₂Cl₂). ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, MeOH- d_4) δ 8.17 (s, 1H), 7.73 (s, 1H), 7.50 – 7.30 (m, 10H), 7.24 – 7.17 (m, 5H), 7.05 (s, 1H), 4.80 – 4.66 (m, 1H), 4.49 (dd, J = 12.0, 6.2 Hz, 1H), 4.17 (s, 2H), 4.13 – 4.05 (m, 1H), 3.02 (dd, J = 13.0, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 2.88 (dd, J = 13.7, 8.5 Hz, 1H), 2.72 (s, 1H), 2.60 (s, 6H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 178.7, 177.8, 157.4, 151.2, 139.8, 137.6, 135.1, 129.3, 129.0, 128.7, 127.6, 127.2, 124.3, 122.0, 119.6, 112.9, 73.2, 57.2, 52.9, 41.4, 34.1. MS: calculated for C₃₅H₃₄N₅SF₆ (M + H⁺): 670.2439; found: 670.2439.

1-(3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-3-((*S*)-(6-methoxyquinolin-4-yl)((1*S*,2*S*,4*S*,5*R*)-5-vinylquinuclidin-2-yl)methyl)urea (C26)²³⁶



C26 was prepared from bis(trifluomethyl)phenyl isocyanate (0.6 mL, 5.5 mmol) using 9-amino-(9-deoxy)*epi*quinine (1.6 g, 5 mmol, 1 equiv.) as the amine, according to the general procedure described above. White solid. m. p.: 132–135 °C. Yield: 82% (2.4 g, 4.1 mmol). All data were consistent with those previously reported.

¹**H-NMR** (300 MHz, MeOH- d_4) δ 8.58 (d, J = 4.5 Hz, 1H), 7.84–7.90 (m, 3H), 7.66 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 7.51 (d, J = 4.5 Hz, 1H), 7.36 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.34 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 5.83–5.89 (m, 1H), 5.65 (bs, 1H), 5.18 (d, J = 17.5 Hz, 1H), 5.09 (d, J = 10.5 Hz, 1H), 3.91 (s, 3H), 3.47–3.52 (m, 1H), 3.35–3.41 (m, 1H), 3.03–3.15 (m, 4H), 2.41–2.43 (m, 1H), 1.40–1.73 (m, 3H), 1.17–1.25 (m, 3H).

5.2.4. Ureidopeptide-like Brønsted base catalysts C21, C27 and C35

New catalyst C21 and known catalysts C27²³⁸ and C35²³⁴ were prepared according to the synthetic sequence previously reported by our group:²³⁴



 1^{st} step:²³⁹ To a stirred solution of the aryl alcohol (10 mmol, 1 equiv.) in dichloromethane (50 mL) at 0 °C was added pyridine (0.92 mL, 11.5 mmol, 1.15 equiv.) followed by triphosgene (1.2 g, 4 mmol, 0.4 equiv.) or *p*-nitropheylchloroformate (2.2 g, 11 mmol, 1.1 equiv.). The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight, and then it was partially evaporated at reduced pressure and diluted with hexane (50 mL). The solids were removed by filtration and the filtrate was evaporated to afford the corresponding chloroformate in quantitative yield, which was used for the next step without further purification.

²³⁸ S. Diosdado, R. López, C. Palomo, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2014**, *20*, 6526–6531.

²³⁹ Adapted from: L. Fang, F. Yang, Org. Lett. **2010**, *12*, 3124–3127.

 2^{nd} step:²⁴⁰ To a stirred solution of the *L-tert*-leucine (1.3 g, 10 mmol, 1 equiv.) in 10% aqueous Na₂CO₃ (26 mL), and dioxane (10 mL) was slowly added at 0 °C a solution of the previous chloroformate (1.4 mL, 10 mmol, 1 equiv.) in dioxane (30 mL). The mixture was stirred at the same temperature for 1 h and then allowed to warm to room temperature. The solution was subsequently stirred overnight, poured into H₂O (100 mL) and extracted with Et₂O (3 × 50 mL). The aqueous layer was cooled in an ice bath and acidified with concentrated HCl, followed by extraction with EtOAc (3 × 50 mL). The combined extracts were dried over MgSO₄, filtered off and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure to afford the corresponding L-*tert*-leucine derivative in quantitative yield, which was used for the next step without further purification.

3rd step:²⁴¹ The previously obtained acid (5.0 mmol, 1 equiv.) was dissolved in THF (20 mL), and isobutyl chloroformate (0.65 mL, 5 mmol1 equiv.) and *N*-methylmorpholine (0.6 mL, 5 mmol1 equiv.) were added. Then, the mixture was stirred at–20 °C for 20 min and a suspension of NaN₃ (0.48 g, 7.5 mmol, 1.5 equiv.,) in H₂O (5 mL) was added. After 30 min at the same temperature, the organic layer was separated, diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (30 mL), and washed with water (15 mL). The organic phase was dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated under reduced pressure to give a yellow oil which was redissolved in dry CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL). The resulting solution was heated at 40 °C under nitrogen until completion (reaction monitored by IR analysis until disappearance of the isocyanate band, 1–2 h). Then, the corresponding amine was added (3.5 mmol, 0.7 equiv.) and the reaction mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. The solvent was evaporated and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (eluting with dichloromethane/MeOH 90:10) to afford the corresponding catalyst.

Naphthalen-2-ylmethyl ((S)-1-(3-((S)-(6-methoxyquinolin-4-yl)((1S,2S,4S,5R)-5-vinylquinuclidin-2-yl)methyl)ureido)-2,2-dimethylpropyl)carbamate (C21)



C21 was prepared from benzyl alcohol (1.0 g, 10 mmol) according to the general procedure using triphosgene (1.2 g, 4.0 mmol, 0.4 equiv.) in the first step and the corresponding amine above prepared (section

5.2.2.5, 140 mg, 3.5 mmol, 1 equiv.) as the amine. Yield: 30% (70 mg, 0.11 mmol). $[\alpha]_D^{23} = -8.9^\circ$ (c = 1.00, CH₂Cl₂).¹**H-NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.43 – 7.10 (m, 20H), 6.89 (s, 1H), 5.37 (bs, 1H), 4.95 (d, J = 12.2 Hz, 1H), 4.76 – 4.64 (m, 1H), 4.38 – 4.20

²⁴⁰ Adapted from: b) J. D. Bain, D. A. Wacker, E. E. Kuo, A. R. Chamberlin, *Tetrahedron* **1991**, *47*, 2389–2400.

²⁴¹ Adapted from: Suresh Babu, V. V.; Patil, B. S.; Venkataramanarao, R. *J. Org. Chem.* **2006**, *71*, 7697–7705.

(m, 3H), 3.51 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 3.15 (dd, J = 13.3, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 2.86 (s, 1H), 2.65 (s, 6H), 0.98 (s, 9H). ¹³**C NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.7, 158.4, 155.7, 138.5, 136.4, 135.8, 129.2, 128.5, 128.2, 127.7, 127.4, 126.4, 77.2, 74.0, 66.1, 51.4, 47.1, 40.1, 36.0, 33.6, 25.5. **MS:** calculated for C₄₀H₄₉N₆O₃ (M + H⁺): 661.3866; found: 661.3865.

Benzyl ((S)-1-(3-((S)-(6-methoxyquinolin-4-yl))((1S,2S,4S,5R)-5-vinylquinuclidin-2-yl)methyl)ureido)-2,2-dimethylpropyl)carbamate (C27)²³⁸



C27 was prepared from benzyl alcohol (1.0 g, 10 mmol) according to the general procedure using triphosgene (1.2 g, 4 mmol, 0.4 equiv.) in the first step and 9-amino-(9-deoxy)*epi*quinine (1.12 g, 3.5 mmol, 1 equiv.) as the amine. Yield: 72% (1.48 g, 2.5 mmol). All data were consistent with those

previously reported. ¹**H-NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.62 (d, J = 4.3, 1H), 8.01 (d, J = 9.2, 1H), 7.74 (d, J = 2.6, 1H), 7.39 (d, J = 2.7, 1H), 7.37–7.34 (m, 5H), 7.22 (d, J = 4.4, 1H), 6.48–6.35 (bs, 1H), 5.84–5.73 (m, 1H), 5.32–5.29 (m, 1H), 5.20 (d, J = 9.4, 1H), 5.08–5.05 (m, 2H), 5.04–4.95 (m, 3H), 3.97 (s, 3H), 3.30–3.23 (m, 2H), 3.12–2.99 (m, 1H), 2.80–2.70 (m, 2H), 2.34–2.27 (s, 1H), 1.68–1.64 (m, 2H), 1.62–1.56 (m, 1H), 1.45–1.38 (m, 1H), 0.82 (s, 10H).

Naphthalen-2-ylmethyl ((S)-1-(3-((S)-(6-methoxyquinolin-4-yl))((1S,2S,4S,5R)-5-vinylquinuclidin-2-yl)methyl)ureido)-2,2-dimethylpropyl)carbamate (C35)²³⁴



C35 was prepared from 2-nafthalenemethanol (1.6 g, 10 mmol) according to the general procedure using *p*-nitropheylchloroformate (2.2 g, 11 mmol, 1.1 equiv.) in the first step and 9-amino-(9-deoxy)*epi*quinine (1.12 g, 3.5 mmol, 1 equiv.) as the amine. Yield: 86% (1.9

g, 3.0 mmol). All data were consistent with those previously reported. ¹**H-NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.58 (d, *J* = 4.3 Hz, 1H), 7.99 (d, *J* = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 7.87–7.67 (m, 5H), 7.35 (dd, *J* = 9.2, 2.7 Hz, 4H), 7.24 (d, *J* = 4.6 Hz, 1H), 6.42–6.24 (bs, 1H), 5.85–5.66 (m, 1H), 5.33–5.22 (bs, 1H), 5.12–4.85 (m, 4H), 3.95 (s, 3H), 3.33–2.98 (m, 3H), 2.87–2.57 (m, 2H), 2.35–2.22 (m, 1H), 1.94–1.78 (m, 1H), 1.69–1.30 (m, 4H), 0.86 (s, 9H).

5.2.5. Preparation of catalysts C23-C25

New catalysts **C23-C25** were synthesised according to a previously described synthetic procedure:²⁴²



The thiourea intermediate above prepared (Section 5.2.2.6, 250 mg, 0.50 mmol, 1 equiv.) was added to a suspension of K_2CO_3 (1.0 g, 7.5 mmol, 15 equiv.) and CuCl (1.0 g, 1.05 mmol, 2.1 equiv.) in THF (5 mL) and the resulting mixture was stirred for 10 min at room temperature before adding the corresponding amine (0.50 mmol, 1 equiv.). The reaction mixture was then stirred at 40 °C for 72 h and a saturated solution of NH₄Cl (2 mL) was added. Then HCl 1 M was added dropwise until pH 5 was reached, the mixture was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 x 20 mL), and the combined organic phase was washed with brine (1 x 10 mL), dried over MgSO₄ and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure to afford the crude product, which was purified by flash column chromatography (eluting CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 95:5) to afford the pure catalyst.

(3a*R*,8a*R*)-*N*-(3,5-di-tert-Butylphenyl)-2,2-dimethyl-4,4,8,8-tetraphenylhexahydro-6*H*-[1,3]dioxolo[4,5-*e*][1,3]diazepin-6-imine (C23)



The title compound was prepared following the general procedure above desctibed using 3,5-di-tert-butylaniline (103 mg, 0.50 mmol, 1 equiv.) as the amine. Yellow solid. Yield: 64% (214 mg, 0.32 mmol). $[\alpha]_D^{23}$ = +53.9° (c = 1.00, CH₂Cl₂). ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.95 (d, J = 5.7 Hz,

2H), 7.55 (s, 2H), 7.49 – 7.32 (m, 8H), 7.28 (s, 5H), 7.15 (s, 3H), 7.03 (d, J = 9.1 Hz, 3H), 6.85 (s, 2H), 4.75 (d, J = 14.1 Hz, 2H), 1.34 (s, 3H), 1.24 (s, 18H), 1.19 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 152.1, 145.3, 144.9, 141.8, 141.2, 129.0, 128.3, 127.7, 127.6, 127.4, 127.0, 117.3, 116.8, 110.2, 81.2, 80.0, 66.2, 65.6, 34.7, 31.3, 27.0, 26.6. MS: calculated for C₄₆H₅₂N₃O₂ (M + H⁺), 678.4060; found, 678.4056.

(3aR,8aR)-N-((S)-(6-methoxyquinolin-4-yl)((1S,2S,4S,5R)-5-vinylquinuclidin-2-yl)methyl)-2,2-dimethyl-4,4,8,8-tetraphenylhexahydro-6H-[1,3]dioxolo[4,5-e][1,3]diazepin-6-imine (C24)



The title compound was prepared following the general procedure above desctibed using 9-amino-(9-deoxy)*epi*quinine (194 g, 0.50 mmol, 1 equiv.) as the amine. Yellow solid. Yield: 64% (254 mg, 0.32 mmol). [α] $_{D}^{23}$ = +95.5° (c = 1.00, CH₂Cl₂). ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.96 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 7.73 – 7.29 (m, 16H), 7.21 (s, 6H), 7.02 – 6.83 (m, 2H), 6.59 (s, 2H), 5.81 – 5.58

(m, 1H), 5.02 - 4.86 (m, 2H), 4.78 (s, 1H), 4.17 (s, 1H), 3.51 (s, 3H), 3.09 (s, 1H), 2.66 (d, J = 39.9 Hz, 2H), 2.22 (s, 2H), 1.58 (s, 6H), 1.32 - 1.17 (m, 2H), 1.11 - 0.49 (m, 6H). ¹³**C NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 157.1, 147.3, 144.4, 141.2, 131.9, 131.8, 131.1, 129.5, 128.4, 128.2, 127.3, 127.0, 121.5, 114.2, 111.1, 55.5, 55.0, 40.3, 39.4, 27.9, 27.3, 26.5, 25.2. **MS:** calculated for C₅₂H₅₄N₅O₃ (M + H⁺), 796.4227; found, 796.4223.

(3a*R*,3a'*R*,8a*R*,8a'*R*)-*N*,*N*'-((1*R*,2*R*)-1,2-Diphenylethane-1,2-diyl)bis(2,2-dimethyl-4,4,8,8-tetraphenylhexahydro-6*H*-[1,3]dioxolo[4,5-e][1,3]diazepin-6-imine) (C25)



The title compound was prepared following the general procedure above described using 1,2diphenylethylenediamine (53 g, 0.25 mmol, 0.5 equiv.) as the amine. Yellow solid. Yield: 60% (173 mg, 0.15 mmol). $[\alpha]_D^{23} = +135.5^\circ$ (c = 1.00,

CH₂Cl₂). ¹**H-NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.69 – 7.63 (m, 8H), 7.46 – 7.39 (m, 12H), 7.31 – 7.25 (m, 12H), 7.22 – 7.15 (m, 8H), 6.81 (s, 2H), 6.65 (s, 2H), 5.23 (s, 3H), 4.60 (s, 3H), 1.30 (s, 1H). ¹³**C NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.9, 144.2, 140.5, 128.7, 128.3, 127.7, 127.5, 127.4, 127.2, 109.6, 78.8, 65.6, 26.6. **MS:** calculated for C₇₈H₇₃N₆O₄ (M + H⁺), 1157.5688; found, 1157.5705.
5.3. Experimental section of Chapter 2

5.3.1. Synthesis of propargylic aldehydes 1

Phenyl propynal (1a) and octynal (1b) are commercially available and were purchased from commercial suppliers. New propargylic aldehydes 1c and 1d were synthesised as described bellow:²⁴²



To a round bottomed flask filled with Et₂O (50 mL) and cooled to -60 °C were successively added dropwise ⁿBuLi (50 mmol, 20 mL, 2.5 M in hexane) and the corresponding alkyne (50 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at that temperature for 30 min after which DMF (4.3 mL, 62.5 mmol) was added slowly. The resulting mixture was removed from the bath, warmed slowly to room temperature and stirred at this temperature for 20 min. Then, the reaction mixture was poured into a cold solution of water (25 mL) and concentrated HCl (4 mL) and a solution of saturated NaHCO₃ was added dropwise until pH 6-7. The organic layer was separated and the aqueous phase extracted with Et₂O (3 × 20 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO₄, and the solvent was eliminated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel to afford the corresponding pure ynone **1**.

5-Methylhex-2-ynal (1c)

The compound was prepared according to the general procedure, starting from 4-methylpent-1-yne (1.4 mL, 10 mmol). Black oil. Yield: 63% (700 mg, 7.0 mmol). ¹**H-NMR** (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.23 (s, 1H), 2.35 (dd, J = 6.5, 0.7 Hz, 2H), 2.04 – 1.89 (m, 1H), 1.07 (s, 3H), 1.05 (s, 3H).

3-(Thiophen-3-yl)propiolaldehyde (1d)



Prepared according to the general procedure, starting from 3ethynylthiophenone (0.98 mL, 10 mmol). Black oil. Yield: 45% (610 mg, 4.5 mmol). ¹**H-NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.40 (s, 1H), 7.82 (d, *J* = 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.40 – 7.32 (m, 1H), 7.26 (d, *J* = 5.0 Hz, 1H).

²⁴² L. Bradsma, Preparative acetylenic chemistry (Studies in Organic Chemistry 34); Elsevier, Amsterdam, **1988**, 97–112.

5.3.2. Synthesis of propargylic ketoesters 2²⁴³

Known ketoester **2a** and new ketoesters **2b-2d** were synthesised according to the following synthetic procedure:



To a mixture of copper (I) iodide (100 mg, 0.5 mmol, 5 mol%) and triethylamine (2.8 mL, 20 mmol, 2 equiv.) in THF (50 mL) the corresponding alkyne (10 mmol, 1 equiv.) and methyl oxalyl chloride (1.8 mL, 20 mmol, 2 equiv.) were successively added and the mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature for 16 h. The reaction was quenched by addition of a saturated solution of NaHCO₃ (50 mL) and the aqueous phase was extracted with diethyl ether (3×40 mL). The organic phases were combined, dried over MgSO₄, and the solvent was eliminated under reduced pressure. The crude material was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (eluting with hexane/EtOAc 95:5) obtaining the pure desired product.

Methyl 2-oxo-4-phenylbut-3-ynoate (2a)²⁴⁴

The compound was prepared according to the general procedure, MeO_2C 2aPh Starting from phenylacetylene (1.1 mL, 10 mmol). Yellow solid. Yield: 61% (990 mg, 6.1 mmol). All data were consistent with those previously reported. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.72 – 7.64 (m, 2H), 7.56 – 7.51 (m, 1H), 7.45 – 7.40 (m, 2H), 3.97 (s, 3H).

Methyl 4-(4-fluorophenyl)-2-oxobut-3-ynoate (2b)



The compound was prepared according to the general procedure, starting from 1-ethynyl-1-fluorobenzene (1.2 mL, 10 mmol). Yellow solid. Yield: 50% (1.0 g, 5.0 mmol). ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.74 – 7.65 (m, 2H), 7.18 – 7.08

(m, 2H), 3.96 (s, 3H).

²⁴³ Adapted from: W. Yao, L. Pan, Y. Zhang, G. Wang, X. Wang, C. Ma, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2010**, 49, 9210–9214.

²⁴⁴ M. Ueda, Y. Ikeda, A. Sato, Y. Ito, M. Kakiuchi, H. Shono, T. Miyoshi, T. Naito, O. Miyata, *Tetrahedron*, **2011**, 67, 4612–4615.

Methyl 4-(3-chlorophenyl)-2-oxobut-3-ynoate (2c)



The compound was prepared according to the general procedure, starting from 3-chloro-1-ethynylbenzene (1.4 g, 10 mmol). Brown solid. Yield: 22% (500 mg, 2.2 mmol). ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.66 (t, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.56 (dt, *J*

= 7.6, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.50 (ddd, *J* = 8.1, 2.1, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.37 (t, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 3.97 (s, 3H).

Methyl 4-(3-methylphenyl)-2-oxobut-3-ynoate (2d)



7.32 (dd, *J* = 6.2, 1.1 Hz, 2H), 3.96 (s, 3H), 2.38 (s, 3H).

5.3.3. Cross-aldol reaction of α , β -ynals

5.3.3.1. General procedure



To a solution of the amine catalyst **C1** (47 mg, 0.1 mmol, 20 mol%) in THF (0.5 mL) at -60 °C were successively added 4-pentenal (**3B**, 74 μ L, 0.75 mmol, 1.5 equiv.), benzoic acid (12 mg, 0.1 mmol, 20 mol%) and the corresponding ynal **1** (0.5 mmol, 1 equiv.). The resulting solution was stirred at -60 °C for 24–48 h until the reaction stopped (¹H NMR monitoring), and to the mixture MeOH (4.5 mL), trimethyl orthoformate (0.16 mL, 1.5 mmol, 3 equiv.) and *p*TSA (20 mg, 0.1 mmol, 20 mol%) were successively added at -60 °C. The mixture was allowed to reach 0 °C and, after 2 h stirring, the reaction was quenched with saturated NaHCO₃ (5 mL) and extracted with ethyl acetate (2 × 4 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (eluting with hexane/EtOAc 90:10), thus allowing in each case separation of *anti* (major) and *syn* (minor) aldol diastereomers.

Racemic reactions were conducted following the procedure for the asymmetric version, but using $(\pm)1C$ (20 mol%) as the catalyst.

5.3.3.2. Characterization data for compounds 5B

(3*S*,4*R*)-4-(Dimethoxymethyl)-1-phenylhept-6-en-1-yn-3-ol (5Ba)

The compound was obtained following the general procedure MeO ΟН using ynal 1a (61 µL, 0.5 mmol). Yellow oil. The adduct was MeO obtained in a 1:8 syn/anti ratio. Yield: 72% (93 mg, 0.36 mmol). 5Ba $[\alpha]_{D}^{24} = -8.2^{\circ}$ (c = 1.05, 94% ee, CH₂Cl₂). ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.51 – 7.31 (m, 5H), 5.94 (ddt, J = 17.2, 10.1, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 5.27 – 5.07 (m, 2H), 4.80 (d, J = 5.9 Hz, 1H), 4.64 (d, J = 4.9 Hz, 1H), 3.50 (s, 3H), 3.49 (s, 3H), 2.55 -2.37 (m, 2H), 2.20 (ddd, J = 10.7, 7.4, 5.7 Hz, 1H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 136.5, 130.0, 128.9, 125.3, 117.2, 106.7, 88.5, 81.0, 63.2, 56.1, 55.0, 46.3, 30.4. MS: calculated for $C_{16}H_{20}O_3$ (M + H⁺), 261.1446; found, 261.1440. The enantiomeric purity of the major isomer was determined by chiral HPLC analysis of the crude reaction mixture (Daicel Chiralpak AD-H, hexane/isopropanol, 95:5; flux = 1 mL/min; retention times: 19.8 min (minor), 22.0 min (major)).

(4*R*,5*S*)-4-(Dimethoxymethyl)dodec-1-en-6-yn-5-ol (5Bb)



The compound was obtained following the general procedure using ynal **1b** (0.71 mL, 5.0 mmol). Yellow oil. The adduct was obtained in a 1:20 *syn/anti* ratio. Yield: 50% (640 mg, 2.5 mmol). $[\alpha]_D^{24} = +13.4^{\circ}$ (c = 1.00, 99% ee, CH₂Cl₂). ¹H-NMR (300 MHz,

CDCl₃) δ 6.04 – 5.66 (m, 1H), 5.30 – 4.99 (m, 2H), 4.56 (d, J = 5.1 Hz, 2H), 3.47 (s, 3H), 3.45 (s, 3H) 2.38 (dd, J = 13.7, 7.1 Hz, 2H), 2.27 (td, J = 7.0, 2.0 Hz, 2H), 2.09 – 2.02 (m, 1H), 1.55 (dd, J = 14.0, 7.0 Hz, 2H), 1.46 – 1.35 (m, 4H), 0.93 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 137.1, 117.1, 107.0, 86.9, 80.1, 63.1, 56.1, 55.0, 46.7, 31.4, 30.6, 28.7, 22.5, 19.1, 14.3. MS: calculated for C₁₄H₂₃O₂ (M – OMe⁻), 223.1704; found, 223.1696. The enantiomeric purity of the major isomer was determined by chiral HPLC analysis of the corresponding *O*-benzoylate derivative (Daicel Chiralpak IC, hexane/isopropanol, 99:1; flux = 1 mL/min; retention times: 5.9 min (major), 8.2 min (minor)).

(4R,5S)-4-(dimethoxymethyl)-9-methyldec-1-en-6-yn-5-ol (5Bc)



The compound was obtained following the general procedure using ynal **1c** (0.71 mL, 5.0 mmol). Yellow oil. The adduct was obtained in a 1:20 *syn/anti* ratio. Yield: 50% (640 mg, 2.5 mmol). $[\alpha]_D^{24} = +14.3^\circ$ (c = 1.00, 99% ee, CH₂Cl₂). ¹H-NMR

(300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.04 – 5.66 (m, 1H), 5.30 – 4.99 (m, 2H), 4.56 (d, *J* = 5.1 Hz, 2H), 3.47 (s, 3H), 3.45 (s, 3H) 2.38 (dd, *J* = 13.7, 7.1 Hz, 2H), 2.27 (td, *J* = 7.0, 2.0 Hz, 2H), 2.09 – 2.02 (m, 1H), 1.55 (dd, *J* = 14.0, 7.0 Hz, 2H), 1.46 – 1.35 (m, 4H), 0.93 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 137.1, 117.1, 107.0, 86.9, 80.1, 63.1, 56.1, 55.0, 46.7, 31.4, 30.6, 28.7, 22.5, 19.1, 14.3. MS: calculated for C₁₃H₂₁O₂ (M – OMe⁻), 209.1547; found, 209.1523. The enantiomeric purity of the major isomer was determined by chiral HPLC analysis of the corresponding *O*-benzoylate derivative (Daicel Chiralpak IC, hexane/isopropanol, 98:2; flux = 0.75 mL/min; retention times: 6.5 min (major), 7.5 min (minor)).

(3S,4R)-4-(dimethoxymethyl)-1-(thiophen-3-yl)hept-6-en-1-yn-3-ol (5Bd)



The compound was obtained following the general procedure using ynal **1d** (52 mg, 0.5 mmol). Yellow oil. The adduct was obtained in a 1:9 *syn/anti* ratio. Yield: 72% (96 mg, 0.36 mmol). $[\alpha]_{D}^{24} = +21.6^{\circ}$ (c = 1.00, 99% *ee*, CH₂Cl₂). ¹H-NMR

(300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.44 (s, 1H), 7.26 (s, 1H), 7.11 (d, J = 3.9 Hz, 1H), 6.03 – 5.74 (m, 1H), 5.16 (d, J = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 5.08 (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 4.74 (s, 1H), 4.58 (d, J = 4.7 Hz, 1H), 3.46 (s, 3H), 3.45 (s, 3H), 2.48 – 2.33 (m, 2H), 2.15 – 1.12 (m, 1H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 136.5, 131.6, 128.3, 128.2, 122.4, 117.0, 106.7, 88.9, 85.7, 63.0, 55.9, 54.8, 46.3, 30.3. MS: calculated for C₁₃H₁₅O₂S(M, – OMe⁻), 235.0798; found, 235.0784. The enantiomeric purity of the major isomer was determined by chiral HPLC analysis of the crude reaction mixture (Daicel Chiralpak AD-H, hexane/isopropanol, 95:5; flux = 1 mL/min; retention times: 25.8 min (minor), 26.7 min (major)).

5.3.3.3. Benzoylation of adducts for ee determination by HPLC



The *ee* of adducts **5Bb** and **5Bc** was determined on their benzoylated derivative, prepared as follow. To a solution of alcohol **5B** (0.15 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (0.5 mL) at 0 °C

were successively added DMAP (55 mg, 0.45 mmol, 3 equiv.) and benzoyl chloride (32 mg, 0.23 mmol, 1.5 equiv.). The resulting mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 3 h, diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) and the organic solution was washed with a saturated solution of NH₄Cl (2×5 mL) and a saturated solution of NaHCO₃ (2×5 mL). The organic layer was dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated. The crude material was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (eluting with hexane/EtOAc 95:5) to afford the pure benzoylated product.

(4*R*,5*S*)-4-(Dimethoxymethyl)dodec-1-en-6-yn-5-yl benzoate (5'Bb)

MeO OBz MeO DBz MeO The product was prepared as described above starting from adduct **5Bb** (38 mg, 0.15 mmol, 1 equiv.). Yellow oil. Yield: 95% (51 mg, 0.14 mmol). ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.10 - 8.03 (m, 2H), 7.60 - 7.53 (m, 1H), 7.47 - 7.41 (m, 2H), 6.07 - 5.92 (m, 1H), 5.84 (dd, J = 4.3, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 5.15 - 4.95 (m, 3H), 4.43 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.41 (s, 3H), 3.37 (s, 4H), 2.56 - 2.41 (m, 3H), 2.34 - 2.28 (m, 1H), 2.23 (ddd, J = 7.1, 4.5, 2.1 Hz, 3H), 1.55 - 1.48 (m, 2H), 1.40 - 1.31 (m, 4H), 0.92 - 0.86 (m, 3H).

(4*R*,5*S*)-4-(Dimethoxymethyl)-9-methyldec-1-en-6-yn-5-yl benzoate (5'Bc)



The product was prepared as described above starting from adduct **5Bc** (36 mg, 0.15 mmol, 1 equiv.). Yellow oil. Yield: 95% (51 mg, 0.14 mmol). ¹**H-NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.16 – 7.94 (m, 2H), 7.61 – 7.52 (m, 1H), 7.47 – 7.42 (m,

2H), 6.10 - 5.90 (m, 1H), 5.86 (dt, J = 4.2, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 5.15 - 4.90 (m, 2H), 4.44 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 3.41 (s, 3H), 3.36 (s, 3H), 2.59 - 2.39 (m, 2H), 2.37 - 2.27 (m, 1H), 2.14 (dd, J = 6.5, 2.0 Hz, 2H), 1.91 - 1.72 (m, 1H), 0.98 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 6H).

5.3.4. Cross-aldol reaction of α,β-ynones

5.3.4.1. General procedure



To a solution of the amine catalyst **C1** (47 mg, 0.1 mmol, 20 mol%), benzoic acid (12 mg, 0.1 mmol, 20 mol%) and the corresponding ynone **2** (0.5 mmol, 1 equiv.) in THF (0.5 mL) at -40 °C the corresponding aldehyde **3** (0.75 mmol, 1.5 equiv.) was added. The resulting solution was stirred at -40 °C for 48 h until the reaction stopped (¹H NMR monitoring), and to the mixture MeOH (4.5 mL), trimethyl orthoformate (0.16 mL, 1.5 mmol, 3 equiv.) and *p*TSA (20 mg, 0.1 mmol, 20 mol%) were successively added at -60 °C. The mixture was allowed to reach 0 °C and, after 2 h stirring, the reaction was quenched with saturated NaHCO₃ (5 mL) and extracted with ethyl acetate (2×4 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (eluting with hexane/THF 92:8), thus allowing in each case separation of *syn* (major) and *anti* (minor) aldol diastereomers.

Racemic reactions were conducted following the procedure for the asymmetric version, but using $(\pm)1C$ (20 mol%) as the catalyst.

5.3.4.2. Characterization data for compounds 9

Methyl (*R*)-2-((*R*)-1,1-dimethoxy-3-phenylpropan-2-yl)-2-hydroxy-4-phenylbut-3-ynoate (9Aa)



The compound was obtained following the general procedure from aldehyde **3A** (97 μ L, 0.75 mmol) and ynone **2a** (94 mg, 0.5 mmol). White solid. The adduct was obtained in a 5:1 *syn/anti* ratio. m. p.: 123–127°C. Yield: 83% (153 mg, 0.42 mmol). [α]_D²⁵ = -69.5° (*c* =

1.00, 95% *ee*, CH₂Cl₂). ¹**H-NMR** (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.41 – 7.38 (m, 2H), 7.36 – 7.27 (m, 6H), 7.22 – 7.13 (m, 2H), 4.33 (d, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 1H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.52 (s, 1H), 3.41 (dd, *J* = 7.4, 6.1 Hz, 1H), 3.34 (s, 3H), 3.10 (s, 3H), 2.93 (dd, *J* = 14.4, 7.9 Hz, 1H), 2.89 – 2.81 (m, 1H). ¹³**C-NMR** (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 172.6, 140.8, 132.0, 131.9, 129.2, 129.2, 129.1, 128.7, 128.6, 128.5, 128.2, 128.2, 125.9, 106.2, 87.7, 85.9, 73.6, 56.7, 53.5, 53.2, 50.1, 33.3. **MS:** calculated for C₂₀H₁₇O₃ (M – (OMe)₂⁻), 305.1178; found, 305.1189. The enantiomeric purity of the major isomer was determined by chiral HPLC analysis of the crude reaction mixture (Daicel Chiralpak IA, hexane/isopropanol, 95:5; flux = 0.5 mL/min; retention times: 20.2 min (major), 27.5 min (minor)).

Methyl (2*R*,3*R*)-3-(dimethoxymethyl)-2-hydroxy-2-(phenylethynyl)hex-5-enoate (9Ba)



The compound was obtained following the general procedure from aldehyde **3B** (74 µL, 0.75 mmol) and ynone **2a** (94 mg, 0.5 mmol). Colourless oil. The adduct was obtained in a 6:1 *syn/anti* ratio. Yield: 74% (117 mg, 0.37 mmol). $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -83.0^\circ$ (c = 1.00, 94%

ee, CH₂Cl₂). ¹**H-NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.50 – 7.40 (m, 2H), 7.37 – 7.22 (m, 3H), 6.05 (td, *J* = 10.1, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 5.17 – 4.96 (m, 2H), 4.42 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 4.04 (s, 1H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 3.36 (s, 3H), 3.35 (s, 3H), 2.77 – 2.66 (m, 1H), 2.62 – 2.50 (m, 2H). ¹³**C-NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 173.1, 137.5, 132.3, 129.1, 128.7, 122.5, 116.4, 106.3, 87.8, 86.1, 73.7, 57.4, 54.2, 53.8, 48.6, 32.2. **MS:** calculated for C₁₆H₁₅O₃ (M – (OMe)₂⁻), 255.1021; found, 255.1039. The enantiomeric purity of the major isomer was determined by chiral HPLC analysis of the crude reaction mixture (Daicel Chiralpak IC, hexane/isopropanol, 98:2; flux = 1 mL/min; retention times: 11.6 min (major), 13.1 min (minor)).

Methyl (2*R*,3*R*)-3-(dimethoxymethyl)-2-((4-fluorophenyl)ethynyl)-2-hydroxyhex-5enoate (9Bb)



The compound was obtained following the general procedure from aldehyde **3B** (74 μ L, 0.75 mmol) and ynone **2b** (102 mg, 0.5 mmol). Colourless oil. The adduct was obtained in a 8:1 *syn/anti* ratio. Yield: 77% (129 mg, 0.39

mmol). $[a]_D^{21} = -80.9^{\circ}$ (c = 1.00, 93% ee, CH₂Cl₂). ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.41 (dd, J = 8.9, 5.4 Hz, 2H), 6.99 (t, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 6.15 – 5.90 (m, 1H), 5.15 – 4.99 (m, 2H), 4.39 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 4.04 (s, 1H), 3.82 (s, 3H), 3.35 (s, 3H), 3.34 (s, 3H), 2.76 – 2.64 (m, 1H), 2.62 – 2.44 (m, 2H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 172.6, 164.4, 161.1, 137.0, 133.8, 133.7, 115.9, 115.7, 115.4, 105.8, 87.1, 84.6, 73.2, 56.9, 53.8, 53.3, 48.1, 31.7. MS: calculated for C₁₆H₁₄FO₃ (M – (OMe)₂⁻), 273.0927; found, 273.0916. The enantiomeric purity of the major isomer was determined by chiral HPLC analysis of the

crude reaction mixture (Daicel Chiralpak IC, hexane/isopropanol, 95:5; flux = 1 mL/min; retention times: 7.3 min (major), 8.0 min (minor)).

Methyl (2*R*,3*R*)-2-((3-chlorophenyl)ethynyl)-3-(dimethoxymethyl)-2-hydroxyhex-5enoate (9Bc)



9Bc ^{||} obtained in a 5:1 *syn/anti* ratio. Yield: 65% (115 mg, 0.33 mmol). $[a]_D^{21} = -18.3^\circ$ (*c* = 1.00, 94% *ee*, CH₂Cl₂). ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.42 (t, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.34 – 7.28 (m, 2H), 7.25 – 7.20 (m, 1H), 6.02 (td, *J* = 10.1, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 5.16 – 4.97 (m, 2H), 4.40 (d, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 4.05 (s, 1H), 3.84 (s, 3H), 3.37 (s, 3H), 3.35 (s, 3H), 2.74 – 2.65 (m, 1H), 2.62 – 2.48 (m, 2H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 172.5, 136.9, 134.1, 131.7, 130.0, 129.5, 129.0, 125.5, 116.1, 105.8, 88.6, 84.2, 73.2, 57.0, 53.8, 53.4, 48.1, 31.8. MS: calculated for C₁₈H₂₁ClO₅ (M – (OMe)₂⁻), 261.0318; found, 261.0763. The enantiomeric purity of the major isomer was determined by chiral HPLC analysis of the crude reaction mixture (Daicel Chiralpak IA, hexane/isopropanol, 98:2; flux = 1 mL/min; retention times: 9.5 min (major), 11.5 min (minor)).

Methyl (2*R*,3*R*)-3-(dimethoxymethyl)-2-hydroxy-2-(m-tolylethynyl)hex-5-enoate (9Bd)



The compound was obtained following the general procedure from aldehyde **3B** (74 μ L, 0.75 mmol) and ynone **2d** (101 mg, 0.5 mmol). Colourless oil. The adduct was obtained in a 13:1 *syn/anti* ratio. Yield: 72% (120 mg, 0.36

mmol). $[a]_D^{21} = -83.0^{\circ}$ (c = 1.00, 80% ee, CH₂Cl₂). ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.32 – 7.08 (m, 5H), 6.06 (ddd, J = 17.1, 10.1, 7.0 Hz, 1H), 5.19 – 4.95 (m, 2H), 4.41 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 4.02 (s, 1H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 3.36 (s, 3H), 3.35 (s, 3H), 2.81 – 2.66 (m, 1H), 2.67 – 2.45 (m, 2H), 2.31 (s, 3H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 173.1, 138.3, 137.5, 132.8, 130.0, 129.3, 128.5, 125.9, 122.2, 116.3, 106.3, 87.4, 86.3, 73.6, 57.3, 54.1, 53.7, 48.5, 32.2, 30.7, 21.5. MS: calculated for C₁₈H₂₁ClO₅ (M – (OMe)₂⁻), 261.0318; found, 261.0763. The enantiomeric purity of the major isomer was determined by chiral HPLC analysis of the crude reaction mixture (Daicel Chiralpak IA, hexane/isopropanol, 95:5; flux = 1 mL/min; retention times: 6.7 min (major), 8.0 min (minor)).

Methyl (2R,3R)-3-(dimethoxymethyl)-2-hydroxy-2-(phenylethynyl)hexaneate (9Ca)



The compound was obtained following the general procedure from aldehyde **3C** (74 µL, 0.75 mmol) and ynone **2a** (94 mg, 0.5 mmol). Colourless oil. The adduct was obtained in a 8:1 *syn/anti* ratio. Yield: 65% (120 mg, 0.36 mmol). $[\alpha]_D^{21} = -21.0^\circ$ (c = 1.00, 93%

ee, CH₂Cl₂). ¹**H-NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.45 – 7.40 (m, 2H), 7.34 – 7.27 (m, 3H), 4.39 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 4.01 (d, J = 0.4 Hz, 1H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 3.37 (s, 3H), 3.36 (s, 3H), 2.45 (dt, J = 7.3, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 1.89 (ddd, J = 11.9, 8.4, 3.5 Hz, 1H), 1.73 – 1.50 (m, 3H), 0.96 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H). ¹³**C-NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 173.4, 132.2, 129.0, 128.6, 125.9, 122.6, 107.0, 88.1, 85.5, 73.9, 58.7 – 57.4, 54.3, 53.7, 48.5, 30.7, 30.0, 22.1, 15.0. **MS:** calculated for C₁₇H₂₁O₄ (M – OMe⁻), 289.1434; found, 289.1427. The enantiomeric purity of the major isomer was determined by chiral HPLC analysis of the crude reaction mixture (Daicel Chiralpak IA+IC, hexane/isopropanol, 95:5; flux = 0.5 mL/min; retention times: 29.7 min (major), 34.6 min (minor)).

Methyl (2*R*,3*R*)-3-(dimethoxymethyl)-2-hydroxy-4-methyl-2-(phenylethynyl)pentanoate (9Da)



The compound was obtained following the general procedure from aldehyde **3D** (74 µL, 0.75 mmol) and ynone **2a** (94 mg, 0.5 mmol). Colourless oil. The adduct was obtained in a 5:1 *syn/anti* ratio. Yield: 70% (112 mg, 0.35 mmol). $[\alpha]_D^{22} = -33.4^\circ$ (c = 1.00, 95%

ee, CH₂Cl₂). ¹**H-NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.46 – 7.40 (m, 2H), 7.33 – 7.26 (m, 3H), 4.52 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 3.98 (d, *J* = 0.7 Hz, 1H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 3.36 (s, 3H), 3.35 (s, 3H), 2.68 – 2.56 (m, 1H), 2.47 – 2.40 (m, 1H), 1.16 (dd, *J* = 7.1, 3.2 Hz, 6H). ¹³**C-NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 173.3, 131.7, 129.0, 128.6, 128.2, 128.2, 105.2, 88.1, 85.7, 74.2, 57.1, 53.3, 53.2, 51.6, 27.7, 23.2, 19.1. **MS:** calculated for C₁₆H₁₇O₃ (M – (OMe)₂⁻), 257.11782; found, 257.1216. The enantiomeric purity of the major isomer was determined by chiral HPLC analysis of the crude reaction mixture (Daicel Chiralpak IA, hexane/isopropanol, 95:5; flux = 1 mL/min; retention times: 9.2 min (major), 11.2 min (minor)).

5.3.5. Elaboration of adducts 5 and 9

5.3.5.1. Silylation of adducts

General procedure for the silylation of adducts 5



To a solution of alcohol **5** (0.5 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (2 mL) at -10 °C were successively added DIPEA (194 mg, 1.5 mmol, 3 equiv.) and triisopropylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate (184 mg, 0.6 mmol, 1.2 equiv.). The resulting mixture was stirred at -10 °C for 1 h, diluted with CH_2Cl_2 (15 mL), and the organic solution was washed with a saturated solution of NH_4Cl (2 × 10 mL) and saturated $NHCO_3$ (2 × 10 mL). The organic layer was dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (eluting with hexane/EtOAc 95:5) to afford the pure silyl ether compound as a yellow oil.

(((3*R*,4*R*)-4-(Dimethoxymethyl)-1-phenylhept-6-en-1-yn-3-yl)oxy)triisopropylsilane (6a)

MeO OTIPS MeO OTIPS MeO OTIPS MeO Ph The compound was prepared as described above from adduct **5a** (130 mg, 0.5 mmol). Yield: 72% (150 mg, 0.36 mmol). ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.45 – 7.42 (m, 2H), 7.35 – 7.33 (m, 3H), 6.24 – 5.97 (m, 1H), 5.16 – 5.04 (m, 1H), 5.04 – 4.96 (m, 1H), 4.94 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 4.47 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 3.43 (s, 3H), 3.40 (s, 3H), 2.57 (dt, J = 15.7, 7.0 Hz, 1H), 2.39 (dt, J = 14.5, 7.8 Hz, 1H), 2.19 (dt, J = 13.0, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 1.23 – 1.11 (m, 21H).

(((4*R*,5*R*)-4-(dimethoxymethyl)-9-methyldec-1-en-6-yn-5-yl)oxy)triisopropylsilane (6c)



The compound was prepared as described above from adduct **5c** (120 mg, 0.5 mmol). Yield: 68% (135 mg, 0.34 mmol). ¹**H**-**NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.14 – 6.00 (m, 1H), 5.05 (dd, *J* = 17.1, 2.3 Hz, 1H), 4.99 – 4.87 (m, 1H), 4.38 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H),

3.39 (s, 3H), 3.35 (s, 3H), 2.68 – 2.43 (m, 1H), 2.36 – 2.21 (m, 1H), 2.14 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 2.12 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 2.10 – 2.04 (m, 1H), 3.15 – 3.10 (m, 21H), 1.01 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 6H).

(((3*R*,4*R*)-4-(Dimethoxymethyl)-1-(thiophen-3-yl)hept-6-en-1-yn-3-yl)oxy)triisopropylsilane (6d)

MeO OTIPS MEO OTIPS

Silylation of adduct 9Aa



To a solution of tertiary alcohol **9Aa** (159 mg, 0.5 mmol, 1 equiv.) in CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL) at -10 °C were successively added DMAP (6.1 mg, 0.05 mmol, 10 mol%), TEA (0.2 mL, 1.5 mmol, 3 equiv.) and trimethylchlorosilane (134 µL, 1 mmol, 2 equiv.). The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h, diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (15 mL), and the organic solution was washed with a saturated solution of NH₄Cl (2 × 10 mL) and saturated NaHCO₃ (2 × 10 mL). The organic layer was dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (eluting with hexane/EtOAc 95:5) to afford the pure silyl ether compound as a yellow oil. Yield: Cuantitative (195 mg, 0.5 mmol). ¹**H-NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.48 – 7.44 (m, 2H), 7.39 – 7.33 (m, 3H), 6.09 (ddd, *J* = 13.9, 10.1, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 5.17 – 4.95 (m, 2H), 4.38 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.37 (s, 3H), 3.36 (s, 3H), 2.73 – 2.55 (m, 2H), 2.53 – 2.42 (m, 1H), 0.30 (s, 9H).

5.3.5.2. Intramolecular Pauson-Khand reaction



Intramolecular Pauson-Khand reaction of compounds 6

 $[Co_2(CO)_8]$ (341 mg, 1 mmol, 2 equiv.) was added to a solution of the corresponding sylilated propargylic alcohol **6** (0.5 mmol, 1 equiv.) in CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL) at room temperature and was stirred for 30 min. Then trimethylamine *N*-oxide (TMANO) (226 mg, 3 mmol, 6 equiv.) was added at -10 °C and the mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature until the initially formed Co complex disappeared (16 h), at which time usually a purple precipitate had formed. The mixture was passed through a small plug of silica gel and the filtrate was concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel eluting with hexane/EtOAc 95:5, affording the desired bicyclic compound as a yellow oil.

(4*S*,5*R*,6a*R*)-5-(dimethoxymethyl)-3-phenyl-4-((triisopropylsilyl)oxy)-4,5,6,6atetrahydropentalen-2(1*H*)-one (7a)

The compound was obtained following the general procedure from TIPSO Ph MeO silvlated propargylic alcohol 6a (208 mg, 0.5 mmol). Yellow oil. MeÓ The adduct was obtained in a >20:1 dr. Yield: 65% (144 mg, 0.33) Ĥ mmol). ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.41 – 7.29 (m, 5H), 5.06 7a (s, 1H), 4.09 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 3.35 (s, 3H), 3.34 (s, 3H), 2.85 (dd, J = 18.3, 6.6 Hz, 1H), 2.70 (dd, J = 14.4, 6.5 Hz, 1H), 2.40 (dt, J = 12.9, 9.1 Hz, 1H), 2.24 (dd, J = 18.4, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 1.23 – 1.16 (m, 1H), 0.97 – 0.89 (m, 21H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 209.2, 180.2, 134.2, 131.4, 128.4, 128.2, 127.9, 106.2, 69.6, 54.9, 53.8, 53.2, 42.7, 39.0, 30.1, 17.8, 17.8, 12.2. **MS:** calculated for $C_{26}H_{40}O_4Si$ (M + H⁺), 445.2774; found: 445.2726.

(4*S*,5*R*,6a*R*)-5-(dimethoxymethyl)-3-isobutyl-4-((triisopropylsilyl)oxy)-4,5,6,6atetrahydropentalen-2(1*H*)-one (7c)



The compound was obtained following the general procedure from silylated propargylic alcohol **6c** (127 mg, 0.32 mmol). Yellow oil. The adduct was obtained in a >20:1 *dr*. Yield: 64% (87 mg, 0.32 mmol). ¹**H-NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.82 (s, 1H), 4.01 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 3.34 (s, 3H), 3.29 (s, 3H), 2.67 (dd, *J* = 18.3, 6.4 Hz,

2H), 2.36 - 2.27 (m, 1H), 2.26 - 2.18 (m, 1H), 2.11 - 2.01 (m, 1H), 1.97 (d, J = 13.1Hz, 1H), 1.91 - 1.81 (m, 1H), 1.09 - 1.02 (m, 21H), 1.00 - 0.92 (m, 2H), 0.88 (d, J =6.5 Hz, 3H), 0.80 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 211.5, 179.2, 134.7, 105.9, 69.8, 54.5, 53.7, 52.9, 42.4, 39.4, 33.1, 30.8, 27.1, 22.9, 22.4, 18.0, 18.0, 12.5. **MS:** calculated for $C_{24}H_{44}O_4Si(M + H^+)$, 425.3087; found: 425.3053.

(4S,5R,6aR)-5-(dimethoxymethyl)-3-(thiophen-3-yl)-4-((triisopropylsilyl)oxy)-4,5,6,6a-tetrahydropentalen-2(1H)-one (7d)



The compound was obtained following the general procedure from silvlated propargylic alcohol 6d (76 mg, 0.18 mmol). Yellow oil. The adduct was obtained in a >20:1 dr. Yield: 65% (52 mg, 0.33) mmol). ¹**H-NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃), 7.70 – 7.65 (m, 1H), 7.35 – 7.28 (m, 2H), 5.16 (s, 1H), 4.04 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 1H), 3.33 (s, 3H), 3.32 (s, 3H), 2.83 (dd, J = 18.3, 6.6 Hz, 1H), 2.70 (dd, J = 14.8, 6.6 Hz, 1H), 2.46 – 2.33

(m, 1H), 2.21 (dd, J = 18.3, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 1.23 – 1.10 (m, 1H), 1.03 – 0.90 (m, 21H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 209.2, 178.5, 127.0, 125.2, 124.3, 106.2, 70.1, 54.9, 53.8, 53.2, 42.8, 39.0, 30.1, 17.9, 17.8, 12.3. **MS**: calculated for $C_{24}H_{38}O_4SSi (M + H^+)$, 452.338; found: 451.2318.

Intramolecular Pauson-Khand reaction of compound 11



The intramolecular Pauson-Khand reaction of compound **11** (195 mg, 0.5 mmol) was conducted following the procedure described above for secondary silvlated propargylic alcohols 6, obtaining the bicyclic product as a 1.5:1 mixture of diastereomers. Combined yield: 53% (97 mg, 0.27 mmol). Data of major isomer: ¹H-**NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.37 (ddd, J = 8.7, 7.8, 6.1 Hz, 3H), 7.26 – 7.16 (m, 2H), 4.54 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 3.54 - 3.43 (m, 1H), 3.30 (s, 3H), 3.30 (s, 3H), 3.07 - 2.89 (m, 2H),2.86 (s, 3H), 2.36 (dd, J = 18.0, 3.7 Hz, 1H), 2.16 (ddd, J = 13.4, 11.4, 9.3 Hz, 1H), 1.72 (ddd, J = 13.7, 10.2, 5.3 Hz, 1H), 0.32 (s, 9H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 208.5, 177.5, 171.1, 135.1, 130.3, 129.2, 128.2, 128.0, 104.8, 80.9, 55.5, 53.4, 52.0, 51.2, 44.7, 39.8, 29.0, 2.5. **MS**: calculated for $C_{24}H_{44}O_4Si (M + H^+)$, 419.1890; found, 419.1869.

5.3.5.3. Hydrolysis of the acetal 7a



To a solution of the acetal **7a** (355 mg, 0.80 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (40 mL) 7.4 wt% FeCl₃ on SiO₂ (80 mg) was added, and the resulting suspension was stirred vigorously for 1 h. Then aqueous NaHCO₃ (1 mL) was added, and the organic phase was washed with brine, dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated. Flash column chromatography on silica gel (hexane/EtOAc 95:5) of the residue afforded the pure aldehyde **8** (yellow oil; 65%, 206 mg, 0.52 mmol) along with unreacted starting material **7a** (15%, 54 mg, 0.12 mmol). Effective yield of isolated **8** based on recovered starting material: 80%. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 9.74 (d, J = 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.44 – 7.30 (m, 5H), 5.42 (s, 1H), 3.45 (ddd, J = 16.2, 9.4, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 3.39 – 3.30 (m, 1H), 2.90 (dd, J = 18.4, 6.6 Hz, 1H), 2.60 (dt, J = 12.8, 9.1 Hz, 1H), 2.30 (dd, J = 18.4, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 1.51 (ddd, J = 12.9, 9.8, 7.5 Hz, 1H), 1.07 – 0.84 (m, 21H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 208.3, 199.6, 177.2, 135.7, 130.7, 128.6, 128.4, 128.3, 68.0, 62.9, 42.6, 39.3, 29.3, 18.0, 17.9, 12.3. MS: calculated for C₂₄H₃₅O₃Si (M + H⁺), 399.2355; found, 399.2328.

5.3.5.4. Reduction of adducts 9Ba and 9Ca



To a solution of **9Ba** or **9Ca** (0.2 mmol) in EtOH (0.8 mL) Pd 10% wt. on activated carbon (20 wt%) was added. The mixture was allowed to stir under hydrogen atmosphere (1 atm) for 16 h. The mixture was then filtered over celite (2 cm) and the solvent was eliminated under reduced pressure yielding the desired product **10** as colourless oil. Yield: 76% (49 mg, 0.15 mmol). ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.31 – 7.24 (m, 2H), 7.23 – 7.14 (m, 3H), 4.28 (d, J = 5.8 Hz, 1H), 3.75 (s, 3H), 3.73 (d, J = 0.8 Hz, 1H), 3.35 (s, 3H), 3.35 (s, 3H), 2.76 (td, J = 12.8, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 2.35 – 2.20 (m, 1H), 2.15 – 2.01 (m, 2H), 1.99 – 1.85 (m, 1H), 1.60 – 1.43 (m, 3H), 1.41 – 1.25 (m, 1H), 0.89 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 176.9, 141.82,128.43

128.4, 125.9, 78.3, 56.7, 54.63, 52.2, 47.6, 39.4, 29.7, 27.4, 22.2, 14.6. **MS:** calculated for $C_{17}H_{25}O_4Si$ (M – OMe[–]), 293.1753; found, 293.1768.

5.3.6. ORTEP diagram of compound 9Aa

CCDC-930356 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for the structural analysis of **9Aa**. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via <u>www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif</u>.



5.4. Experimental section of Chapter 3

5.4.1. General procedures for the synthesis of α '-oxy enones

5.4.1.1. Preparation of α '-oxy enones 13

Preparation of α'-hydroxy enone 13a

Known enone **13a** was prepared according to either of the following previously described procedures:



Method A:²⁴⁵ To a solution of methoxypropadiene (3.50 g, 50 mmol) in dry Et₂O (100 mL) at -40 °C, nBuLi (2.5 M in hexanes, 22 mL, 55 mmol) was added under nitrogen and the reaction mixture was stirred at -40 °C for 10 min. Then, acetone (4.04 mL, 55 mmol) in dry Et₂O (55 mL) was added within 5 min. The reaction mixture was stirred at the same temperature for 0.5 h and quenched with H_2O (100 mL). The resulting mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and extracted with Et₂O (3 x 100 mL). The combined organic extracts were dried over Na₂SO₄ and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford 2-methyl-3-methoxy-3,4-pentadien-2-ol as a yellow liquid, which was added dropwise to 5% aq H₂SO₄ (110 mL) at 0 °C and the mixture was stirred for 1.5 h. After this time, the reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature and the solution was saturated with solid NaCl. The mixture was extracted with Et₂O (5 x 60 mL) and the combined extracts were washed with brine and dried over Na₂SO₄. The solvent was removed to give a yellow oil which upon distillation afforded the enone as a colorless liquid. Yield: 88% (4.42 g, 38.7 mmol). All data were consistent with those previously reported. b.p. = 45 °C (13 mmHg). ¹**H** NMR (CDCl₃) δ 6.73 (dd, J = 9.5, 16.8 Hz, 1H), 6.50 (dd, J = 2.2, 16.8 Hz, 1H), 5.82 (dd, J = 2.2, 10.3 Hz, 1H), 1.38 (s, 6H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 202.3, 131.1, 128.8, 75.4, 26.1.

²⁴⁵ C. Palomo, M. Oiarbide, J. M. García, A. González, E. Arceo, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2003**, 125, 13942–13943.

Method B:²⁴⁶ Commercially available 3-hydroxy-3-methyl-2-butanone (1 equiv., 5.3 mL, 50 mmol) and paraformaldehyde (2 equiv., 3 g, 100 mmol) were added to a solution of ${}^{i}Pr_{2}NH$ (2 equiv., 14.0 mL, 100 mmol) and TFA (2.5 equiv., 9.6 mL, 125 mmol) in THF (250 mL). The mixture was refluxed and paraformaldehyde (2 equiv., 3 g, 100 mmol) was added every 2 h three times. The mixture was stirred at reflux overnight and then was cooled to room temperature. CH₂Cl₂ (100 mL) was added and the mixture was washed with 1N HCl (75 mL), 1N NaOH (75 mL) and brine (75 mL), and the organic layer was dried over MgSO₄. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure (230 mbar/ bath 40 °C). The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (eluent: diethyl ether) to afford 4-hydroxy-4-methylpent-1-en-3-one as colorless oil. Yield: 89% (5.0 g, 44.5 mmol).

Preparation of α'-trimethylsilyloxy enone 13b²⁴⁷



3-(Trimethylsilyl)-2-oxazolidinone (TMSO) (3.4 mL, 22.5 mmol, 1.5 equiv.) and 3 drops of trifluoromethanesulfonic acid were added to enone **13a** (1.68 g, 15 mmol, 1.5 equiv.). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h, diluted with pentane (20 mL) and subsequently washed with water (20 mL) and NaHCO₃ sat. (20 mL). The organic phase was then dried over with MgSO₄ and concentred under reduced pressure to afford the title compound **13b** as a colorless oil. Yield: 93% (2.6 g, 14.0 mmol). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.03 (dd, *J* = 17.3, 10.4 Hz, 1H), 6.38 (dd, *J* = 17.3, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 5.72 (dd, *J* = 10.4, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 1.37 (s, 6H), 0.14 (s, 9H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 202.8, 130.7, 129.2, 79.3, 27.2, 2.3.

Preparation of α'-triethylsilyloxy enone 13c

The title compound **13c** was prepared according to the following synthetic sequence:

²⁴⁶ Adapted from: A. Bugarin, K. D. Jones, B. T. Connell, *Chem. Commun.* **2010**, *46*, 1715–1717.

²⁴⁷ Adapted from: J. M. Aizpurua, C. Palomo, A. L. Palomo, *Can. J. Chem.* **1984**, *62*, 336–340.

1st step:²⁴⁸ Methyl 2-hydroxyisobutyrate (6.9 mL, 60 mmol, 1.2 equiv.) was added under a nitrogen atmosphere to a solution of dimethyl amino pyridine (1.22 g, 10 mmol, 0.2 equiv.), triethylamine (10 mL, 50 mmol, 1 equiv.) and triethylchlorosilane (6.7 mL, 50 mmol, 1 equiv.) in 50 mL of CH₂Cl₂. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 hours. After filtering over celite to remove the salt, the filtrate was diluted with diethyl ether (150 mL) and the resulting solution was washed with brine (1 x 50 mL) and water (1 x 50 mL). The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give the corresponding triethylsilyl ether, which was used as such without further purification. Dimethyl methyl phosphonate (13.8 mL, 130 mmol, 2.5 equiv.) in dry THF (40 mL) was added dropwise to a cold solution of *n*BuLi (1.6 M in hexanes, 79 mL, 130 mmol, 2.5 equiv.) in dry THF (80 mL) at -78 °C under a nitrogen atmosphere. After 30 min of stirring at the same temperature, a solution of the crude triethylsilyl ether (16 g, 50 mmol, 1 equiv.) in dry THF (100 mL) was added dropwise at -78 °C. The mixture was stirred at the same temperature (-78 °C) for 3 h and then quenched at this temperature with a saturated NH₄Cl solution (200 mL). The reaction mixture was allowed to reach room temperature, it was extracted with diethyl ether (3 x 250 mL) and dried over MgSO₄. The solvent was then evaporated under reduced pressure to get phosphonic acid dimethyl ester, which was used for the next step without further purification. Yield: 99%, (16.0 g, 49.5 mmol). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.79 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 6H), 3.39 (d, J = 20.7 Hz, 2H), 1.36 (s, 6H), 1.05 – 0.86 (m, 9H), 0.74 – 0.56 (m, 6H).

2nd step: Dried LiCl (1.17 g, 27 mmol, 1 equiv.) and Et₃N (3.8 mL, 27 mmol, 1 equiv.) were added successively to a solution of (3-methyl-2-oxo-3triethylsilyloxybutyl)phosphonic acid dimethyl ester (8.7 g, 27 mmol) in dry MeCN (67 mL). The resulting milky suspension was stirred for 15 min at room temperature and the formaldehyde (37% in water; 5.4 mL, 54 mmol, 2 equiv.) was added dropwise. The mixture was stirred for 40 h, diluted with water and extracted with Et₂O. The organic layer was dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated in vacuo. to afford the crude product that was purified by flash silica gel chromatography (hexane/EtOAc, 95:5). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.07 (dd, J = 17.3, 10.4 Hz, 1H), 6.37 (dd, J = 17.4, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 5.71 (dd, J = 10.4, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 1.36 (s, 6H), 1.06 - 0.85 (m, 9H), 0.71 - 0.53 (m, 6H).

²⁴⁸ Adapted from: a) P. Sampson, V. Roussis, G. J. Drtina, F. L. Koerwitz, D. F. Wiemer, *J. Org. Chem.* **1986**, *51*, 2525–2529. b) D. G. McCarthy, C. C. Collins, J. P. O'Driscoll, S. E. Lawrence, *J. Chem. Soc.*, *Perkin Trans. 1* **1999**, 3667–3675.

5.4.1.2. Preparation of β -substituted α '-hydroxy enones 14

Preparation of β -aryl substituted α '-hydroxy enones 14a-14d

Enones **14a-14d** were synthesised following the procedure previously described in our group:²⁴⁹



3-Hydroxy-3-methyl-2-butanone (5.0 g, 30 mmol, 1 equiv.) was dissolved in a mixture of MeOH (90 mL) and H₂O (30 mL). Freshly distilled aldehyde (60 mmol, 2 equiv.) was then added followed by LiOH·H₂O (5.0 g, 120 mmol, 4 equiv.). The reaction mixtue was stirred at reflux for 3 h, and after removal of MeOH under reduced pressure, the aqueous residue was diluted with H₂O (30 mL) and extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 x 50 mL). The CH₂Cl₂ extracts were combined, dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated, and the crude product was purified by column chromatography (silca gel, hexane/EtOAc 90:10).

(E)-4-hydroxy-4-methyl-1-(p-tolyl)pent-1-en-3-one (14a)

The title compound **14a** was prepared using 4methylbenzaldehyde (3.4 mL, 60 mmol, 2 equiv.) according to the general procedure. Yellow oil. Yield: 75% (4.4 g, 23 mmol). **¹H-NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.84 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 7.50 (dd, J = 7.3, 1.1 Hz, 2H), 7.29 – 7.16 (m, 2H), 6.99 (d, J = 15.7 Hz, 1H), 2.39 (s, 3H), 1.46 (s, 7H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃), δ 202.3, 145.2, 141.2, 131.4, 129.4, 128.8, 128.4, 126.7, 117.3, 75.3, 26.2, 21.2.

(*E*)-1-(4-bromophenyl)-4-hydroxy-4-methylpent-1-en-3-one (14b)

The title compound **14c** was prepared using 4methylromobenzaldehyde (10 g, 60 mmol, 2 equiv.) according to the general procedure. Yellow oil. Yield: 85% (6.5 g, 25.5 mmol). ¹**H-NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.66 (d, J = 15.8 Hz, 1H), 7.52 – 7.31 (m, 4H), 7.09 (d, J = 15.7 Hz, 1H), 4.11 (s, 1H), 1.41 (s, 7H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃), δ 202.1, 143.4, 132.9, 131.8, 129.6, 124.8, 119.0, 75.5, 26.1.

²⁴⁹ a) C. Palomo, M. Oiarbide, R. Halder, M. Kelso, E. Gómez-Bengoa, J. M. García, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2004**, 126, 9188–9189. b) C. Palomo, M. Oiarbide, B. G. Kardak, J. M. García, A. Linden, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2005**, 127, 4154–4155.

(*E*)-4-hydroxy-1-(3-methoxyphenyl)-4-methylpent-1-en-3-one (14c)

The title compound **14d** was prepared using 3methoxybenzaldehyde (6.6 mL, 60 mmol, 2 equiv.) according to the general procedure. Yellow oil. Yield: 75% (4.6 g, 24 mmol). ¹**H-NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.75 (d, J = 15.7 Hz, 1H), 7.27 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.15 (dt, J = 7.8, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.08 – 7.01 (m, 2H), 6.92 (ddd, J = 8.1, 2.6, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 4.04 (s, 1H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 1.43 (s, 7H). ¹³**C NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃), δ 202.3, 159.7, 145.1, 135.5, 129.8, 121.0, 118.6, 116.4, 113.5, 75.5, 55.1, 26.3.

(E)-4-hydroxy-4-methyl-1-phenylpent-1-en-3-one (14d)²⁵⁰

The title compound **14b** was prepared using benzaldehyde (6.1 mL, 60 mmol, 2 equiv.) according to the general procedure. Yellow oil. All data were consistent with those previously reported. Yield: 95% (5.4 g, 28 mmol). ¹**H-NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.83 (d, *J* =15.7 Hz, 1H), 7.58 (m, 2H), 7.40 (m, 3H), 7.02 (d, *J* =15.4 Hz, 1H), 4.00 (s, 1H), 1.45 (s, 6H). ¹³**C NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃), δ 7.83 (d, *J* =15.7 Hz, 1H), 7.58 (m, 2H), 7.40 (m, 3H), 7.02 (d, *J* =15.4 Hz, 1H), 7.58 (m, 2H), 7.40 (m, 3H), 7.02 (d, *J* =15.4 Hz, 1H), 7.58 (m, 2H), 7.40 (m, 3H), 7.02 (d, *J* =15.7 Hz, 1H), 7.58 (m, 2H), 7.40 (m, 3H), 7.02 (d, *J* =15.7 Hz, 1H), 7.58 (m, 2H), 7.40 (m, 3H), 7.02 (d, *J* =15.7 Hz, 1H), 7.58 (m, 2H), 7.40 (m, 3H), 7.02 (d, *J* =15.7 Hz, 1H), 7.58 (m, 2H), 7.40 (m, 3H), 7.02 (d, *J* =15.7 Hz, 1H), 7.58 (m, 2H), 7.40 (m, 3H), 7.02 (d, *J* =15.4 Hz, 1H), 4.00 (s, 1H), 1.45 (s, 6H).

Preparation of (E)-2-hydroxy-2-methylhept-4-en-3-one (14e)

Known compound $14e^{251}$ was prepared according to the following synthetic sequence:

$$MeO \xrightarrow{O} OH \xrightarrow{1) CISiMe_3, DMAP, NEt_3} MeO \xrightarrow{O} OSiMe_3 \xrightarrow{1) propanal, LiCl} OSiMe_3 \xrightarrow{1) propanal, LiCl} OH \xrightarrow{O} OH \xrightarrow{1) Propanal, LiCl} OH \xrightarrow{O} OH \xrightarrow{O} OSiMe_3 \xrightarrow{DMAP, NEt_3, DMAP, NEt_3} OH \xrightarrow{O} OH \xrightarrow{$$

1st step:²⁵² Methyl 2-hydroxyisobutyrate (6.9 mL, 60 mmol, 1.2 equiv.) was added under a nitrogen atmosphere to a solution of dimethyl amino pyridine (1.22 g, 10 mmol, 0.2 equiv.), triethylamine (10 mL, 50 mmol, 1 equiv.) and trimethylchlorosilane (6.3 mL, 50 mmol, 1 equiv.) in 50 mL of CH₂Cl₂. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 hours. After filtering over celite to remove the salt, the filtrate was diluted with diethyl ether (150 mL) and the resulting solution was washed with brine (1

²⁵⁰ C. Palomo, M. Oiarbide, J. M. García, A. González, E. Arceo, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2003**, 125, 13942–13943.

²⁵¹ A. R. Katritzky, D. Feng, H. Lang, J. Org. Chem. **1997**, 62, 706–714.

²⁵² Adapted from: a) P. Sampson, V. Roussis, G. J. Drtina, F. L. Koerwitz, D. F. Wiemer, *J. Org. Chem.* **1986**, *51*, 2525–2529. b) D. G. McCarthy, C. C. Collins, J. P. O'Driscoll, S. E. Lawrence, *J. Chem. Soc.*, *Perkin Trans. 1* **1999**, 3667–3675.

x 50 mL) and water (1 x 50 mL). The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give the trimethylsilyl ether, which was used as such without further purification. Dimethyl methyl phosphonate (13.8 mL, 130 mmol, 2.5 equiv.) in dry THF (40 mL) was added dropwise to a cold solution of *n*BuLi (1.6 M in hexanes, 79 mL, 130 mmol, 2.5 equiv.) in dry THF (80 mL) at -78 °C under a nitrogen atmosphere. After 30 min of stirring at the same temperature, a solution of the crude triethylsilyl ether (16 g, 50 mmol, 1 equiv.) in dry THF (100 mL) was added dropwise at -78 °C. The mixture was stirred at the same temperature (-78 °C) for 3 h and then quenched at this temperature with a saturated NH4Cl solution (200 mL). The reaction mixture was allowed to reach room temperature, it was extracted with diethyl ether (3 x 250 mL) and dried over MgSO4. The solvent was then evaporated under reduced pressure to get phosphonic acid dimethyl ester, which was used for the next step without further purification. Yield: 99%, (14.6 g, 49.5 mmol).

2nd step: Dried LiCl (1.17 g, 27 mmol, 1 equiv.) and Et₃N (3.8 mL, 27 mmol, 1 equiv.) successively added to а solution of (3-methyl-2-oxo-3were trimethylsilyloxybutyl)phosphonic acid dimethyl ester (8.0 g, 27 mmol) in dry MeCN (67 mL). The resulting milky suspension was stirred for 15 min at room temperature and the propanal (3.9 mL, 54 mmol, 2 equiv.) was added dropwise. The mixture was stirred for 40 h, diluted with water and extracted with Et₂O. The organic layer was dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated in vacuo. The crude was dissolved in methanol (200 mL) and a solution of hydrofluoric acid (HF) (48% in water, 5 mL) was added. The resulting mixture was stirred for 0.5 h at room temperature and then was neutralized by addition of a saturated solution of NaHCO₃. The mixture was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 x 100 mL) and the combined organic layers were dried over MgSO₄ and evaporated to afford the crude desilylated product that was purified by flash silica gel chromatography (hexane/EtOAc, 98:2). Colourless oil. All spectroscopic data were consistent with those previously reported. Yield: 75% (3.2 mg, 20 mmol). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.22 - 7.10 (m, 1H), 6.46 (d, J = 15.4 Hz, 1H), 4.09 (s, 1H), 2.30 - 2.20 (m, 2H), 1.55 -1.43 (m, 2H), 1.40 (s, 6H), 0.97 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 3H).

5.4.1.3. Preparation of α -methyl α '-oxy enones 15

Preparation of α-methyl α'-hydroxy enone 15

Known enone 15^{253} was prepared according to the following procedure:

$$MeO \longrightarrow OH \xrightarrow{1) CH_3ONHCH_3 \cdot HCl} MeO \longrightarrow OH \xrightarrow{O} OH \xrightarrow{CH_2=C(CH_3)MgBr} OH \xrightarrow{O} OH \xrightarrow{O} OH \xrightarrow{10 \text{ CH}_2=C(CH_3)MgBr} OH \xrightarrow{O} OH \xrightarrow{O$$

1st step: To a solution of methyl 2-hydroxy-2-methylpropanoate (1.77 g, 15 mmol, 1 equiv.) and *N*,*O*-dimethylhydroxylamine hydrochloride (15 mmol, 1.5 equiv.) in dry THF (50 mL), ^{*i*}PrMgCl (2 M in THF; 60 mmol, 4 equiv.) was added at -20 °C and the reaction mixture was stirred for 1.5 h at room temperature. The reaction was then quenched with a saturated solution of NH₄Cl (30 mL) and extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2 x 30 mL). The combined organic phases were dried over MgSO₄ and filtered and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and crude material was purified by flash column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc, 80:20) to obtain the desired amide product as colourless oil. Yield: 90% (1.99 g, 13.5 mmol). All spectroscopic data were consistent with those previously reported.²⁵⁴ ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.29 (s, 1H), 3.73 (s, 3H), 3.28 (s, 3H), 1.47 (s, 6H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 177.2, 72.1, 61.0, 33.6, 26.5.

2nd step: To a solution of the starting amide (1.85 g, 10 mmol, 1 equiv.) in Et₂O (20 mL), isopropenyl magnesium bromide (0.5 M in THF; 60 mL, 3 equiv.) was added at – 20 °C, and the resulting mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 16 h. The reaction was quenched with a saturated solution of NH₄Cl (50 mL) and extracted with Et₂O (2 x 50 mL). The combined organic phases were dried over MgSO₄, filtered and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (pentane/Et₂O 95:5) to obtain the desired product **15** as a colourless oil. Yield: 65% (833 mg, 6.5 mmol). All spectroscopic data were consistent with those previously reported. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.91 (s, 1H), 5.75 (s, 1H), 4.11 (s, 1H), 1.86 (s, 3H), 1.42 (s, 6H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 206.3, 140.3, 125.6, 72.0, 28.3, 19.9.

²⁵³ A. Basheer, M. Mishima, I. Marek, Org. Lett. **2011**, 13, 4076–4079.

²⁵⁴ F. Miege, B. M. Trost, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 3016–3019.

Preparation of α-methyl α'-trimethylsilyloxy enone 15



3-(Trimethylsilyl)-2-oxazolidinone (TMSO) (1.1 mL, 7.5 mmol, 1.5 equiv.) and a drop of trifluoromethanesulfonic acid were added to enone **15** (640 mg, 5.0 mmol, 1 equiv.). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h, diluted with pentane (20 mL) and subsequently washed with water (20 mL) and NaHCO₃ sat. (20 mL). The organic phase was then dried over with MgSO₄ and concentred under reduced pressure to afford the title compound **15'** as a yellow oil. Yield: 82% (820 mg, 4.1 mmol). ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.34 (s, 1H), 5.78 (s, 1H), 1.89 (s, 3H), 1.46 (s, 6H), 0.10 (s, 9H).

5.4.1.4. Preparation of α '-oxy dienones 16

Preparation of α'-hydroxy dienone 16²⁵⁵



3-Hydroxy-3-methyl-2-butanone (2.1 mL, 20 mmol, 1 equiv.) in THF (2.5 mL) was slowly added to a solution of *n*-butyllithium (1.6 M in Et₂O; 32 mL, 50 mmol, 2.5 equiv.) and diisopropylamine (7.7 mL, 50 mmol, 1.5 equiv.) in THF (25 mL) at -78 °C and the resulting solution was stirred at the same temperature for 5 min. Next, acrolein (1.23 g, 22 mmol, 1.1 equiv.) in THF (2.5 mL) was added over a 10 min period and then *p*-toluenesulfonyl chloride (1.84 mL, 24 mmol, 1.2 equiv.) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and was stirred until completion of the reaction (TLC monitoring). The solvent was eliminated under reduced pressure and the residue was redissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (80 mL). The organic phase was then washed with water (80 mL) and a saturated solution of NH₄Cl (80 mL), dried over with MgSO₄ and concentred under reduced pressure to afford the crude product, which was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (eluting hexane/EtOAc 80:20). Yellow oil. Yield: 75% (2.1 g, 15 mmol). ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.48 –

²⁵⁵ Adapted from: L. Bernardi, J. López-Cantero, B. Niess, K. A. Jørgensen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 5772–5778.

7.36 (m, 1H), 6.59 – 6.42 (m, 2H), 5.79 – 5.59 (m, 2H), 3.94 (s, 1H), 1.39 (s, 6H). ¹³C **NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 202.7, 145.4, 134.9, 128.0, 122.6, 75.4, 26.3.

Preparation of α'-trimethylsilyloxy dienone 16'



3-(Trimethylsilyl)-2-oxazolidinone (TMSO) (1.1 mL, 7.5 mmol, 1.5 equiv.) and a drop of trifluoromethanesulfonic acid were added to dienone **16** (700 mg, 5.0 mmol, 1 equiv.). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h, diluted with pentane (20 mL) and subsequently washed with water (20 mL) and NaHCO₃ sat. (20 mL). The organic phase was then dried over with MgSO₄ and concentred under reduced pressure to afford the title compound **16'** as a yellow oil. Yield: 88% (934 mg, 4.4 mmol). ¹**H** NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.29 (dd, *J* = 15.4, 11.0 Hz, 1H), 6.81 (d, *J* = 15.4 Hz, 1H), 6.62 – 6.42 (m, 1H), 5.76 – 5.50 (m, 2H), 1.37 (s, 6H), 0.14 (s, 9H). ¹³**C** NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 203.2, 143.5, 135.6, 126.3, 124.6, 30.9, 27.2, 2.2.

5.4.2. Preparation of 5*H*-oxazol-4-ones (oxazolones) 17

Known oxazolones **17A-17D** and new oxazolone **17E** were prepared according to the synthetic sequence described by Misaki and Sugimura:²⁵⁶



 1^{st} step:²⁵⁷ The corresponding amino acid (40 mmol, 1 equiv.) is solubilized in 48% HBr (40 mL) and 36 mL water. The reaction mixture was cooled to 0 °C and a solution of NaNO₂ (4.4 g, 64 mmol, 1.6 equiv.) in 10 mL water was added dropwise. The mixture was stirred for 2.5 h at room temperature, then concentrated to remove acid

²⁵⁶ T. Misaki, G. Takimoto, T. Sugimura, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 6286–6287.

²⁵⁷ Z. P. Kortylewicz, R. E. Galardy, J. Med. Chem. 1990, 33, 263-273.

vapour, and extracted with Et_2O (4 x 10 mL). The organic layers were washed with water, brine, dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated under reduced pressure yielding the corresponding 2-bromo-carboxylic acid as colorless oil, which was used without further purification.

 2^{nd} step:²⁵⁸ A solution of oxalyl chloride (1.0 mL, 12 mmol, 1.2 equiv.) in dry dichloromethane (6 mL) was added slowly to a stirred solution of the corresponding bromoacid (10 mmol, 1 eq.) in dichloromethane (0.5 mL/mmol) at 0 °C, then 2 drops of DMF were added. Gas evolution was observed, and the system was allowed to stir at room temperature for 2 additional hours. Volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the resulting crude material was added over 5 min to a suspension of benzamide (1.2 g, 10 mmol, 1.0 eq.) and pyridine (0.81 mL, 10 mmol, 1.0 eq.) in THF (12.5 mL) at 0 °C. The resulting suspension was stirred overnight at room temperature and diluted with EtOAc. The mixture was acidified to pH 2 with HCl 1 M and the phases were separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc (3 x 20 mL) and the combined organic layers were washed with water (3 x 20 mL) and brine (20 mL), dried over MgSO₄, and filtered. Volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. The imide product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (eluting with hexane/EtOAc 90:10).

 3^{rd} step:²⁵⁶ A suspension of K₂CO₃ (2.0 g, 20 mmol, 2.0 equiv.) in methyl tertbutylether (MTBE) (20 mL) was refluxed for 2 h to remove water using a Dean-Stark trap. The suspension was cooled to room temperature and the corresponding imide was added in one portion. The resulting mixture was refluxed overnight and cooled to room temperature. Inorganic salts were filtered through a celite pad with suction and the filter cake was washed with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were concentrated in vacuo and the crude material was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (eluting with hexane/EtOAc 80:20).

5-isobutyl-2-phenyloxazol-4(5H)-one (17A)²⁵⁹



The title compound 17A was prepared from 2-bromo-4-methylpentanoic acid (2.0 g, 10 mmol) according to the general procedure. White solid. All data were consistent with those previously reported. m. p. = 57–59
°C. Yield: 54% (1.2 g, 5.4 mmol). ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.26 –

8.15 (m, 2H), 7.74 – 7.63 (m, 1H), 7.59 – 7.47 (m, 2H), 4.79 (dd, *J* = 10.0, 3.5 Hz, 1H), 2.11 – 1.82 (m, 2H), 1.77 – 1.62 (m, 1H), 1.04 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 6H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz,

²⁵⁸ Adapted from: T. Misaki, G. Takimoto, T. Sugimura, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2010**, 132, 6286–6287.

²⁵⁹ D. Zhao, L. Wang, D. Yang, Y. Zhang, R. Wang, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 7523–7527.

CDCl₃), δ 192.2, 186.3, 135.1, 130.0, 128.9, 125.9, 80.8, 40.2, 25.5, 22.8, 21.9. **MS**: calculated for C₁₃H₁₆NO₂ (M + H⁺), 218.1181; found, 218.1183.

2-phenyl-5-propyloxazol-4(5H)-one (17B)²⁶⁰

The title compound **17B** was prepared from 2-bromopentanoic acid (1.8 g, 10 mmol) according to the general procedure. White solid. All data were consistent with those previously reported. m. p. = 55–56 °C. Yield: 24% (480 mg, 2.4 mmol). ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.27 – 8.18 (m, 2H), 7.76 – 7.65 (m, 1H), 7.60 – 7.47 (m, 2H), 4.79 (dd, J = 7.7, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 2.15 – 2.00 (m, 1H), 1.93 – 1.78 (m, 1H), 1.56 (dq, J = 14.8, 7.5 Hz, 2H), 1.00 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃), δ 192.3, 186.9, 135.5, 130.5, 129.4 126.3, 82.2, 33.6, 18.5, 14.0. MS: calculated for C₁₂H₁₄NO₂ (M + H⁺), 204.1021; found, 204.1024.

5-benzyl-2-phenyloxazol-4(5H)-one (17C)²⁶¹



The title compound **17C** was prepared from 2-bromo-3-phenylpropanoic acid (2.3 g, 10 mmol) according to the general procedure. All data were consistent with those previously reported. White solid. m. p. = 97–98 °C. Yield: 34% (850 mg, 3.4 mmol). ¹**H-NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.13 (dd,

J = 5.2, 3.3 Hz, 2H), 7.70 – 7.62 (m, 1H), 7.49 (dd, J = 10.6, 4.8 Hz, 2H), 7.29 – 7.20 (m, 5H), 4.98 (dd, J = 7.6, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 3.43 (dd, J = 14.8, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 3.12 (dd, J = 14.8, 7.6 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃), δ 190.9, 186.4, 135.2, 134.6, 130.0, 129.3, 128.9, 128.6, 127.3, 125.6, 81.9, 37.3. MS: calculated for C₁₆H₁₄NO₂ (M + H⁺), 252.1025; found, 252.1024.

5-methyl-2-phenyloxazol-4(5H)-one (17D)²⁵⁶

The title compound **17D** was prepared from 2-bromopropanoic acid (1.5 g, 10 mmol) according to the general procedure. White solid. All data were consistent with those previously reported. m. p. = 68–70 °C. Yield: 62% (1.1 g, 6.2 mmol). ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.15 – 7.99 (m, 2H), 7.64 – 7.46 (m, 1H), 7.46 – 7.35 (m, 2H), 4.72 (q, 1H), 1.49 (d, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃), δ 192.0, 185.8, 134.8, 129.6, 128.5, 125.4, 77.6, 16.0. MS: calculated for C₁₀H₁₀NO₂ (M + H⁺), 176.0712; found, 176.0717.

²⁶⁰ H. Huang, K. Zhu, W. Wu, Z. Jin, J. Ye, Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 461–463.

²⁶¹ B. M. Trost, K. Dogra, M. Franzini, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 1944–1945.

5-pentyl-2-phenyloxazol-4(5H)-one (17E)

The title compound **17E** was prepared from 2-bromopropanoic acid (1.5 g, 10 mmol) according to the general procedure. White solid. m. p. = 69– 70 °C. Yield: 44% (1.1 g, 4.4 mmol). ¹**H-NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.23 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 1.3 Hz, 2H), 7.76 – 7.63 (m, 1H), 7.54 (t, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 4.78 (dd, *J* = 7.6, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 2.19 – 2.00 (m, 1H), 1.86 (td, *J* = 14.8, 7.6 Hz, 1H), 1.51 (dt, *J* = 15.7, 6.9 Hz, 2H), 1.33 (ddd, *J* = 11.2, 8.1, 4.5 Hz, 6H), 0.87 (t, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 3H). ¹³**C NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃), δ 8.23 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 1.3 Hz, 2H), 7.76 – 7.63 (m, 1H), 7.54 (t, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 4.78 (dd, *J* = 7.6, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 2.19 – 2.00 (m, 1H), 1.86 (td, *J* = 14.8, 7.6 Hz, 1H), 1.51 (dt, *J* = 15.7, 6.9 Hz, 2H), 1.33 (ddd, *J* = 11.2, 8.1, 4.5 Hz, 6H), 0.87 (t, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 3H). **MS:** calculated for C₁₅H₂₀NO₂ (M + H⁺), 246.1494; found, 246.1494.

5.4.3. Preparation of α-cyanoacetate 30

 α -Cyanoacetate **30** was synthesised according to the procedure previously described in the literature:²⁶²



A solution of 2-(p-tolyl)acetonitrile (1.3 g, 10 mmol, 1 equiv.) in THF (10 mL) was added dropwise to a solution of LDA (3.1 mL, 25 mmol, 2.5 equiv.) in THF (30 mL) cooled to -78 °C. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at -78 °C for 45 min. and then at room temperature for an additional 45 minutes. Then it was cooled to -78 °C and a solution of di-*tert*-butyl dicarbonate (2.62 g, 12 mmol, 1.2 equiv.) in THF (10 mL) was added dropwise *via* syringe. After stirring at -78 °C for 16 hours, the mixture was quenched with saturated ammonium chloride (20 mL) and extracted with Et₂O (3 x 50 mL). The organic layer was washed with 1 N HCl (30 mL), brine (30 mL) and dried over MgSO₄. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the resulting crude oil was purified by silica gel flash chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 95:5) to yield the desired cyanoester **30** as a clear oil. The characterization data were coincident with the previously reported. Yield: 75% (1.7 g, 7.5 mmol). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.32 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.21 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 4.56 (s, 1H), 2.36 (s, 3H), 1.44 (s, 9H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 164.3, 139.1, 130.1, 127.8, 116.3, 84.5, 44.6, 27.8, 21.3.

²⁶² S. Jautze, R. Peters, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 9284–9288.

5.4.4. 1,4-addition of oxazolones to unsubstituted α '-silyloxy enone 13b

5.4.4.1. General procedure



To a mixture of the corresponding oxazolone **17** (1 equiv., 0.3 mmol) and the enone **13b** (83.9 mg, 3.0 equiv., 0.9 mmol), in CH₂Cl₂ (0.9 mL) at room temperature the catalyst **C8** (20 mol%) was added. The resulting suspension was stirred at the same temperature until consumption of the oxazolone (monitored by ¹H-NMR). Then, 3 mL of methanol and 0.6 mL of aqueous HF 48% were added and the mixture was stirred for 45 min. The reaction was treated at 0 °C with saturated solution of NaHCO₃ until pH 7. The product was extracted from the aqueous phase with CH₂Cl₂ (3 x 3 mL) and the combined organic phases were dried with MgSO₄. Evaporation of the solvent under reduced pressure gave the title products **18**, which were purified by flash column chromatography (eluting hexane/EtOAc 80:20).

Racemic reactions were conducted following the procedure for the asymmetric version using enone **13a** (3 equiv.) and TEA (20 mol%) as the catalyst.

5.4.4.2. Characterization data for compounds 18

(*R*)-5-(4-Hydroxy-4-methyl-3-oxopentyl)-5-isobutyl-2-phenyloxazol-4(5*H*)-one (18A)



The title compound was obtained following the general procedure from oxazolone **17A** (65 mg, 0.30 mmol). Colourless oil. Yield: 80% (79 mg, 0.24 mmol). $[\alpha]_D^{22} = +10.7^\circ$ (c = 1.00, 93% ee, CH₂Cl₂). ¹H-NMR (300 MHz,

CDCl₃) δ 8.22 – 8.09 (m, 2H), 7.73 – 7.61 (m, 1H), 7.57 – 7.46 (m, 2H), 3.56 (s, 1H), 2.57 – 2.47 (m, 1H), 2.38 – 2.23 (m, 1H), 2.22 – 2.08 (m, 1H), 2.04 – 1.84 (m, 2H), 1.82 – 1.64 (m, 2H), 1.25 (s, 3H), 1.21 (s, 3H), 0.88 (dd, *J* = 10.0, 6.4 Hz, 6H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 212.7, 193.4, 185.6, 130.0, 129.0, 125.4, 90.2, 76.4, 60.3, 44.4, 29.7, 29.0, 26.4, 23.9, 23.3, 14.1. **MS:** calculated for C₁₉H₂₆NO₄ (M + H ⁺), 332.1862; found, 332.1866. The enantiomeric purity was determined by chiral HPLC analysis of the crude reaction mixture (Daicel Chiralpak IC, hexane/isopropanol, 85:15; flux = 1 mL/min; retention times: 18.1 min (major), 22.4 min (minor)).

(S)-5-(4-Hydroxy-4-methyl-3-oxopentyl)-2-phenyl-5-propyloxazol-4(5H)-one (18B)



The title compound was obtained following the general procedure from oxazolone **17B** (61 mg, 0.30 mmol). Colourless oil. Yield: 86% (52 mg, 0.26 mmol). $[\alpha]_D^{22} = +58.4^\circ$ (c = 1.00, 92% ee, CH₂Cl₂). ¹H-NMR (300 MHz,

CDCl₃) δ 8.29 – 8.15 (m, 2H), 7.77 – 7.64 (m, 1H), 7.55 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 3.62 (s, 1H), 2.59 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 2.40 – 2.13 (m, 2H), 1.93 (ddd, J = 10.0, 5.8, 4.0 Hz, 2H), 1.40 – 1.15 (m, 8H), 0.90 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 212.7, 193.1, 185.7, 135.3, 130.0, 128.9, 125.3, 90.3, 76.3, 37.9 29.4, 29.1, 26.4, 16.2, 13.7. MS: calculated for C₁₈H₂₄NO₄ (M + H ⁺), 318.1705; found, 318.1697. The enantiomeric purity was determined by chiral HPLC analysis of the crude reaction mixture (Daicel Chiralpak IC, hexane/isopropanol, 90:10; flux = 1 mL/min; retention times: 36.3 min (major), 39.5 min (minor)).

(*R*)-5-Benzyl-5-(4-hydroxy-4-methyl-3-oxopentyl)-2-phenyloxazol-4(5*H*)-one (18C)

The title compound was obtained following the general procedure from oxazolone **17C** (75 mg, 0.30 mmol). Colourless oil. Yield: 73% (80 mg, 0.22 mmol). $[\alpha]_D^{22} = +44.6^{\circ}$ (c = 1.00, 96% ee, CH₂Cl₂). ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.12 – 7.99 (m, 2H), 7.64 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.46 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 7.14 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 5H), 3.61 (s, 1H), 3.20 (s, 2H), 2.60 (dd, J = 10.8, 5.3 Hz, 2H), 2.34 (dd, J = 15.2, 7.5 Hz, 2H), 1.26 (s, 3H), 1.22 (s, 3H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 212.6, 192.4, 185.4, 135.2, 132.8, 129.8, 128.8, 128.3, 127.4, 125.2, 90.1, 76.4, 42.2, 29.3, 29.2, 26.4. MS: calculated for C₂₂H₂₄NO₄ (M + H ⁺), 366.1705; found, 366.1708. The enantiomeric purity was determined by chiral HPLC analysis of the crude reaction mixture (Daicel Chiralpak IC, hexane/isopropanol, 85:15; flux = 1 mL/min; retention times: 28.0 min (minor), 32.8 min (major)).

(S)-5-(4-Hydroxy-4-methyl-3-oxopentyl)-5-methyl-2-phenyloxazol-4(5H)-one (18D)



The title compound was obtained following the general procedure from oxazolone **17D** (53 mg, 0.30 mmol). Colourless oil. Yield: 86% (52 mg, 0.26 mmol). $[\alpha]_{D}^{22} = -25.6^{\circ}$ (c = 1.00, 92% ee, CH₂Cl₂). ¹H-NMR (300 MHz,

CDCl₃) δ 8.30 – 8.14 (m, 2H), 7.77 – 7.66 (m, 1H), 7.55 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 2.59 (t, J =

7.5 Hz, 2H), 2.46 – 2.13 (m, 3H), 1.62 (s, 4H), 1.32 (s, 3H), 1.28 (s, 4H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 212.5, 193.4, 185.4, 135.4, 130.2, 129.1, 87.1, 30.4, 29.4, 26.6, 22.3. **MS:** calculated for C₁₆H₂₀NO₄ (M + H ⁺), 290.1392; found, 290.1381. The enantiomeric purity was determined by chiral HPLC analysis of the crude reaction mixture (Daicel Chiralpak IA, hexane/isopropanol, 98:2; flux = 1 mL/min; retention times: 89.1 min (major), 102.1 min (minor)).

(S)-5-Hexyl-5-(4-hydroxy-4-methyl-3-oxopentyl)-2-phenyloxazol-4(5H)-one (18E)



The title compound was obtained following the general procedure from oxazolone **17E** (84 mg, 0.30 mmol). Colourless oil. Yield: 79% (61 mg, 0.21mmol). $[\alpha]_D^{22} = +10.6^{\circ}$ (c = 1.00, 97% ee, CH₂Cl₂). ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ

8.26 – 8.07 (m, 2H), 7.76 – 7.60 (m, 1H), 7.51 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 2.55 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 2.41 – 2.09 (m, 2H), 1.89 (d, J = 3.9 Hz, 2H), 1.34 – 1.08 (m, 14H), 0.78 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H). ¹³**C-NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 213.4, 193.8, 186.3, 135.9, 130.7, 129.6, 126.0, 91.0, 77.0, 36.6, 31.9, 30.0, 29.8, 29.5 27.1, 23.3, 22.9, 14.5. **MS:** calculated for C₂₁H₃₀NO₄ (M + H ⁺), 360.2175; found, 360.2170. The enantiomeric purity was determined by chiral HPLC analysis of the crude reaction mixture (Daicel Chiralpak IC, hexane/isopropanol, 95:5; flux = 1 mL/min; retention times: 66.6 min (major), 72.7 min (minor)).

5.4.5. 1,4-addition of oxazolones to β -substituted α '-hydroxy enones 14

5.4.5.1. General procedure



To a mixture of the corresponding oxazolone **17** (0.15 mmol, 1 equiv.) and the corresponding enone **14** (0.45 mmol, 3.0 equiv.), in 1,2-dichloroethane (0.45 mL) at 70 °C, catalyst **C7** (9.5 mg, 10 mol%) was added. The resulting mixture was stirred at the same temperature until consumption of the starting oxazolone as monitored by ¹H-

NMR. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (eluting hexane/EtOAc 80:20).

The same above procedure was followed except that reactions were run at 50 °C and DBU was used as the catalyst instead of **C7**.

5.4.5.2. Characterization data for compounds 19

(S)-5-((R)-4-Hydroxy-4-methyl-3-oxo-1-(p-tolyl)pentyl)-5-isobutyl-2-phenyloxazol-4(5H)-one (19Aa)



The title compound was obtained following the general procedure from oxazolone **17A** (33 mg, 0.15 mmol) and enone **14a** (92 mg, 0.45 mmol) in a 13:1 *dr*. Yellow oil. Yield: 67% (43 mg, 0.10 mmol). Data of major isomer: $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -45.4^\circ$ (*c* = 1.00, 99% *ee*, CH₂Cl₂). ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.18 – 8.08 (m, 2H), 7.74 – 7.62 (m, 1H), 7.52 (dd, *J* = 8.3, 7.2 Hz,

2H), 7.17 - 7.08 (m, 2H), 7.05 - 6.95 (m, 2H), 3.85 (dd, J = 10.8, 3.3 Hz, 1H), 3.45 - 3.24 (m, 2H), 2.72 (dd, J = 17.5, 3.3 Hz, 1H), 2.21 (s, 3H), 1.80 (dd, J = 6.2, 4.2 Hz, 2H), 1.64 - 1.52 (m, 1H), 1.23 (s, 3H), 1.04 (s, 3H), 0.86 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H), 0.77 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 211.1, 193.4, 185.7, 137.2, 135.2, 134.0, 129.9, 129.0, 128.8, 125.4, 92.8, 76.3, 46.3, 43.6, 35.8, 26.0, 24.1, 23.8, 20.9. MS: calculated for C₂₆H₃₂NO₄ (M + H ⁺), 422.2331; found, 422.2314. The enantiomeric purity of the major isomer was determined by chiral HPLC analysis of the crude reaction mixture (Daicel Chiralpak IB, hexane/isopropanol, 98:2; flux = 1 mL/min; retention times: 18.8 min (minor), 18.8 min (major)).

(S)-5-Hexyl-5-((R)-4-hydroxy-4-methyl-3-oxo-1-(p-tolyl)pentyl)-2-phenyloxazol-4(5H)-one (19Ea)



The title compound was obtained following the general procedure from oxazolone **17E** (37 mg, 0.15 mmol) and enone **14a** (92 mg, 0.45 mmol) in a 13:1 *dr*. Yellow oil. Yield: 80% (55 mg, 0.12 mmol). Data of major isomer: $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -53.6^\circ$ (*c* = 1.00, 96% *ee*, CH₂Cl₂). ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.23 – 8.13 (m, 2H), 7.78 – 7.63 (m, 1H), 7.60 – 7.48 (m, 2H), 7.16

(d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.04 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 3.86 (dd, J = 10.8, 3.3 Hz, 1H), 3.27 (dd, J = 17.4, 10.8 Hz, 1H), 2.70 (dd, J = 17.4, 3.3 Hz, 1H), 2.24 (s, 3H), 1.21 (s, 3H), 1.13 (m, 8H), 1.04 (s, 3H), 0.81 – 0.72 (m, 3H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 210.9, 193.2,

185.8, 137.3, 135.3, 134.3, 130.0, 129.1, 129.0, 128.8, 125.3, 93.2, 76.3, 45.8, 36.1, 35.2, 31.3, 28.9, 26.0, 22.7, 22.3, 21.0, 13.8. **MS:** calculated for $C_{28}H_{36}NO_4$ (M + H ⁺), 450.2666; found, 450.2626. The enantiomeric purity of the major isomer was determined by chiral HPLC analysis of the crude reaction mixture (Daicel Chiralpak IC, hexane/isopropanol, 80:20; flux = 1 mL/min; retention times: 9.5 min (minor), 11.2 min (major)).

(S)-5-((R)-1-(4-Bromophenyl)-4-hydroxy-4-methyl-3-oxopentyl)-5-hexyl-2phenyloxazol-4(5*H*)-one (19Eb)



The title compound was obtained following the general procedure from oxazolone **17E** (37 mg, 0.15 mmol) and enone **14b** (115 mg, 0.45 mmol) in a 12:1 *dr*. White soldi. m. p. = 125-127 °C. Yield: 78% (60 mg, 0.12 mmol). Data of major isomer: $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -57.3^\circ$ (c = 1.00, 96% *ee*, CH₂Cl₂). ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.10 (dd, J = 8.3, 1.3 Hz, 2H), 7.68 –

7.59 (m, 1H), 7.46 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 7.32 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.16 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 3.82 (dd, J = 10.9, 3.1 Hz, 1H), 3.69 (s, 1H), 3.30 (dd, J = 18.0, 10.9 Hz, 1H), 2.76 (dd, J = 17.9, 3.1 Hz, 1H), 1.88 – 1.85 (m, 1H), 1.70 – 1.57 (m, 1H), 1.10 – 1.00 (m, 14H), 0.75 – 0.65 (m, 3H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 210.9, 192.6, 185.7, 136.6, 135.3, 131.2, 130.5, 129.8, 128.9, 124.8, 121.4, 92.6, 76.3, 45.3, 35.9, 34.9, 31.0, 28.6, 25.8, 25.8, 22.4, 22.1, 13.6. **MS:** calculated for C₂₇H₃₃BrNO₄ (M + H ⁺), 514.1593; found, 514.1594. The enantiomeric purity of the major isomer was determined by chiral HPLC analysis of the crude reaction mixture (Daicel Chiralpak IA, hexane/isopropanol, 90:10; flux = 1 mL/min; retention times: 14.2 min (minor), 19.7 min (major)).

(S)-5-Hexyl-5-((R)-4-hydroxy-4-methyl-3-oxo-1-(p-tolyl)pentyl)-2-phenyloxazol-4(5H)-one (19Ec)



The title compound was obtained following the general procedure from oxazolone **17E** (37 mg, 0.15 mmol) and enone **14c** (103 mg, 0.45 mmol) in a 12:1 *dr*. Yellow oil. Yield: 81% (57 mg, 0.12 mmol). Data of major isomer: $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -54.4^\circ$ (c = 1.00, 96% *ee*, CH₂Cl₂). ¹H-NMR (300 MHz,

CDCl₃) δ 8.18 (dd, J = 8.4, 1.4 Hz, 2H), 7.74 – 7.64 (m, 1H), 7.54 (dd, J = 8.4, 7.1 Hz, 2H), 7.16 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 6.91 – 6.80 (m, 2H), 6.72 (ddd, J = 8.3, 2.6, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 3.86 (dd, J = 10.6, 3.3 Hz, 1H), 3.74 (s, 3H), 3.41 (s, 1H), 3.26 (dd, J = 17.5, 10.7 Hz, 1H), 2.69 (dd, J = 17.4, 3.3 Hz, 1H), 1.94 – 1.80 (m, 1H), 1.79 – 1.64 (m, 1H), 1.20 (s, 3H), 1.17 – 1.06 (m, 8H), 1.05 (s, 3H), 0.80 – 0.73 (m, 3H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 210.8, 193.0, 185.99, 159.3, 139.0, 135.3, 130.0, 129.3, 129.0, 125.3, 121.2, 115.2, 112.7, 93.0, 76.3, 55.1.0, 46.2, 36.0, 35.1, 31.3, 28.9, 26.0, 22.7, 22.3, 13.8. MS:

calculated for $C_{27}H_{33}NO_4$ (M + H ⁺), 466.2593; found, 466.2577. The enantiomeric purity of the major isomer was determined by chiral HPLC analysis of the crude reaction mixture (Daicel Chiralpak IB, hexane/isopropanol, 95:5; flux = 1 mL/min; retention times: 11.9 min (minor), 14.0 min (major)).

(S)-5-Hexyl-5-((R)-4-hydroxy-4-methyl-3-oxo-1-phenylpentyl)-2-phenyloxazol-4(5H)-one (19Ed)



The title compound was obtained following the general procedure from oxazolone **17E** (37 mg, 0.15 mmol) and enone **14d** (79 mg, 0.45 mmol) in a 12:1 *dr*. White soldi. m. p. = 125-127 °C. Yield: 73% (47 mg, 0.11 mmol). Data of major isomer: $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -49.9^\circ$ (*c* = 1.00, 96% *ee*, CH₂Cl₂). ¹H-NMR

(300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.22 – 8.10 (m, 2H), 7.74 – 7.65 (m, 1H), 7.58 – 7.48 (m, 2H), 7.32 – 7.12 (m, 5H), 3.90 (dd, *J* = 10.7, 3.4 Hz, 1H), 3.39 (s, 1H), 3.30 (dd, *J* = 17.5, 10.7 Hz, 1H), 2.74 (dd, *J* = 17.5, 3.3 Hz, 1H), 2.00 – 1.81 (m, 1H), 1.81 – 1.64 (m, 1H), 1.21 (s, 3H), 1.18 – 1.07 (m, 8H), 1.03 (s, 3H), 0.82 – 0.71 (m, 3H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 210.9, 193.0, 185.8, 137.4, 135, 123.0, 129.0, 128.4, 127.7, 125.3, 93.0, 76.3, 46.2, 36.0, 35.2, 31.3, 28.9, 26.0, 22.7, 22.3, 13.8. **MS:** calculated for C₂₇H₃₄NO₄ (M + H ⁺), 436.2488; found, 436.2275. The enantiomeric purity of the major isomer was determined by chiral HPLC analysis of the crude reaction mixture (Daicel Chiralpak IC, hexane/isopropanol, 90:10; flux = 1 mL/min; retention times: 18.7 min (minor), 20.5 min (major)).

5.4.6. 1,4-addition of oxazolones to α-substituted α'-hydroxy enone 15

5.4.6.1. General procedure



To a mixture of the corresponding oxazolone **17** (0.30 mmol, 2 equiv.) and enone **15** (0.15 mmol, 1 equiv.), in 1,2-dichloroethane (0.45 mL) at 50 °C, catalyst **C13** (12.3 mg, 10 mol%) was added. The resulting mixture was stirred at the same temperature until consumption of the starting oxazolone as monitored by ¹H-NMR. The crude product was submitted to flash column chromatography (eluting hexane/EtOAc 80:20), affording the desired adduct as a mixture of diastereomers.

The same above procedure was followed except DBU was used as the catalyst instead of **C13**.

5.4.6.2. Characterization data for compounds 22

(5*S*)-5-(4-Hydroxy-2,4-dimethyl-3-oxopentyl)-2-phenyl-5-propyloxazol-4(5*H*)-one (22B)



The title compound was obtained following the general procedure from oxazolone **17B** (61 mg, 0.30 mmol) in a 1:1 *dr*. Yellow oil. Yield: 69% (34 mg, 0.10 mmol). Data of major isomer: ¹**H-NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.19 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz,

2H), 7.82 – 7.64 (m, 1H), 7.59 – 7.48 (m, 2H), 3.53 (s, 1H), 3.21 – 3.06 (m, 1H), 2.31 (dd, J = 15.0, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 2.04 (dd, J = 15.0, 6.7 Hz, 1H), 1.96 – 1.78 (m, 2H), 1.42 (s, 3H), 1.36 (s, 3H), 1.26 – 1.20 (m, 2H), 1.09 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.87 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 216.8, 193.1, 185.8, 135.4, 130.1, 129.0, 125.6, 90.8, 76.9, 38.8, 38.3, 34.7, 26.7, 26.6, 19.5, 16.2, 13.8. Data of minor isomer: ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.35 – 3.24 (m, 1H), 2.45 (dd, J = 14.8, 7.0 Hz, 1H), 2.00 – 1.95 (m, 1H), 1.96 – 1.78 (m, 2H), 1.28 (s, 3H), 1.27 (s, 3H), 1.26 – 1.20 (m, 2H), 1.16 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H), 0.89 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 216.5, 193.7, 185.8, 135.4, 130.1, 129.0, 125.6, 90.4, 76.8, 39.0, 38.1, 34.2, 26.9, 26.6, 12.0, 16.2, 13.8. MS: calculated for C₁₉H₂₆NO₄ (M + H ⁺), 332.1862; found, 332.1866. The enantiomeric purity of the major isomer was determined by chiral HPLC analysis of the crude reaction mixture (Daicel Chiralpak IB, hexane/isopropanol, 95:5; flux = 1 mL/min; retention times: 17.5 min (major), 28.0 min (minor)).

(5*R*)-5-Benzyl-5-(4-hydroxy-2,4-dimethyl-3-oxopentyl)-2-phenyloxazol-4(5*H*)-one (22C)



The title compound was obtained following the general procedure from oxazolone **17C** (75 mg, 0.30 mmol) in a 1.5:1 dr. Yellow oil. Yield: 77% (44 mg, 0.12 mmol). Data of major

isomer: ¹**H-NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.05 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.72 – 7.61 (m, 1H), 7.60 – 7.42 (m, 2H), 7.21 – 7.04 (m, 5H), 3.46 (s, 1H), 3.22 (s, 2H), 3.30 – 3.09 (m, 1H), 2.43 (dd, J = 15.0, 5.2 Hz, 1H), 2.13 (dd, J = 15.0, 7.0 Hz, 1H), 1.45 (s, 3H), 1.38 (s, 3H), 1.09 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H).. ¹³**C-NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 216.7, 192.4, 185.5, 135.3, 132.7, 129.9, 129.0, 128.4, 127.5, 125.4, 90.6, 76.9, 42.6, 38.5, 34.9, 29.7, 26.7, 26.6, 19.3. Data of minor isomer: ¹**H-NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.05 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.72 – 7.61 (m, 1H), 7.60 – 7.42 (m, 2H), 7.21 – 7.04 (m, 5H), 3.39 – 3.26 (m, 1H), 3.17 (s, 2H), 2.56 (dd, J = 14.8, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 2.07 (dd, J = 14.8, 4.7 Hz, 1H), 1.27 (s, 3H), 1.25 (s, 3H), 1.18 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 216.4, 193.0, 185.5, 135.3, 132.8, 129.9, 128.9, 128.4, 127.5, 125.5, 90.2, 77.2, 42.5, 38.8, 34.4, 29.7, 27.0, 26.7, 20.1. **MS:** calculated for C₂₃H₂₅NO₄ (M + H ⁺), 379.1784; found, 379.1775. The enantiomeric purity of the major isomer was determined by chiral HPLC analysis of the crude reaction mixture (Daicel Chiralpak IA, hexane/isopropanol, 95:5; flux = 1 mL/min; retention times: 51.7 min (major), 112.0 min (minor)).

(5*S*)-5-(4-Hydroxy-2,4-dimethyl-3-oxopentyl)-5-methyl-2-phenyloxazol-4(5*H*)-one (22D)



The title compound was obtained following the general procedure from oxazolone **17D** (53 mg, 0.30 mmol) in a 1:1 *dr*. Yellow oil. Yield: 74% (34 mg, 0.11 mmol). Data of major isomer: ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.31 – 7.98 (m, 2H),

7.70 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.65 – 7.49 (m, 2H), 3.53 (s, 1H), 3.21 (dt, J = 13.1, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 2.36 (dd, J = 15.0, 6.3 Hz, 1H), 2.02 (dd, J = 14.8, 6.1 Hz, 1H), 1.57 (s, 3H), 1.45 (s, 3H), 1.39 (s, 3H), 1.13 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 216.7, 193.4, 185.3, 135.4, 130.1, 129.0, 127.8, 87.5, 75.1, 39.6, 34.8, 26.8, 26.7, 22.3, 19.6. Data of minor isomer: ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.26 – 8.14 (m, 2H), 7.70 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.64 – 7.50 (m, 2H), 3.49 (s, 1H), 3.30 (dt, J = 12.7, 6.3 Hz, 1H), 2.44 (dd, J = 14.8, 6.8 Hz, 1H), 1.97 (dd, J = 14.5, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 1.59 (s, 3H), 1.30 (s, 3H), 1.29 (s, 3H), 1.18 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 216.4, 193.4, 185.3, 132.8, 130.1, 129.0, 128.8, 127.8, 87.5, 75.1, 39.8 34.5, 26.9, 26.7, 22.4, 19.7. MS: calculated for C₁₇H₂₂NO₄ (M + H ⁺), 304.1549; found, 304.1552. The enantiomeric purity of the major isomer was determined by chiral HPLC analysis of the crude reaction mixture (Daicel Chiralpak IA+AY-H, hexane/isopropanol, 80:20; flux = 0.5 mL/min; retention times: 33.2 min (major), 57.8 min (minor)).
(5S)-5-Hexyl-5-(4-hydroxy-2,4-dimethyl-3-oxopentyl)-2-phenyloxazol-4(5*H*)-one (22B)



The title compound was obtained following the general procedure from oxazolone **17E** (74 mg, 0.30 mmol) in a 1.5:1 *dr*. Yellow oil. Yield: 67% (32 mg, 0.10 mmol). Data of major isomer: ¹**H-NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.27 – 8.14 (m, 2H),

7.81 – 7.66 (m, 1H), 7.64 – 7.52 (m, 2H), 3.50 (s, 1H), 3.21 – 3.09 (m, 1H), 2.31 (dd, J = 15.0, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 2.12 – 1.84 (m, 3H), 1.44 (s, 3H), 1.38 (s, 3H), 1.26 – 1.19 (m, 8H), 1.11 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.83 (t, J = 6.2 Hz, 3H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 216.8, 193.1, 185.8, 135.4, 130.1, 129.1, 125.6, 76.9, 38.9, 36.2, 34.7, 31.3, 28.9, 26.7, 26.6, 22.6, 22.4, 19.5, 13.9. Data of minor isomer: ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.27 – 8.14 (m, 2H), 7.81 – 7.66 (m, 1H), 7.64 – 7.52 (m, 2H), 3.46 (s, 1H), 3.35 – 3.23 (m, 1H), 2.46 (dd, J = 14.8, 7.0 Hz, 1H), 2.12 – 1.84 (m, 3H), 1.30 (s, 3H), 1.28 (s, 3H), 1.26 – 1.19 (m, 8H), 1.17 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H), 0.83 (t, J = 6.2 Hz, 3H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 217.0, 194.2, 186.2, 135.8, 130.5, 129.5, 126.0, 90.9, 77.2, 39.5, 36.5, 34.6, 31.8, 30.1, 27.3, 27.0, 23.1, 22.8, 20.4, 14.3. MS: calculated for C₂₂H₃₂NO₄ (M + H ⁺), 374.2331; found, 374.2333. The enantiomeric purity of the major isomer was determined by chiral HPLC analysis of the crude reaction mixture (Daicel Chiralpak IA, hexane/isopropanol, 95:5; flux = 1 mL/min; retention times: 14.6 min (major), 24.8 min (minor)).

5.4.7. Elaboration of adducts 18 and 19

5.4.7.1. Transformation of adducts to carboxylic acids 23-26



To a stirred solution of the ketol (0.8 mmol, 1 equiv.) in acetonitrile (10 mL) at 0 °C a solution of cerium ammonium nitrate (CAN) (3 equiv., 1.46 g, 2.7 mmol) in water (5 mL) was added dropwise and the mixture was stirred at the same temperature until starting material disappeared (TLC hexane/EtOAc 60:40). Water was then added (3 mL) and the mixture was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (2 x 10 mL), after which the organic phases were combined, dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated. The crude material was purified

by flash chromatography on silica gel (eluting with CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 95:5) obtaining the desired product.

(R)-3-(5-benzyl-4-oxo-2-phenyl-4,5-dihydrooxazol-5-yl)propanoic acid (23)



The title compound was obtained from adduct **18C** according to the procedure above described. Yellow oil. Yield: 84% (217 mg, 0.67 mmol). ¹**H-NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.12 – 8.02 (m, 2H), 7.66 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.48 (t, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 7.17 (s, 5H),

3.22 (s, 2H), 2.41 – 2.29 (m, 4H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 192.6, 185.9, 177.2, 135.6, 133.1, 130.2, 129.2, 128.7, 127.8, 90.3, 42.3, 30.6, 28.4. MS: calculated for C₁₉H₁₈NO₄ (M + H ⁺), 324.1236; found, 324.1227.

(S)-3-(5-Hexyl-4-oxo-2-phenyl-4,5-dihydrooxazol-5-yl)propanoic acid (24)



The title compound was obtained from adduct **18D** according to the procedure above described. Yellow oil. Yield: 82% (208 mg, 0.66 mmol). ¹**H-NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.22 – 8.15 (m, 2H), 7.69 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.52 (t, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 2.37 – 2.27 (m,

2H), 1.96 - 1.84 (m, 2H), 1.34 - 1.14 (m, 10H), 0.81 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 193.0, 185.8, 176.9, 135.4, 130.1, 129.0, 127.4, 125.4, 90.2, 35.8, 31.3, 30.6, 28.9, 28.0, 22.7, 22.4, 13.9. **MS:** calculated for C₁₈H₂₄NO₄ (M + H ⁺), 318.1705; found, 318.1691. The enantiomeric purity was determined by chiral HPLC analysis of the crude reaction mixture (Daicel Chiralpak IC, hexane:ethanol, 70:30; flux = 0.5 mL/min; retention times: 16.8 min (major), 18.2 min (minor)).

(*R*)-3-(4-Bromophenyl)-3-((*S*)-5-hexyl-4-oxo-2-phenyl-4,5-dihydrooxazol-5yl)propanoic acid (25)



The title compound was obtained from adduct **19Eb** according to the procedure above described. Yellow oil. Yield: 80% (301 mg, 0.64 mmol). ¹**H-NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.23 – 8.15 (m, 2H), 7.78 – 7.67 (m, 1H), 7.55 (t, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 7.41 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.17 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 3.64 (dd, *J* = 11.2, 3.9 Hz, 1H), 2.75 (dd, *J* = 16.2, 11.2 Hz, 1H), 2.61 (dd, *J* = 16.2, 3.9 Hz, 1H),

1.81 (dt, J = 14.5, 6.7 Hz, 1H), 1.70 – 1.53 (m, 2H), 1.20 – 1.06 (m, 8H), 0.77 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 192.6 , 185.9, 175.1, 136.0, 135.6.0, 131.7, 130.7, 130.0, 129.1, 125.0, 121.9, 92.4, 46.5, 34.9, 34.6, 31.3, 28.9, 22.6, 22.3, 13.9. **MS:** calculated for C₂₄H₂₇BrNO₄ (M + H ⁺), 472.1123; found, 472.1109.

(*R*)-3-((*S*)-5-Hexyl-4-oxo-2-phenyl-4,5-dihydrooxazol-5-yl)-3-phenylpropanoic acid (26)



The title compound was obtained from adduct **19Eb** according to the procedure above described. Yellow oil. Yield: 86% (275 mg, 0.69 mmol). ¹**H-NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.26 (bs, 1H), 8.21 – 8.13 (m, 2H), 7.69 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.52 (t, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 7.30 – 7.18 (m, 5H), 3.68 (dd, *J* = 11.2, 3.8 Hz, 1H), 2.79 (dd, *J*

= 16.1, 11.2 Hz, 1H), 2.61 (dd, J = 16.1, 3.8 Hz, 1H), 1.91 – 1.76 (m, 1H), 1.72 – 1.55 (m, 1H), 1.19 – 1.05 (m, 8H), 0.76 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 192.8, 185.8, 175.6, 136.7, 135.3, 129.9, 128.9, 128.3, 127.7, 125.1, 92.7, 47.0, 34.8, 34.6, 31.2, 28.8, 22.5, 22.2, 13.7. **MS:** calculated for C₂₅H₂₇NO₄ (M + H ⁺), 394.2018; found, 394.2003.





The corresponding carboxylic acid (0.25 mmol, 1 equiv.) was dissolved in MeOH (7.5 mL) and a solution of (trimethylsilyl)diazomethane in diethyl ether (2 M; 0,38 mL, 0.75 mmol, 3 equiv.) was added dropwise, observing the coloration of the mixture. The reaction mixture was stirred for further 3 h and disappearance of the acid was checked by TLC (hexane/EtOAc 1:1). The reaction mixture was concentrated and the crude material was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (eluting with hexane/EtOAc 90:10) obtaining the desired product.

(*R*)-3-(4-Bromophenyl)-3-((*S*)-5-hexyl-4-oxo-2-phenyl-4,5-dihydrooxazol-5-yl)propanoic acid (25')



The title compound was obtained from compound **25** according to the procedure above described. Yellow oil. Yield: 87% (103 mg, 0.22 mmol). ¹**H-NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.26 – 8.19 (m, 2H), 7.80 – 7.71 (m, 1H), 7.59 (dd, J = 8.4, 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.47 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.24 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 3.73 (dd, J = 11.3, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 3.50 (s, 3H), 2.79 (dd, J = 15.8, 11.3 Hz, 1H), 2.65

(dd, J = 15.8, 4.1 Hz, 1H), 1.95 – 1.79 (m, 1H), 1.74 – 1.58 (m, 1H), 1.25 – 1.07 (m, 8H), 0.81 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H). ¹³**C-NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 192.6, 185.9, 170.7, 136.2,

135.5, 131.6, 130.7, 130.0, 129.1, 125.2, 121.8, 92.3, 51.8, 46.8, 35.0, 34.6, 31.3, 28.9, 22.6, 22.3, 13.8. **MS:** calculated for $C_{25}H_{29}BrNO_4$ (M + H ⁺), 486.1280; found, 486.1258. The enantiomeric purity was determined by chiral HPLC analysis of the crude reaction mixture (Daicel Chiralpak IC, hexane/isopropanol, 80:20; flux = 1 mL/min; retention times: 14.2 min (minor), 15.8 min (major)).

(*R*)-3-((*S*)-5-hexyl-4-oxo-2-phenyl-4,5-dihydrooxazol-5-yl)-3-phenylpropanoic acid (26')



The title compound was obtained from compound **26** according to the procedure above described. Yellow oil. Yield: 97% (99 mg, 0.24 mmol). ¹**H-NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.26 – 8.12 (m, 2H), 7.74 – 7.63 (m, 1H), 7.53 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 7.1 Hz, 2H), 7.34 – 7.17 (m, 5H), 3.72 (dd, *J* = 11.2, 4.1 Hz, 1H), 3.42 (s, 3H), 2.79

(dd, J = 15.6, 11.2 Hz, 1H), 2.62 (dd, J = 15.7, 4.1 Hz, 1H), 1.91 – 1.76 (m, 1H), 1.70 – 1.55 (m, 1H), 1.21 – 1.04 (m, 8H), 0.75 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 192.9, 185.8, 170.8, 137.0, 135.2, 129.9, 128.9, 128.3, 127.7, 125.2, 92.6, 51.5, 47.4, 34.9, 34.7, 31.2, 28.8, 22.5, 22.2, 13.7. MS: calculated for C₂₅H₃₀NO₄ (M + H ⁺), 408.2175; found, 408.2155. The enantiomeric purity was determined by chiral HPLC analysis of the crude reaction mixture (Daicel Chiralpak IC, hexane/isopropanol, 80:20; flux = 1 mL/min; retention times: 18.6 min (minor), 24.5 min (major)).

5.4.7.2. Synthesis of γ -lactone 28

Known γ -lactone **28** was prepared according to the following synthetic sequence:



1st step:²⁶³ The acid 23 (0.6 mmol) was dissolved in a 2.5 M aqueous solution of NaOH (6 mL) and stirred at room temperature for 4 h. Then CH₂Cl₂ was added and the mixture

²⁶³ Adapted from: a) T. Misaki, G. Takimoto, T. Sugimura, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2010, *132*, 6286–6287. b)
A. Paju, M. Laos, A. Jõgi, M. Päri, R. Jäälaid, T. Pehk, T. Kanger, M. Lopp, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2006, *47*, 4491–4493.

was acidified to pH 1 using a concentrated aqueous solution of HCl. The phases were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (2 x 10 mL). The organic phases were united and the solvent was eliminated under reduced pressure. The crude was then redissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (12 mL) and a concentrated aqueous solution of HCl (0.12 mL) was added, letting the mixture to stir at room temperature overnight. The organic phase was washed with a saturated solution of NaHCO₃ (3 x 10 mL), dried over MgSO₄ and the solvent was eliminated under reduced pressure, obtaining (*R*)-2- benzyl-5-oxotetrahydrofuran-2-carboxamide **27** as a white solid, which was used in the next step without further purification. Yield: 85% (112 mg, 0.51 mmol). m. p. = 165–168 °C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.40 – 7.15 (m, 5H), 6.26 (s, 1H), 5.66 (s, 1H), 3.29 (d, *J* = 14.1 Hz, 1H), 3.09 (d, *J* = 14.1 Hz, 1H), 2.67 – 2.49 (m, 1H), 2.49 – 2.27 (m, 2H), 2.18 – 1.97 (m, 1H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 175.4, 174.2, 133.9, 130.4, 128.6, 127.5, 87.7, 43.4, 29.9, 28.1. MS: calculated for C₁₂H₁₄NO₃ (M, H⁺), 220.0974; found, 220.0981.

2nd step:²⁶⁴ The above obtained adduct **28** (0.31 mmol) was dissolved in an aqueous concentrated HCl solution (2 mL) and heated at 85 °C in a sealed tube for 24 h. The reaction mixture was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 x 5 mL) and the combined organic phases were dried over MgSO₄ and the solvent eliminated under reduced pressure obtaining a brown solid. The solid was triturated with Et₂O obtaining the desired carboxylic acid **30** as a white solid. Yield: 62% (43 mg, 0.19 mmol). m. p. = 101–104 °C. $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{D}^{26} = -2.73^{\circ}$ (c = 1.00, acetone) ($[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{D}^{20}$ Lit²⁶⁵ = -5.3° (c = 1.97, acetone). All spectroscopic data were identical to those reported in the literature. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.35 – 7.24 (m, 5H), 3.40 (d, J = 14.4 Hz, 1H), 3.15 (d, J = 14.4 Hz, 1H), 2.57 – 2.43 (m, 2H), 2.39 – 2.25 (m, 1H), 2.24 – 2.06 (m, 1H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 175.67, 175.49, 133.42, 130.57, 128.64, 127.62, 85.80, 42.14, 29.96, 27.93.

²⁶⁴ S. Caille, S. Cui, T.-L. Hwang, X. Wang, M. M. Faul, J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 3833–3842.

²⁶⁵ A. Paju, M. Laos, A. Jõgi, M. Päri, R. Jäälaid, T. Pehk, T. Kanger, M. Lopp, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2006**, 47, 4491–4493.

5.4.8. 1,6-addition to α'-oxy dienones





To a mixture of the corresponding nucleophile (0.15 mmol, 1 equiv.) and dienone **16** (42 mg, 0.30 mmol, 3.0 equiv.), in CH₂Cl₂ (0.45 mL) at 0 °C, the selected catalyst (10 mol%) was added. The resulting mixture was stirred at the same temperature until consumption of the starting nucleophile as monitored by ¹H-NMR. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (eluting hexane/EtOAc 80:20).

For the racemic version of the reaction the same procedure was followed using DBU as the catalyst.

5.4.8.2. Characterization data for compounds 29 and 31

(*E*)-5-Hexyl-5-(6-hydroxy-6-methyl-5-oxohept-2-en-1-yl)-2-phenyloxazol-4(5*H*)-one (29)



The title compound was obtained following the general procedure from oxazolone **17E** (37 mg, 0.15 mmol) employing catalyst **C17**. Colourless oil. Yield: 79% (39 mg, 0.12 mmol). $[\alpha]_D^{23} = -3.9^\circ$ (c = 0.80, 40% ee,

CH₂Cl₂). ¹**H-NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.26 – 8.17 (m, 2H), 7.71 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.60 – 7.51 (m, 2H), 5.73 (dt, *J* = 13.9, 6.8 Hz, 1H), 5.40 (dt, *J* = 15.4, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.23 – 3.14 (m, 2H), 2.71 – 2.63 (m, 2H), 1.99 – 1.88 (m, 2H), 1.37 – 1.18 (m, 14H), 0.84 (t, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 3H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 211.8, 193.1, 185.5, 145.4, 141.8, 135.1, 130.0, 128.9, 127.8, 125.4, 124.2, 90.6, 76.2, 39.1, 35.5, 31.4, 29.0, 26.9, 26.2, 25.3, 22.8, 22.4, 13.9. **MS:** calculated for C₂₃H₃₂NO₄ (M + H ⁺), 386.2331; found, 386.2342. The enantiomeric purity of the major isomer was determined by chiral HPLC

analysis of the crude reaction mixture (Daicel Chiralpak IC, hexane/isopropanol, 95:5; flux = 1 mL/min; retention times: 34.7 min (major), 37.4 min (minor)).

(*E*)-5-Hexyl-5-(6-hydroxy-6-methyl-5-oxohept-2-en-1-yl)-2-phenyloxazol-4(5*H*)-one (29')



The title compound was obtained as a byproduct following the general procedure from oxazolone **17E** (37 mg, 0.15 mmol) but at 70 °C in 1,2-dichloroethane and employing catalyst **C7**. Colourless oil. Yield: 23% (11 mg, 0.034 mmol). ¹**H-NMR**

(300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.26 – 8.20 (m, 2H), 7.77 – 7.68 (m, 1H), 7.61 – 7.52 (m, 2H), 5.64 – 5.44 (m, 1H), 5.26 – 5.08 (m, 2H), 3.37 (td, *J* = 9.8, 3.1 Hz, 1H), 2.90 (dd, *J* = 17.2, 10.2 Hz, 1H), 2.58 (dd, *J* = 17.2, 3.2 Hz, 1H), 2.01 – 1.88 (m, 2H), 1.33 (s, 3H), 1.28 (s, 3H), 1.22 (dd, *J* = 13.3, 6.6 Hz, 8H), 0.82 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H). **MS:** calculated for C₂₃H₃₂NO₄ (M + H ⁺), 386.2331; found, 386.2342.

tert-Butyl (E)-2-cyano-8-hydroxy-8-methyl-7-oxo-2-(p-tolyl)non-4-enoate (31)



The title compound was obtained following the general procedure from α -cyanoacetate **30** (69 mg, 0.15 mmol) employing catalyst **C18**. Colourless oil. Yield: 83% (46 mg, 0.12 mmol). $[\alpha]_D^{23} = +3.1^\circ$ (c = 1.00, 30% ee,

CH₂Cl₂). ¹**H-NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.50 – 7.38 (m, 2H), 7.01 – 6.88 (m, 2H), 5.81 (dt, J = 13.8, 6.7 Hz, 1H), 5.52 (dt, J = 14.5, 7.1 Hz, 1H), 3.82 (s, 3H), 3.53 (s, 1H), 3.31 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 3.06 (dd, J = 14.0, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 2.77 (dd, J = 13.9, 6.9 Hz, 1H), 1.42 (s, 9H), 1.36 (s, 3H), 1.35 (s, 3H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 211.8, 165.9, 159.6, 128.2, 127.2, 126.9, 126.2, 118.4, 114.2, 84.2, 76.3, 55.2, 54.1, 40.6, 39.2, 27.5, 26.5. **MS:** calculated for C₂₂H₂₉NO₅Na (M + Na⁺), 410.1943; found, 410.1938. The enantiomeric purity of the major isomer was determined by chiral HPLC analysis of the crude reaction mixture (Daicel Chiralpak IC, hexane/isopropanol, 95:5; flux = 1 mL/min; retention times: 31.0 min (major), 35.8 min (minor)).

5.4.9. Preparation of a-alkenyl cycloalkanones 34

Preparation of α-styryl cycloalkanones (34A-34E)

Known cycloalkanones **34A** and **34B** and new cycloalkanones **34C-34E** were synthesised following the procedure reported by Trofimov:²⁶⁶



A solution of the corresponding cyclic ketone (8 mmol, 1 equiv.), the corresponding alkyne (8 mmol, 1 equiv.) and potassium tert-butoxyde (900 mg, 8 mmol, 1 equiv.) in DMSO (20 mL) was stirred at 100 °C for 30 min in a sealed tube under argon. Te reaction mixture was then cooled to room temperature, water (20 mL) was added and the mixture was neutralized with a saturated solution of NH₄Cl. The resulting biphasic mixture was extracted with Et_2O (4 x 20 mL), the combined organic extract was washed with water (2 x 20 mL) and dried over MgSO₄, and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to obtain crude compound which was purified by silica gel flash column chromatography (hexane/CH₂Cl₂ 1:1).

(E)-2-Styrylcyclohexan-1-one (34A)²⁶⁶

The title compound was obtained following the general procedure above described starting from cyclohexanone (0.83 mL, 8 mmol, 1 equiv.) and phenylacetylene (0.88 mL, 8 mmol, 1 equiv.) as a yellow solid. Yield: 50% (800 mg, 4.0 mmol). All data were consistent with those previously reported. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.38 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 7.30 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.21 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 6.52 - 6.31 (m, 2H), 3.26 - 3.15 (m, 1H), 2.55 - 2.44 (m, 1H), 2.44 - 2.32 (m, 1H), 2.25 - 2.13 (m, 1H), 2.13 - 2.01 (m, 1H), 1.99 - 1.86 (m, 1H), 1.85 - 1.68 (m, 3H).

(E)-2-Styrylcyclopentan-1-one (34B)²⁶⁷

The title compound was obtained following the general procedure above described starting from cyclopentanone (0.71 mL, 8 mmol, 1 equiv.) and phenylacetylene (0.88 mL, 8 mmol, 1 equiv.) as a yellow oil. Yield: 62% (1.3 g, 6.9 mmol). All data were consistent with those previously reported. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.46 – 6.92 (m, 5H), 6.47 (d, *J* = 16.1 Hz, 1H), 6.24 (dd, *J* =

²⁶⁶ B. A. Trofimov, E. Y. Schmidt, N. V. Zorina, E. V. Ivanova, I. A. Ushakov, *J. Org. Chem.* **2012**, *77*, 6880–6886.

²⁶⁷ L.-L. Zhu, X.-X. Li, W. Zhou, X. Li, Z. Chen, J. Org. Chem. **2011**, 76, 8814–8823.

16.1, 6.2 Hz, 1H), 3.02 – 2.87 (m, 1H), 2.45 – 2.02 (m, 5H), 1.97 – 1.80 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 218.0, 136.9, 131.9, 128.4, 127.3, 126.2, 126.0, 52.4, 37.8, 29.7, 20.7.

(E)-2-Styrylcycloheptan-1-one (34C)

The title compound was obtained following the general procedure above described starting from cycloheptanone (0.94 mL, 8 mmol, 1 equiv.) and phenylacetylene (0.88 mL, 8 mmol, 1 equiv.) as a yellow oil. Yield: 67% (1.2 g, 5.4 mmol). ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.42 – 7.32 (m, 2H), 7.39 – 7.24 (m, 2H), 7.25 – 7.18 (m, 1H), 6.43 (d, *J* = 16.1 Hz, 1H), 6.32 (dd, *J* = 16.0, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.35 (ddd, *J* = 11.0, 7.2, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 2.66 – 2.45 (m, 2H), 2.06 – 1.82 (m, 4H), 1.77 – 1.57 (m, 2H), 1.54 – 1.38 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 213.7, 137.0, 130.8, 128.5, 128.4, 127.3, 126.2, 56.2, 42.4, 31.5, 29.7, 27.9, 24.8. **MS**: calculated for C₁₅H₁₉O (M + H⁺), 215.1436; found, 215.1432.

(E)-2-Styrylcyclooctan-1-one (34D)

OMe

∠Ph

34D

The title compound was obtained following the general procedure above described starting from cyclooctanone (1.0 g mL, 8 mmol, 1 equiv.) and phenylacetylene (0.88 mL, 8 mmol, 1 equiv.) as a yellow oil. Yield: 74% (1.4 g, 5.9 mmol). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.39 –

7.18 (m, 5H), 6.45 (d, J = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 6.27 (dd, J = 16.0, 7.7 Hz, 1H), 3.39 (ddd, J = 11.2, 7.7, 3.7 Hz, 1H), 2.60 – 2.23 (m, 2H), 2.11 – 1.79 (m, 4H), 1.79 – 1.63 (m, 2H), 1.60 – 1.37 (m, 4H). ¹³**C NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 216.7, 136.9, 130.9, 128.4, 128.3, 127.3, 126.1, 55.0, 40.7, 32.4, 26.9, 26.1, 25.8, 24.5. **MS:** calculated for C₁₆H₂₁O (M + H⁺), 229.1592; found, 229.1604.

(E)-2-(4-Methoxystyryl)-4,4-dimethylcyclohexan-1-one (34E)



The title compound was obtained following the general procedure above described starting from 4,4-dimethylcyclohexanone (1.01g mL, 8 mmol, 1 equiv.) and 4-ethynylanisole (1.04 mL, 8 mmol, 1 equiv.) as a yellow oil.

Yield: 27% (490 mg, 1.9 mmol). ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.33 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 6.86 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 6.40 – 6.21 (m, 2H), 3.82 (s, 2H), 3.37 – 3.24 (m, 1H), 2.64 – 2.46 (m, 1H), 2.41 – 2.30 (m, 1H), 1.95 – 1.64 (m, 3H), 1.29 (s, 3H), 1.08 (s, 3H). ¹³**C NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 211.9, 159.0, 130.6, 130.0, 127.4, 125.4, 113.6, 55.3, 49.7, 47.2, 38.2, 31.4, 30.8, 24.6. **MS:** calculated for C1₇H₂₃O₂ (M + H⁺), 259.1698; found, 259.1711.

Preparation of 2-(2-methylprop-1-en-1-yl)cyclohexan-1-one (34F)

Cycloalkanone 34F was prepared according to the synthetic procedure reported by Toste: 268



1st step: To a solution of CuI (380 mg, 2 mmol, 0.1 equiv.) in THF (20 mL) and 2methyl-1-propenylmagnesium bromide (0.5 M in THF; 52 mL, 26 mmol, 1.3 equiv.) was added at –78 °C. After stirring for 30 min cyclohexene oxide (2.0 mL, 20 mmol, 1 equiv.) was added, and the resulting mixture was further stirred for 2 h at –20 °C. The reaction was quenched by adding a saturated solution of NH₄Cl (50 mL) and the mixture was extracted with Et₂O (3 x 50 mL). The combined organic extract was washed with brine (50 mL) and dried over MgSO₄. Volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to obtain crude compound which was purified by silica gel flash column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 80:20). Yield: 55% (1.7 g, 11 mmol). ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.99 (d, *J* = 9.3 Hz, 0H), 3.81 (qd, *J* = 6.8, 3.5 Hz, 1H), 2.64 – 2.45 (m, 1H), 2.02 – 1.82 (m, 1H), 1.82 – 1.49 (m, 6H), 1.37 – 1.20 (m, 1H).

2nd step: DMSO (1.1 mL, 15 mmol, 3 equiv.) was added to a solution of (COCl)₂ (0.64 mL, 7.5 mmol, 1.5 equiv.) in CH₂Cl₂ (15 mL) at -78 °C. After stirring for 30 min a solution of the alcohol obtained above (5 mmol, 1 equiv.) in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) was slowly added and the mixture stirred for further 2 h at the same temperature before slowly warming it to room temperature. The mixture was stirred for an additional hour and the reaction was quenched by adding a saturated solution of NH₄Cl (10 mL) and the mixture was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 x 20 mL). The combined organic extract was washed with brine (50 mL) and dried over MgSO₄, and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure (400 bat at 35 °C) to obtain the crude compound, which was purified by silica gel flash column chromatography (hexane/Et₂O 95:5). Yellow oil. All data were consistent with those previously reported. Yield: 40% (400 mg, 2.0 mmol). ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.99 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 3.14 – 2.78 (m, 1H), 2.40 – 1.96 (m, 5H), 1.95 – 1.70 (m, 5H), 1.70 – 1.56 (m, 4H).

²⁶⁸ X. Yang, F. D. Toste, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 3205–3208.

5.4.10. α-Functionalization of α-alkenyl cycloalkanones

5.4.10.1. General procedure



Catalyst C30 (11.5 mg, 5 mol%) was added over a solution of the corresponding α -alkenyl cycloalkanone 34 (0.15 mmol, 1 equiv.) and 1,1-bis(phenylsulfonyl)ethylene (33) (69 mg, 0.23 mmol, 1.5 equiv.) in CH₂Cl₂ at 0 °C. The resulting solution was stirred until the reaction was completed (monitored by TLC hexane/EtOAc 80:20). Then the mixture was directly submitted to a flash column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 85:15), affording the corresponding adducts as essentially pure compound.

The racemic version of the reaction was performed following the asymmetric reaction procedure except the reaction was conducted at room temperature and TEA (20 mol%) was used as the catalyst.

5.4.10.2. Characterization data of compounds 35

(*R*,*E*)-2-(2,2-bis(Phenylsulfonyl)ethyl)-2-styrylcyclohexan-1-one (35A)



The adduct was obtained according to the general procedure described above using (*E*)-2-styrylcyclohexan-1-one (**34A**) (30 mg, 0.15 mmol, 1 equiv.). White solid. m. p. = 92–93 °C. Yield: 91% (69 mg, 0.136 mmol). [α]_D²³= -95.8° (c = 1.00, 98% ee, CH₂Cl₂). ¹H

NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.02 – 7.85 (m, 2H), 7.71 – 7.61 (m, 3H), 7.57 – 7.45 (m, 3H), 7.45 – 7.25 (m, 7H), 6.42 (d, *J* = 16.6 Hz, 1H), 6.12 (d, *J* = 16.6 Hz, 1H), 4.56 (t, *J* = 4.3 Hz, 1H), 3.18 (dd, *J* = 16.6, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 2.64 – 2.51 (m, 1H), 2.49 – 2.37 (m, 2H), 2.26 (dd, *J* = 16.6, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 2.06 – 1.69 (m, 5H). ¹³C **NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 211.0, 138.3, 137.3, 136.1, 134.5, 134.1, 132.6, 130.3, 130.2, 129.5, 128.9, 128.8,

128.3, 126.6, 80.8, 54.4, 39.7, 36.1, 31.1, 27.0, 21.3. **MS:** calculated for $C_{28}H_{32}NO_5S_2$ (M + NH₄⁺), 526.6855; found, 526.1727. The enantiomeric purity was determined by chiral HPLC analysis (Daicel Chiralpak IA, hexane/isopropanol, 70:30; flux = 1 mL/min; retention times: 17.6 min (minor), 18.9 min (major)).

(*R*,*E*)-2-(2,2-bis(Phenylsulfonyl)ethyl)-2-styrylcyclopentan-1-one (35B)



The adduct was obtained according to the general procedure described above using (*E*)-2-styrylcyclopentan-1-one (**34B**) (28 mg, 0.15 mmol, 1 equiv.) in toluene instead of dichloromethane. White solid. m. p. = 154–156 °C. Yield: 85% (62 mg, 0.128 mmol). $[\alpha]_D^{23}$ =

-36.0° (*c* = 0.75, 80% *ee*, CH₂Cl₂). ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.91 – 7.81 (m, 4H), 7.59 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.50 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.45 – 7.37 (m, 4H), 7.35 – 7.25 (m, 5H), 6.37 (d, *J* = 16.3 Hz, 1H), 5.93 (d, *J* = 16.3 Hz, 1H), 4.89 (t, *J* = 4.2 Hz, 1H), 2.91 (dd, *J* = 16.4, 4.3 Hz, 1H), 2.49 – 2.25 (m, 4H), 2.23 – 1.87 (m, 3H). ¹³**C NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 218.0, 140.3, 138.3, 137.2, 136.2, 134.9, 134.5, 134.2, 131.5, 129.9, 129.5, 128.9, 128.6, 128.0, 126.7, 79.5, 53.7, 37.9, 35.1, 31.4, 18.7. **MS:** calculated for $C_{27}H_{27}O_5S_2$ (M + H⁺), 495.1300; found, 495.1299. The enantiomeric purity was determined by chiral HPLC analysis (Daicel Chiralpak IA, hexane/isopropanol, 70:30; flux = 1 mL/min; retention times: 15.0 min (major), 16.9 min (minor)).

(*R*,*E*)-2-(2,2-bis(Phenylsulfonyl)ethyl)-2-styrylcycloheptan-1-one (35C)



The adduct was obtained according to the general procedure described above using (*E*)-2-styrylcycloheptan-1-one (**34C**) (32 mg, 0.15 mmol, 1 equiv.). White foam. Yield: 86% (67 mg, 0.129 mmol). $[\alpha]_D^{23} = -123.0^\circ$ (*c* = 1.00, 96% *ee*, CH₂Cl₂). ¹H NMR (300

MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.86 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.73 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.55 (q, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 7.51 – 7.16 (m, 9H), 6.51 (d, J = 16.5 Hz, 1H), 6.14 (d, J = 16.5 Hz, 1H), 4.63 (t, J = 4.0 Hz, 1H), 3.12 (dd, J = 16.6, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 2.72 – 2.61 (m, 1H), 2.61 – 2.50 (m, 1H), 2.30 (dd, J = 16.5, 3.5 Hz, 1H), 2.20 – 1.99 (m, 2H), 1.68 (dp, J = 31.2, 11.0, 9.1 Hz, 5H), 1.52 – 1.38 (m, 1H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 212.9, 138.5, 137.1, 136.5, 134.5, 134.0, 132.4, 130.5, 130.1, 129.5, 128.9, 128.8, 128.7, 128.0, 126.7, 80.5, 57.0, 41.1, 32.6, 30.2, 29.9, 26.5, 24.4. MS: calculated for C₂₉H₃₁O₅S₂ (M + H⁺), 523.1613; found, 523.1620. The enantiomeric purity was determined by chiral HPLC analysis (Daicel Chiralpak IC, hexane/isopropanol, 50:50; flux = 1 mL/min; retention times: 33.4 min (minor), 49.5 min (major)).

(*R*,*E*)-2-(2,2-bis(Phenylsulfonyl)ethyl)-2-styrylcyclooctan-1-one (35D)



The adduct was obtained according to the general procedure described above using (*E*)-2-styrylcyclooctan-1-one (**34D**) (34 mg, 0.15 mmol, 1 equiv.) in toluene instead of dichloromethane. White foam. Yield: 88% (69 mg, 0.132 mmol). $[\alpha]_D^{23} = -87.6^\circ$ (*c* = 1.00,

94% *ee*, CH₂Cl₂). ¹**H** NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.88 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 7.69 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 7.62 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.54 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.49 – 7.41 (m, 4H), 7.41 – 7.26 (m, 5H), 6.63 (d, J = 16.6 Hz, 1H), 6.23 (d, J = 16.6 Hz, 1H), 3.19 (dd, J = 16.7, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 2.85 – 2.68 (m, 1H), 2.46 – 2.26 (m, 4H), 2.25 – 2.14 (m, 1H), 1.87 – 1.62 (m, 5H), 1.58 – 1.38 (m, 2H), 1.25 – 1.07 (m, 1H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 214.9, 138.4, 137.3, 136.4, 134.4, 134.0, 132.8, 130.1, 129.8, 129.5, 128.8, 128.8, 128.2, 126.7, 81.3, 56.6, 38.0, 30.0, 29.4, 26.7, 26.2, 24.7, 24.2. MS: calculated for C₃₀H₃₃O₅S₂ (M + H⁺), 137.1769; found, 137.1766. The enantiomeric purity was determined by chiral HPLC analysis (Daicel Chiralpak IC, hexane/isopropanol, 50:50; flux = 1 mL/min; retention times: 20.6 min (minor), 26.5 min (major)).

(*R*,*E*)-2-(2,2-bis(Phenylsulfonyl)ethyl)-2-styrylcyclohexan-1-one (35E)



The adduct was obtained according to the general procedure described above using (*E*)-2-(4-methoxystyryl)-4,4-dimethylcyclohexan-1-one (**34E**) (39 mg, 0.15 mmol, 1 equiv.). White solid. m. p. = 107 °C. Yield: 91% (69 mg, 0.136 mmol). $[\alpha]_D^{23}$ = +10.8° (*c* = 1.00, 92% *ee*, CH₂Cl₂). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.86 – 7.72 (m, 4H), 7.66 – 7.51 (m, 2H), 7.49

-7.22 (m, 6H), 6.89 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.13 (d, J = 16.7 Hz, 1H), 5.98 (d, J = 16.7 Hz, 1H), 4.89 - 4.80 (m, 1H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 2.98 (d, J = 20.0 Hz, 1H), 2.74 - 2.54 (m, 1H), 2.42 - 2.32 (m, 1H), 2.32 - 2.21 (m, 1H), 2.13 (d, J = 14.2 Hz, 1H), 1.75 (d, J = 14.2 Hz, 1H), 1.68 (dd, J = 9.1, 4.6 Hz, 2H), 1.16 (s, 3H), 1.06 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 212.0, 159.6, 138.3, 134.1, 134.1, 130.8, 130.3, 129.7, 129.7, 129.1, 128.8, 128.8, 127.7, 114.2, 80.9, 55.3, 52.5, 51.0, 38.3, 36.3, 33.0, 32.1, 30.9, 27.3. MS: calculated for C₃₁H₃₅O₆S₂ (M + H⁺), 567.1875; found, 567.1882. The enantiomeric purity was determined by chiral HPLC analysis (Daicel Chiralpak IA, hexane/isopropanol, 70:30; flux = 1 mL/min; retention times: 16.7 min (minor), 23.2 min (major)).

(*R*,*E*)-2-(2,2-bis(Phenylsulfonyl)ethyl)-2-(2-methylprop-1-en-1-yl)cyclohexan-1-one (35F)



5.4.11. Elaboration of adducts 35

5.4.11.1.Synthesis of 38 and 39

Ketals 38 and 39 were prepared according to the following synthetic sequence:



Step 1: Protection of the ketone moiety (36 and 37)

The above obtained ketone **35** (0.25 mmol, 1 equiv.), etylenglycol (60 μ L, 1.0 mmol, 4 equiv.) and triethyl orthoformate (80 μ L, 0.50 mmol, 2 equiv.) were dissolved in 1,2-DCE (0.6 mL) and camphorsulphonic acid (16 mg, 0.07 mmol, 0.28 equiv.) was added. The resulting solution was stirred at 70 °C overnight before being directly submitted to silica gel flash column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 80:20).

(*R*,*E*)-6-(2,2-bis(Phenylsulfonyl)ethyl)-6-styryl-1,4-dioxaspiro[4.5]decane (36)



The ketal was obtained from adduct **35A** (125 mg, 0.25 mmol, 1 equiv.) following the procedure described above. White solid. m. p. = 67–69 °C. Yield: 98% (135 mg, 0.244 mmol). $[\alpha]_D^{23}$ = -69.0° (*c* = 1.00, 98% *ee*, CH₂Cl₂). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.05 – 7.99

(m, 2H), 7.72 - 7.65 (m, 1H), 7.60 - 7.52 (m, 4H), 7.50 - 7.44 (m, 3H), 7.42 - 7.34 (m, 2H), 7.29 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.20 - 7.12 (m, 2H), 6.37 (d, J = 4.4 Hz, 3H), 4.43 (t, J = 4.0 Hz, 2H), 4.04 - 3.80 (m, 4H), 2.79 (dd, J = 16.2, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 2.34 (dd, J = 16.2, 4.0 Hz, 2H), 2.05 (d, J = 14.1 Hz, 2H), 1.82 - 1.43 (m, 7H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 138.9, 137.6, 137.3, 134.7, 134.1, 132.3, 131.2, 130.8, 129.6, 129.0, 128.9, 128.9, 127.9, 126.8, 111.7, 81.4, 65.2, 65.1, 49.5, 32.5, 30.4, 27.9, 23.5, 21.0. MS: calculated for C₃₀H₃₆N₂O₅S₂ (M + NH₄⁺), 570.7385; found, 570.1994.

(*R*,*E*)-6-(2,2-bis(Phenylsulfonyl)ethyl)-6-(4-methoxystyryl)-8,8-dimethyl-1,4-dioxaspiro[4.5]decane (37)



The ketal was obtained from adduct **35E** (283 mg, 0.5 mmol, 1 equiv.) following the procedure described above. White solid. m. p. = 90–93 °C. Yield: 90% (274 mg, 0.45 mmol). $[\alpha]_D^{23}$ = -45.9 (*c* = 1.00, 92% *ee*, CH₂Cl₂). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.85 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 7.70 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.61 – 7.45 (m, 2H),

7.41 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 4H), 7.29 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 6.92 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.63 (d, J = 16.9 Hz, 1H), 6.22 (d, J = 16.9 Hz, 1H), 4.77 (t, J = 3.7 Hz, 1H), 4.15 – 3.85 (m, 4H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 2.82 (dd, J = 16.3, 3.0 Hz, 1H), 2.19 (dd, J = 16.3, 4.9 Hz, 1H), 1.83 – 1.43 (m, 5H), 1.29 – 1.18 (m, 1H), 0.97 (s, 3H), 0.91 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.0, 140.2, 138.4, 137.9, 134.7, 134.0, 133.7, 130.8, 130.2, 129.9, 129.6, 129.6, 128.5, 128.4, 128.2, 127.5, 113.9, 111.7, 81.3, 64.1, 63.7, 55.1, 48.0, 45.7, 36.1, 33.9, 30.8, 29.4, 28.2, 27.8. **MS:** calculated for C₃₃H₃₉O₇S₂ (M + H⁺), 611.2137; found, 611.2125.

Step 2: Desulfonylation of ketals 36 and 37 to afford 38 and 39

The above obtained ketal (0.25 mmol, 1 equiv.) was dissolved in MeOH (2 mL) and magnesium powder (61 mg, 2.5 mmol, 10 equiv.) was added. The resulting suspension was cooled to 0 °C and a drop of trimethylsilylchloride and a drop of 1,2-dibromoethane were added. The resulting mixture was warmed to room temperature observing the formation of hydrogen, and the reaction was followed by TLC (hexane/EtOAc 80:20). After completion of the reaction the mixture was filtered

through a pad of celite and washed with MeOH. The solvent was eliminated under reduced pressure and the residue was dissolved in dichloromethane (10 mL). The organic solution was washed with water (2 x 10 mL), dried over MgSO₄, volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to obtain crude compound which was purified by silica gel flash column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 95:5).

(*R*,*E*)-6-Ethyl-6-styryl-1,4-dioxaspiro[4.5]decane (38)



The product was obtained from **36** (138 mg, 0.25 mmol, 1 equiv.) following the procedure described above as a colourless oil. Yield: 56% (38 mg, 0.14 mmol). $[\alpha]_D^{23} = -16.2^\circ$ (c = 0.80, 98% ee, CH₂Cl₂). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.39 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 7.30 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H),

7.19 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 6.34 (d, J = 16.7 Hz, 1H), 6.23 (d, J = 16.7 Hz, 1H), 4.03 – 3.82 (m, 4H), 1.94 – 1.82 (m, 1H), 1.74 – 1.51 (m, 8H), 1.51 – 1.38 (m, 1H), 0.74 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 138.9, 134.4, 130.3, 129.1, 128.8, 127.4, 126.7, 126.1, 113.3, 65.9, 65.6, 49.0, 32.7, 29.8, 25.7, 24.2, 21.3, 8.4. MS: calculated for C₁₈H₂₅O₂ (M + H⁺), 273.3955; found, 273.1722.

(S,E)-6-Ethyl-6-(4-methoxystyryl)-8,8-dimethyl-1,4-dioxaspiro[4.5]decane (39)



The product was obtained from **37** (270 mg, 0.40 mmol, 1 equiv.) following the procedure described above. Colourless oil. Yield: 53% (70 mg, 0.21 mmol). $[a]_D^{26} = -13.7^\circ$ (c = 0.50, 92% ee, CH₂Cl₂). ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.33 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 6.86 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 6.40 (d, J = 16.7 Hz, 1H), 6.12 (d, J =

16.7 Hz, 1H), 4.09 – 3.89 (m, 4H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 1.78 – 1.59 (m, 5H), 1.59 – 1.41 (m, 3H), 1.04 (s, 3H), 1.01 (s, 3H), 0.82 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H). ¹³**C** NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 158.5, 132.8, 129.4, 128.5, 127.0, 113.8, 64.8, 64.7, 55.2, 47.8, 43.5, 36.4, 31.4, 30.6, 30.5, 28.9, 27.5, 8.2.

5.4.11.2. Synthesis of compound 41

Ketone **41** was synthesised from ketal **36** according to the following synthetic sequence:



1st step: Sodium hydride (60% wt in oil) (9.5 mg) was added to a solution of the above obtained ketal 58 (116 mg, 0.20 mmol, 1 equiv.) in dry DMF (0.5 mL) at 0 °C. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min and was recooled to 0 °C to slowly add iodomethane (75 μ L, 0.6 mmol, 3 equiv.). The resulting solution mas stirred overnight at 40 °C. The reaction was quenched by adding a saturated solution of NH₄Cl, and the resulting aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc (3 x 3 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with brine (5 x 5 mL), dried over MgSO₄, and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to obtain crude compound which was purified by silica gel flash column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 80:20) obtaining product 62 as a white foam. Yield: 86% (99 mg, 0.172 mmol). $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -59.3^\circ$ (c = 1.00, 98% ee, CH₂Cl₂). ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.08 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.91 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 7.75 – 7.45 (m, 6H), 7.25 (dt, J = 24.9, 7.6 Hz, 5H), 6.46 – 6.26 (m, 1H), 5.90 (d, J = 16.8 Hz, 1H), 3.99 - 3.72 (m, 4H), 2.34 - 2.20 (m, 1H), 1.96 (dt, J = 14.0, 6.7 Hz, 1H), 1.88 (s, 3H), 1.69 – 1.48 (m, 8H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 137.4, 136.8, 136.1, 134.2, 132.3, 131.8, 131.5, 128.6, 128.4, 128.4, 127.2, 126.1, 112.3, 89.8, 64.8, 49.2, 31.3, 31.1, 23.1, 20.9, 16.4.

2nd step: The methylated adduct **40** (142 mg, 0.25 mmol) was submitted to the desulfonylation procedure described above (Section 5.4.11.1), and the resulting ketal was dissolved in a mixture of THF (0.5 mL) and aqueous HCl (6 M) (0.5 mL) and stirred at room temperature overnight. THF was eliminated under reduced pressure and the remaining aqueous phase was extracted with dichloromethane (3 x 2 mL). The combined organic layer was dried over MgSO₄ and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to obtain crude compound **41**, which was purified by silica gel flash column chromatography (hexane/CH₂Cl₂ 60:40). Yield: 41% (25 mg, 0.102 mmol). $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ = +48.0° (*c* = 0.30, 98% *ee*, CH₂Cl₂). ¹**H** NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.44 – 7.18 (m, 5H), 6.38 (d, *J* = 16.6 Hz, 1H), 6.28 (d, *J* = 16.6 Hz, 1H), 2.64 – 2.49 (m, 1H), 2.46 – 2.32 (m, 1H), 2.18 – 2.08 (m, 1H), 2.01 – 1.89 (m, 1H), 1.90 – 1.73 (m, 4H), 1.70 – 1.52 (m, 2H), 1.41 – 1.19 (m, 2H), 0.92 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 213.2, 137.1, 133.5, 130.3, 128.6, 127.5, 126.1, 54.6, 40.1, 39.6, 36.7, 27.3, 21.7, 17.1, 14.8. **MS:** calculated for C₁₇H₂₃O (M + H⁺), 243.1749; found, 243.1752.

5.4.11.3. Preparation of β -ketoester 43

Known β -ketoester **43**²⁶⁹ was synthesised according to the following synthetic sequence:



1st step:²⁷⁰ Alkene 38 (68 mg, 0.25 mmol, 1 equiv.) was dissolved in a mixture of *tert*butanol (3 mL) and water (1 mL) and citric acid (72 mg, 0.75 mmol, 3 equiv.). To the resulting solution *N*-methylmorpholine *N*-oxide (136 mg, 0.75 mmol, 3 equiv.) and osmium tetraoxide (2.5 wt% in ^tBuOH) (0.6 mL, 0.05 mmol, 0.2 equiv.) were added and the reaction mixture was stirred at 55 °C for 24 h. Part of the solvent was eliminated under reduced pressure and the aqueous phase was extracted with dichloromethane (3 x 2 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO₄ and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to obtain the crude compound which was purified by silica gel flash column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 85:15). The desired diol 42 was obtained as a mixture of two isomers at a 1:1 ratio. Yield: 52% (40 mg, 0.13 mmol).

2nd step:²⁷¹ The diol **42** obtained in the previous step (37 mg, 0.12 mmol, 1 equiv.) was dissolved in a 2:2:3 mixture of CH₃CN, CCl₄ and H₂O (2 mL) and sodium metaperiodate (205 mg, 0.96 mmol, 8 equiv.) and ruthenium(III) chloride (0.6 mg, 2.5 mol%) were added. The resulting mixture was vigorously stirred for 2.5 h before being diluted with dichloromethane (2 mL). The layers were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with dichloromethane (3 x 2 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO₄ and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. The crude obtained was dissolved in dry DMF (1.6 mL) and potassium carbonate (66 mg, 0.48 mmol, 4 equiv.) was added. The mixture was stirred for 30 min at room temperature before adding iodomethane (0.10 mL, 0.72 mmol, 6 equiv.) and letting to stir overnight. The mixture was poured over HCl (1 M) and diethylether and the aqueous layer was extracted with diethylether (5 x 2 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (10 x 2 mL), dried over MgSO₄ and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. The reduced pressure. The reduced pressure.

²⁶⁹ K. Umemura, H. Matsuyama, N. Watanabe, M. Kobayashi, N. Kamigata, *J. Org. Chem.* **1989**, *59*, 2374–2383.

²⁷⁰ Adapted from: J-J. Wu, Y. Shi, W-S. Tian, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2017**, *58*, 923–925.

²⁷¹ a) S. H. Jacobo, C-T. Chang, G-J. Lee, J. A. Lawson, W. S. Powel, D. Pratico, G. A. FitzGerald, J. Rokach, *J. Org. Chem.* 2006, *71*, 1370–1379. b) G. Song, X. Shen, S. Li, Y. Li, H. Si, J. Fan, J. Li, E. Gao, S. Liu, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 2016, 199, 109–121.

HCl (3 M) (0.7 mL) was added. The resulting solution was stirred at room temperature overnight and diluted with an aqueous solution of NaHCO₃ (5%). The mixture was extracted with Et₂O (3 x 5 mL) and the combined organic layers were washed with brine (10 x 2 mL), dried over MgSO₄ and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to obtain the crude ketoester **63**, which was purified by silica gel flash column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 97:3). All data were consistent with those previously reported. Yield: 45% (10 mg, 0.054 mmol). The absolute configuration of the molecule was determined by comparison of the [α] value.²⁷² [α] p^{23} = -91.3° (*c*= 0.08, 98% *ee*, EtOH), ([α] p^{20} Lit²⁷² = -82.4° (*c* = 5.7, EtOH). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.73 (s, 3H), 2.56 – 2.39 (m, 3H), 2.06 – 1.87 (m, 2H), 1.78 – 1.52 (m, 4H), 1.50 – 1.37 (m, 1H), 0.84 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 208.0, 172.5, 61.3, 52.2, 41.2, 35.5, 29.7, 27.6, 22.5, 8.8. MS: calculated for C₁₀H₁₇O₃ (M + Na⁺), 185.1178; found, 185.1183.

5.4.11.4. Preparation of cycloalkanone 45

 α,α -Dialkyl cycloalkanone **45** was synthesised according to the following synthetic sequence:



1st step: Ketal **38** obtained above (16 mg, 0.6 mmol, 1 equiv.) was dissolved in a mixture of THF (0.5 mL) and aqueous HCl (6 M) (0.5 mL) and stirred at room temperature overnight. THF was eliminated under reduced pressure and the remaining aqueous phase was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 x 2 mL). The combined organic layer was dried over MgSO₄ and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to obtain the desired ketone **44** as an essentially pure liquid compound. Yield: 89% (12.2 mg, 0.053 mmol). [α] p^{23} = -30.3° (c = 0.50, 98% *ee*, CH₂Cl₂). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.40 – 7.27 (m, 4H), 7.26 – 7.22 (m, 1H), 6.30 (d, J = 3.9 Hz, 2H), 2.62 – 2.47 (m, 1H), 2.42 – 2.29 (m, 1H), 2.14 – 2.04 (m, 1H), 1.99 – 1.59 (m, 7H), 0.84 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H). ¹³C

²⁷² For the same isomer: a) $[\alpha]_D{}^{20} = -9.0^\circ$ (11% *ee*, EtOH), K. Umemura, H. Matsuyama, N. Watanabe, M. Kobayashi, N. Kamigata, *J. Org. Chem.* **1988**, *54*, 2374–2383. b) $[\alpha]_D{}^{20} = -82.4^\circ$ (*c* = 5.7, 94% *ee*, EtOH), S. Pinheiro, A. Guingant, D. Desmaële, J. d'Angelo, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry.* **1992**, 3, 1003–1006. For the other isomer: $[\alpha]_D{}^{20} = +13.6^\circ$ (*c* = 0.43, 16% *ee*, EtOH), M. Kobayashi, K. Umemura, N. Watanabe, H. Matsuyama, *Chem. Lett.* **1985**, 1067–1070.

NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 213.2, 137.1, 133.2, 130.6, 128.6, 127.5, 126.1, 54.8, 39.6, 36.0, 30.3, 27.3, 21.6, 8.2.

2nd step: The previously obtained desulfonylated product **44** (11 mg, 0.5 mmol, 1 equiv.) and palladium on activated charcoal (10% wt.) (20% wt, 2.2 mg) were stirred under an hydrogen atmosphere at 30 bar overnight. The resulting suspension was filtered over a pad of celite and the solvent was eliminated under reduced pressure. The α,α-dialkyl cycloalkanone **45** was purified by silica gel flash column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 95:5). Colourless oil. Yield: 81% (9.3 mg, 0.040 mmol). $[\alpha]_D^{23} = -19.6^{\circ}$ (c = 0.25, 98% *ee*, CH₂Cl₂). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.31 – 7.24 (m, 2H), 7.23 – 7.12 (m, 3H), 2.53 (td, J = 12.9, 5.1 Hz, 1H), 2.44 – 2.27 (m, 3H), 1.99 – 1.69 (m, 9H), 1.65 – 1.51 (m, 1H), 0.82 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 215.3, 142.7, 128.4, 128.3, 125.8, 51.7, 39.2, 36.4, 36.1, 30.0, 27.2, 27.0, 20.8, 7.8. MS: calculated for C₁₆H₂₃O (M + H⁺), 231.1749; found, 231.1755.

5.4.11.5. Synthesis of hemiketal 46 and diastereopure diol 42

Compound **42** was prepared in a diastereopure manner according to the following synthetic sequence:



1st step:²⁷⁰ Compound 44 (62 mg, 0.25 mmol, 1 equiv.) was dissolved in a mixture of *tert*-butanol (36 mL) and H₂O (1 mL) and citric acid (72 mg, 0.75 mmol, 3 equiv.). To the resulting solution *N*-methylmorpholine *N*-oxide (136 mg, 0.75 mmol, 3 equiv.) and osmium tetraoxide (2.5 wt % in ^tBuOH) (1.2 mL, 0.1 mmol, 0.2 equiv.) were added and the reaction mixture was stirred at 55 °C for 24 h. Part of the solvent was eliminated under reduced pressure and the aqueous phase was extracted with dichloromethane (3 x 2 mL). The combined organic layer was dried over MgSO₄ and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to obtain the crude compound which was purified by silica gel flash column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 85:15). The hemiketal **46** was obtained as a single diastereomer and its structure was confirmed by X-ray analysis. Yield: 60% (38 mg, 0.150 mmol). [*a*]_D²⁶= -18.1° (*c* = 1.00, 98% *ee*, CH₂Cl₂). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.51 – 7.34 (m, 4H), 7.34 – 7.24 (m, 1H), 5.49 (d, *J* = 4.2 Hz, 1H), 3.99 (d, *J* = 2.5 Hz, 2H), 2.12 – 1.78 (m, 3H), 1.70 (d, *J* = 4.0 Hz, 1H), 1.66 – 1.17 (m, 8H), 0.96

(t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 138.2, 128.6, 127.7, 126.7, 107.7, 83.3, 79.1, 51.9, 31.9, 27.2, 22.5, 20.6, 18.8, 8.9. MS: calculated for C₁₆H₂₁O₂ (M – OH⁻), 245.1536; found, 245.1551.

2nd step: Diol **42** was obtained following the acetalization procedure described above (Section 5.4.11.1) starting from the hemiketal **46** obtained in the previous step (25 mg, 0.10 mmol). White foam. Yield: 96% (29 mg, 0.096 mmol). $[\alpha]_{D^{25}} = +20.1^{\circ}$ (c = 0.50, 98% *ee*, CH₂Cl₂). ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.41 – 7.19 (m, 5H), 5.54 (d, J = 4.7 Hz, 1H), 4.04 – 3.87 (m, 2H), 3.73 – 3.61 (m, 3H), 2.80 (d, J = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 2.35 – 2.21 (m, 1H), 2.08 – 1.95 (m, 1H), 1.89 (d, J = 13.3 Hz, 2H), 1.74 – 1.67 (m, 1H), 1.62 – 1.50 (m, 2H), 1.49 – 1.36 (m, 3H), 1.32 – 1.20 (m, 2H), 0.98 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H). ¹³C **NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 139.1, 128.0, 126.9, 126.4, 83.6, 79.0, 62.1, 61.7, 52.7, 28.7, 27.9, 22.7, 20.6, 18.8, 8.7. **MS:** calculated for C₁₈H₂₇O₅ (M + H⁺), 307.1904; found, 307.1917.

5.4.11.6.Synthesis of cycloalkanone 48

 α, α -Dialkyl cycloalkanone **48** was synthesised according to the following synthetic sequence:



1st **step:** The previously obtained desulfonylated compound **39** (70 mg, 0.20 mmol, 1 equiv.) and palladium on activated charcoal (10% wt.) (20% wt, 14 mg) were stirred under an hydrogen atmosphere at 30 bar overnight. The resulting suspension was filtered over a pad of celite and the solvent was eliminated under reduced pressure. Product **47** was purified by silica gel flash column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 95:5). White foam. Yield: 75% (51 mg, 0.15 mmol). $[α]_D^{23} = -18.0^\circ$ (c = 0.50, 92% ee, CH₂Cl₂). ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.11 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.82 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 4.03 – 3.89 (m, 4H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 2.60 – 2.47 (m, 2H), 1.98 – 1.73 (m, 2H), 1.71 – 1.39 (m, 6H), 1.36 (s, 2H), 1.01 (s, 6H), 0.95 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H). ¹³**C NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 157.5, 136.0, 129.1, 113.7, 64.1, 55.2, 46.2, 43.6, 36.4, 35.8, 31.3, 30.6, 30.5, 29.7, 27.8, 25.6, 8.8.

2nd step:²⁷³ The product above obtained (40 mg, 0.12 mmol, 1 equiv.) was dissolved in a 2:2:3 mixture of CH₃CN, CCl₄ and H₂O (2 mL), and sodium metaperiodate (107 mg, 1.2 mmol, 10 equiv.) and ruthenium(III) chloride (0.6 mg, 2.5 mol%) were added. The resulting mixture was vigorously stirred for 2.5 h before being diluted with dichloromethane (2 mL). The layers were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with dichloromethane (3 x 2 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO₄ and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. The residue was then dissolved in a mixture of THF (0.5 mL) and aqueous HCl (6 M) (0.5 mL) and stirred at room temperature overnight. THF was eliminated under reduced pressure and the remaining aqueous phase was extracted with dichloromethane (3 x 2 mL). The combined organic layer was dried over MgSO4 and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to afford the desired ketone 48. Yield: 56% (15.2 mg, 0.067 mmol). $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} = +8.2^{\circ}$ (c = 1.00, 92% ee, CH₂Cl₂). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.49 - 2.32 (m, 2H), 2.32 – 2.13 (m, 2H), 1.95 – 1.74 (m, 2H), 1.74 – 1.62 (m, 3H), 1.62 – 1.48 (m, 3H), 1.09 (s, 3H), 1.05 (s, 3H), 0.78 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 216.1, 179.4, 49.8, 47.6, 37.3, 35.7, 30.6, 30.6, 30.3, 30.0, 29.4, 28.9, 8.0. MS: calculated for $C_{13}H_{23}O_3$ (M + H⁺), 227.1647; found, 227.1658.

5.4.12. General procedure for the synthesis of *rac* 1-substituted β-tetralones 49

New β -tetralones **49B-H** where synthesised following the procedure previously reported by McNally.²⁷⁴ **49A** was commercially available.



²⁷³ Adapted from: I. Comomer, R. C. Barcelos, T. J. Donohoe, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 4748–4752.

²⁷⁴ M. A. Youngman, N. M. Willard, S. L. Dax, J. J. McNally, Synth. Commun. 2003, 33, 2215–2227.

Pyrrolidine (0.46 mL, 6 mL, 1.2 equiv.) was added to a solution of the corresponding β -tetralone (5 mmol, 1 equiv.) in MeOH under argon and the resulting mixture was stirred for 1 h at room temperature, observing the precipitation of the enamine. The solvent was evaporated and 1,2-dichloroethane (10 mL) was added and evaporated to eliminate the excess pyrrolidine. The residue was dissolved in acetonitrile (10 mL) and the corresponding bromide (6 mmol, 1.2 equiv.) was added. The resulting solution was stirred at room temperature for 5 h and the solvent was eliminated under reduced pressure. The obtained crude was dissolved in a mixure of dichloromethane (7 mL), water (7 mL), methanol (15 mL) and acetic acid (1 mL) and the mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. The layers were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with dichloromethane (3 x 5 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with brine (20 mL), dried over MgSO₄ and the solvent was eliminated under reduced pressure. The corresponding products were purified by flash column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 90:10).

1-Benzyltetralone (49B)



The adduct was obtained following the general procedure. Yellow oil. Yield: 68% (803 mg, 3.4 mmol). The spectral data was coincidental with the one described in the literature. ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.22–7.08 (m, 6H), 6.99–6.86 (m, 3H), 3.74 (t, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 3.23

(d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 3.21 (d, J = 1.9 Hz, 1H), 2.90–2.75 (m, 1H), 2.69–2.39 (m, 3H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 212.2, 138.1, 136.9, 136.4, 129.4, 128.5, 128.1, 127.6, 126.8, 126.6, 126.4, 55.0, 39.0, 38.3, 27.2.

1-(3-Methylbut-2-en-1-yl)-tetralone (49C)

The adduct was obtained following the general procedure. Yellow oil. Yield: 82% (879 mg, 4.1 mmol). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.24– 7.11 (m, 1H), 5.06 (m, 1H), 3.43 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 3.15 (m, 1H), 3.01 (m, 1H), 2.59 (m, 4H), 1.65 (s, 3H), 1.45 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 212.5, 137.2, 136.6, 134.3, 128.3, 127.8, 126.8, 126.7, 120.5, 53.8, 37.9, 30.8, 27.9, 25.8, 17.7. **MS:** calculated for C₁₅H₁₉O (M + H⁺), 215.1436; found, 215.1433.

2-(2-Oxo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-1-yl)acetonitrile (49D)



The adduct was obtained following the general procedure. Yellow oil. Yield: 43% (394 mg, 2.1 mmol). ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.37– 7.24 (m, 1H), 3.85–3.80 (m, 0H), 3.15–2.98 (m, 1H), 2.80–2.70 (m, 0H), 2.53 (ddd, J = 17.6, 8.7, 6.2 Hz, 1H). ¹³**C NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 207.7, 137.3, 133.1, 128.2, 128.0, 127.7, 125.8, 118.3, 48.9, 37.2, 27.9, 16.9. **MS:** calculated for C₁₃H₁₃O (M + H⁺), 185.0966; found, 185.0958.

1-(Prop-2-yn-1-yl)-tetralone (49E)



The adduct was obtained following the general procedure. Yellow oil. Yield: 70% (645 mg, 3.5 mmol). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.39–7.23 (m, 4H), 3.64 (m, 1H), 3.16–3.06 (m, 1H), 3.01–2.78 (m, 1H), 2.73–2.50 (m, 1H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 209.9, 137.3, 135.3,

127.8, 127.2, 127.2, 127.1, 81.7, 70.4, 51.5, 37.8, 27.9, 19.4. **MS:** calculated for $C_{13}H_{13}O(M + H^+)$, 185.0966; found, 185.0958.

1-Benzyl-6-chlorotetralone (49F)



The adduct was obtained following the general procedure. Yellow oil. Yield: 56% (758 mg, 2.8 mmol). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.20–7.15 (m, 3H), 7.15–7.10 (m, 2H), 6.87 (dd, *J* = 6.4, 3.1 Hz, 2H), 6.82 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 3.70 (t, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 3.22–3.16

(m, 2H), 2.83–2.74 (m, 1H), 2.62–2.54 (m, 1H), 2.53–2.40 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 211.4, 138.6, 137.7, 134.9, 132.5, 130.0, 129.3, 128.2, 127.7, 126.7, 126.6, 54.5, 39.2, 37.9, 27.0. **MS:** calculated for C₁₇H₁₉OCl (M + H⁺), 271.0890; found, 271.0895.

6-Methoxy-1-(3-methylbut-2-en-1-yl)-tetralone (49G)



The adduct was obtained following the general procedure. Yellow oil. Yield: 46% (561 mg, 2.3 mmol). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.86–6.65 (m, 2H), 5.04 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.36 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 1H), 3.17–3.02 (m, 1H), 3.02–2.87 (m, 1H), 2.67–2.40 (m, 4H), 1.63 (s, 3H), 1.45 (s, 3H). ¹³C

NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 212.5, 158.2, 137.7, 134.0, 129.1, 120.5, 113.1, 112.1, 55.2, 52.9, 37.7, 30.8, 28.0, 25.6, 17.6. **MS:** calculated for C₁₅H₁₉O₂ (M + H⁺), 231.1385; found, 231.1372.

1-Benzyl-7-methoxytetralone (49H)



The adduct was obtained following the general procedure. Yellow oil. Yield: 60% (799 mg, 3.0 mmol). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.25–7.10 (m, 4H), 7.05 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 6.92 (dd, J = 6.6, 2.9 Hz, 2H), 6.73 (dd, J = 8.3, 2.6 Hz, 1H),

6.40 (d, *J* = 2.6 Hz, 1H), 3.66 (s, 3H), 3.29–3.08 (m, 2H), 2.87–2.73 (m, 1H), 2.69–2.35 (m, 3H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 212.2, 158.2, 138.1, 137.5, 129.4, 128.8, 128.6,

128.1, 126.4, 113.6, 112.7, 55.2, 39.1, 38.5, 26.4. **MS** (ESI, m/z): calculated for $C_{18}H_{19}O_2$ (M + H⁺), 167.1385; found, 167.1392.

5.4.13. Preparation of chroman-3-ones 53 and 65

8-Methoxychroman-3-one (53)

The title compound was synthesised according to the following synthetic sequence as described in the literature:²⁷⁵



1st step: *o*-Vanilin (1.5 mL, 10 mmol, 1 equiv.), acrylonitrile (3.28 mL, 50 mmol, 5 equiv.) and DABCO (247 mg, 2.2 mmol, 0.22 equiv.) were stirred for 24 h at 90 °C and the course of the reaction was followed by TLC on silica (hex:CH₂Cl₂ 70:30). After the aldehyde disappeared. the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude mixture was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (30 mL) and washed with a saturated solution of NaHCO₃ and brine. The organic layers were dried with Na₂SO₄ and concentrated under reduced pressure, affording the crude nitrile, which was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane/EtOAc 80:20). Yield: 67% (1.0 g, 6.7 mmol). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.17 (t, *J* = 1.3 Hz, 1H), 6.94 (d, *J* = 1.3 Hz, 1H), 6.92 (s, 1H), 6.74 (dd, *J* = 5.1, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 4.87 (d, *J* = 1.4 Hz, 2H), 3.88 (s, 3H).

 2^{nd} step: An aqueous solution of NaOH (10%, 23 mL) was added to the 2*H*-chromenecarbonitrile above obtained (1.0 g, 10 mmol, 1 equiv.). The reaction mixture was heated to 100 °C for 3 h. The course of the reaction was followed by TLC on silica (hex:CH₂Cl₂ 1:1) and after completion, the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and an aqueous solution of HCl (3 N) was carefully added dropwise until pH 3 was reached. The product precipitated as a pale yellow solid, which was filtered,

²⁷⁵ D. Pressnitz, C. S. Fuchs, J. H. Sattler, T. Knaus, P. Macheroux, F. G. Mutti, W. Kroutil. ACS Catal. 2013, 3, 555–559.

recrystallized from MeOH and dried over night over CaCl₂ in a dessicator. Yield: 77% (1.4 g, 7.7 mmol).

 3^{rd} step: The 2*H*-chromenecarboxylic acid obtained in the previous step (5 mmol, 1 equiv.) was suspended in CH₂Cl₂ (11.5 mL). After addition of Et₃N (0.9 mL, 6.5 mmol, 1.3 equiv.), a homogeneous solution was obtained. Then, a solution of $(PhO)_2P(O)N_3$ (1.19 mL, 5.5 mmol, 1.1 equiv.) in toluene (5 mL) was added dropwise to the reaction mixture over a period of 15 minutes. Afterwards, the solution was heated to 50 °C for 1.5 h. Another aliquot of toluene (11.5 mL) was added and the solution was heated subsequently to 85 °C for 2.5 h. The quantitative formation of the isocyanate intermediate was followed by TLC on silica (hexane/CH₂Cl₂ 20:80). Finally, the reaction mixture was cooled down and an aqueous solution of HCl (6 N, 50 mL) was added. The biphasic system was heated under reflux for 16 h. The course of the reaction was controlled by TLC on silica gel (hexane/CH₂Cl₂ 20:80). Then, the layers were separated; the organic phase was washed with a saturated solution of NaHCO₃ and brine, dried with Na₂SO₄ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The product was purified by column purification on silica gel (hexane/EtOAc 90:10). Pale yellow solid. m. p. = 81–82 °C. Yield: 48% (430 mg, 2.4 mmol). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.02 -6.91 (m, 1H), 6.81 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.68 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 4.41 (s, 2H), 3.86 (s, 3H), 3.56 (s, 2H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 207.1, 149.1, 143.4, 123.2, 122.5, 120.3, 110.7, 72.9, 55.8, 40.5. **MS:** calculated for $C_{10}H_{11}O_3$ (M + H⁺), 179.0708; found, 179.0708.

4-(2-Methylprop-1-en-1-yl)chroman-3-one (65)



The same procedure employed for the synthesis of *rac* 1-substituted β -tetralones (Section 5.4.12) was used starting from the commercially available 5,7,8,9-tetrahydro-6*H*-benzo[7]annulen-6-one (740 mg, 5 mmol), obtaining compound **65** as a yellow oil. Yield: 30% (360 mg, 1.5 mmol). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.30 – 7.17 (m, 4H), 7.07 – 6.87 (m, 4H), 6.77 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 4.51 (d, *J* = 17.8 Hz, 1H), 4.35 (d, *J* = 17.8 Hz, 1H), 3.80 – 3.69 (m, 1H), 3.25 (dd, *J* = 13.5, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 3.09 (dd, *J* = 13.5, 8.7 Hz, 1H).¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 209.1, 154.4, 137.4, 129.2, 129.1, 128.6, 128.3, 126.6, 123.0, 117.6, 72.7, 52.6, 38.0.

5.4.14. Preparation of seven-membered cycloalkanones 55 and 66

5,7,8,9-tetrahydro-6H-benzo[7]annulen-6-one (55)

Known cycloalkanone 55^{276} was prepared according to the following synthetic sequence:



1st **step:**²⁷⁷ Under argon atmosphere, potassium *tert*-butoxide (1.35 g, 12 mmol, 1.2 equiv.) was added to a mixture of methyltripheylphosphonium bromide (4.29 g, 12 mmol, 1.2 equiv.) in anhydrous Et₂O. The resulting mixture was stirred at rt for 1 h and a solution of α-tetralone (1.3 mL, 10 mmol, 1 equiv.) in Et₂O (5 mL) was slowly added. The resulting mixture was allowed to stir overnight, passed through a pad of celite and washed with Et₂O. The solvent was eliminated under reduced pressure and the crude was diluted with hexane before passing it again trough a pad of celite. The alkene was purified by flash column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 99:1). The spectroscopic data were identical to those reported in the literature. Yield: Cuantitative. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.72 – 7.60 (m, 1H), 7.18 – 7.13 (m, 2H), 7.13 – 7.06 (m, 1H), 5.62 – 5.27 (m, 1H), 4.95 (d, *J* = 1.3 Hz, 1H), 2.85 (t, *J* = 6.3 Hz, 2H).

2nd step:²⁷⁶ Iodobenzene (1.3 mL, 11.5 mmol, 1.15 equiv.), *meta*-chloroperbenzoic acid (70%) (2.6 g, 11.5 mmol, 1.15 equiv.) and *para*-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate (2.2 g, 11.5 mmol, 1.15 equiv.) were stirred together in hexafluoroisopropanol (HFIP)/CH₂Cl₂ (1:6) (50 mL) for 30 min before the previously obtained alkene was added at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to rt and stirred for 1 h. The reaction was quenched with NaHCO₃, the phases were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (50 mL), dried over MgSO₄ and the solvent was eliminated under reduced pressure. The desired ketone **55** was purified by flash column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 92:8). Yellow oil. Yield: 90% (1.44 g, 9.0 mmol). The spectroscopic data were identical to those reported in the literature. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.24–7.12 (m, 4H), 3.73 (s, 2H), 3.10–2.80 (m, 2H), 2.57 (t, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 2.00 (dt, *J* = 13.2, 6.6 Hz, 2H).

²⁷⁶ A. Ahmad, P. Scarassati, N. Jalalian, B. Olofsson, L. F. Silva Jr., *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2013**, *54*, 5818–5820.

²⁷⁷ D. H. T. Phan, K. G. M. Kou, V. M. Dong, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2010**, 132, 16354–16355.





The same procedure employed for the synthesis of *rac* 1-substituted β -tetralones (Section 5.4.12) was used starting compound **55** (801 mg, 5 mmol), affording new product **66** as a yellow oil. Yield: 64% (803 mg, 3.2 mmol). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.31 – 7.06 (m, 8H), 7.02 (d, *J* = 6.3 Hz, 1H), 4.15 (dd, *J* = 7.9, 6.1 Hz, 1H), 3.65 (dd, *J* = 13.4, 7.9 Hz, 1H), 3.08 – 2.93 (m, 2H), 2.79 (ddd, *J* = 14.1, 9.3, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 2.67 – 2.53 (m, 1H), 2.53 – 2.43 (m, 1H), 2.15 – 2.00 (m, 1H), 1.98 – 1.80 (m, 1H).¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 209.88 , 140.08 , 139.71 , 136.56 , 129.35 , 129.21 , 128.15 , 127.85 , 127.27 , 126.88 , 126.05 , 58.67 , 43.70 , 35.02 , 32.72 , 27.88 . MS: calculated for C₁₈H₁₉O₅ (M + H⁺), 251.1436; found, 251.1436.

5.4.15. α -Alkylation of β -tetralones and related ketones with nitroalkenes

5.4.15.1.General procedure



The selected catalyst (2 mol% for $R^2 = H$; 10 mol% for $R^2 \neq H$) was added to a solution of the corresponding cycloalkanone **49**, **53** or **55** (0.3 mmol, 1 equiv.) and nitroalkene **32** (0.36 mmol, 1,2 equiv.) in CH₂Cl₂ at the specified temperature. The resulting solution was stirred at the specified temperature until the reaction was completed (monitored by TLC hexane/EtOAc 80:20) and the mixture was directly submitted to a flash column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 90:10), affording the corresponding α -alkylation adducts as essentially pure compound.

The racemic version of the reaction was performed following the asymmetric reaction procedure except the reaction was conducted at room temperature and TEA (20 mol%) was used as the catalyst.

5.4.15.2. Characterization data for compounds 51, 54 and 56

(S)-1-((S)-2-Nitro-1-phenylethyl)-3,4-dihydronaphthalen-2(1H)-one (51Aa)



The adduct was obtained as a 4:1 mixture of diastereomers by using β -tetralone **49A** (40 µL, 0.3 mmol, 1 equiv.), nitrostyrene **32a** (54 mg, 0.36 mmol, 1.2 equiv.) and catalyst **C7**, and carrying out the reaction at -20 °C. Foam. Yield: 83% (75 mg, 0.251 mmol). Major

diastereomer (*S*,*S*): ¹**H** NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.17 (dq, *J* = 22.2, 7.5 Hz, 6H), 6.84 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 6.71 (d, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 4.94 (dd, *J* = 13.4, 7.7 Hz, 1H), 4.72 (dd, *J* = 13.4, 7.7 Hz, 1H), 4.27 (q, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 3.65 (d, *J* = 6.3 Hz, 1H), 2.68–2.45 (m, 2H), 2.45–2.27 (m, 1H), 2.14–1.99 (m, 1H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 211.4, 138.1, 135.8, 132.9, 129.6, 128.6, 128.2, 128.1, 127.6, 126.6, 77.2, 56.6, 46.3, 38.5, 26.3. Minor diastereomer (*R*,*S*): ¹**H** NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.17 (dq, *J* = 22.2, 7.5 Hz, 6H), 7.03 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 6.76 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 5.30 (dd, *J* = 13.8, 7.3 Hz, 1H), 5.03 (dd, *J* = 13.8, 8.0 Hz, 1H), 4.01–3.87 (m, 1H), 3.76 (d, *J* = 4.5 Hz, 1H), 2.68–2.45 (m, 2H), 2.45–2.25 (m, 1H), 1.81–1.63 (m, 1H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 211.8, 137.3, 135.3, 132.9, 129.1, 128.6, 128.2, 127.9, 127.5, 127.3, 77.6, 54.8, 49.8, 39.4, 26.1. MS: calculated for C₁₈H₁₈NO₃ (M + H⁺), 296.1287; found, 296.1284. The enantiomeric purity was determined by chiral HPLC analysis (Daicel Chiralpak IA, hexane/isopropanol, 95:5; flux = 1 mL/min; retention times of major diastereomer: 10.4 min (minor), 23.3 min (major)).

(S)-1-((S)-1-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-2-nitroethyl)-3,4-dihydronaphthalen-2(1*H*)-one (51Ab)



The adduct was obtained as a 8:1 mixture of diastereomers by using β -tetralone **49A** (40 μ L, 0.3 mmol, 1 equiv.), *p*-methoxynitrostyrene (**32b**) (65 mg, 0.36 mmol, 1.2 equiv.) and catalyst **C7**, and carrying out the reaction at –20 °C. Foam. Yield: 85% (83 mg, 0.255 mmol). Major diastereomer (*S*,*S*): ¹**H**

NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.34–7.08 (m, 3H), 6.87 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 6.71–6.57 (m, 4H), 4.89 (dd, J = 13.2, 7.8 Hz, 1H), 4.66 (dd, J = 13.2, 7.8 Hz, 1H), 4.31–4.16 (m, 1H), 3.74 (s, 3H), 2.65–2.45 (m, 2H), 2.42–2.22 (m, 1H), 2.14–1.97 (m, 1H). ¹³**C NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 211.6, 159.3, 138.2, 133.0, 129.6, 129.3, 128.2, 127.6, 127.5, 126.6, 113.9, 77.9, 56.5, 55.1, 45.8, 38.6, 26.3. Minor diastereomer (*R*,*S*): ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.34–7.08 (m, 3H), 7.04 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.71–6.57 (m, 4H), 5.32–5.21 (m, 1H), 4.99 (dd, J = 13.6, 8.1 Hz, 1H), 3.93–3.84 (m, 1H), 3.74 (s, 3H), 2.65–2.45 (m, 1H), 2.42–2.22 (m, 2H), 1.88–1.73 (m, 1H). ¹³**C NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 211.6,

159.3, 138.2, 133.0, 129.6, 129.3, 128.2, 127.6, 127.5, 126.6, 113.9, 77.9, 56.5, 55.1, 45.8, 38.6, 26.3. **MS:** calculated for $C_{19}H_{20}NO_4$ (M + H⁺), 348.1212; found, 348.1207. The enantiomeric purity was determined by chiral HPLC analysis (Daicel Chiralpak IA, hexane/isopropanol, 90:10; flux = 1 mL/min; retention times of major diastereomer: 11.3 min (major), 12.6 min (minor). Retention times of minor diastereomer: 9.5 min (minor), 34.2 min (major)).

(S)-1-((S)-1-(4-Bromophenyl)-2-nitroethyl)-3,4-dihydronaphthalen-2(1*H*)-one (51Ac)



The adduct was obtained as a 4:1 mixture of diastereomers by using β -tetralone **49A** (40 μ L, 0.3 mmol, 1 equiv.), *p*-bromonitrostyrene (**32c**) (82 mg, 0.36 mmol, 1.2 equiv.) and catalyst **C7**, and carrying out the reaction at -20 °C. Foam. Yield: 88% (99 mg, 0.264 mmol). Major diastereomer (*S*,*S*): ¹**H** NMR

(300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.35–7.11 (m, 5H), 6.84 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 6.62 (dd, J = 15.2, 8.4 Hz, 2H), 4.90 (dd, J = 13.4, 7.3 Hz, 1H), 4.70 (dd, J = 13.4, 8.2 Hz, 1H), 4.26 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 3.64 (d, J = 6.3 Hz, 1H), 2.72–2.50 (m, 2H), 2.45–2.27 (m, 1H), 2.22–2.08 (m, 1H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 211.0, 138.0, 134.9, 132.6, 131.7, 129.9, 129.5, 128.3, 127.9, 127.4, 122.3, 77.5, 56.3, 45.7, 38.5, 26.5. Minor diastereomer (*R*,*S*): ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.35–7.11 (m, 5H), 7.06 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.62 (dd, J = 15.2, 8.4 Hz, 2H), 5.25 (dd, J = 13.8, 7.0 Hz, 1H), 5.03 (dd, J = 13.8, 8.3 Hz, 1H), 3.97–3.87 (m, 1H), 3.76 (d, J = 4.5 Hz, 1H), 2.72–2.50 (m, 1H), 2.45–2.27 (m, 2H), 1.92–1.78 (m, 1H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 211.5, 137.1, 135.0, 134.4, 131.7, 129.8, 129.0, 128.1, 127.7, 126.8, 122.3, 77.5, 54.6, 49.2, 39.5, 26.4. MS: calculated for C₁₈H₁₇NO₃Br (M + H⁺), 396.0211; found, 396.0217. The enantiomeric purity was determined by chiral HPLC analysis (Daicel Chiralpak IA, hexane/isopropanol, 90:10; flux = 1 mL/min; retention times of major diastereomer: 14.9 min (minor), 17.9 min (major). Retention times of minor diastereomer: 9.0 min (minor), 31.0 min (major)).

(S)-1-((S)-1-(3-Methoxyphenyl)-2-nitroethyl)-3,4-dihydronaphthalen-2(1*H*)-one (51Ad)



Yield: 80% (78 mg, 0.240 mmol). Major diastereomer (S,S): ¹H NMR (300 MHz,

CDCl₃) δ 7.39–7.34 (m, 1H), 7.32–7.05 (m, 3H), 6.91 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 6.84–6.76 (m, 1H), 6.38 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 6.20 (dt, J = 4.2, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 4.96 (dd, J = 13.5, 7.9 Hz, 1H), 4.74 (dd, J = 13.4, 7.5 Hz, 1H), 4.34–4.23 (m, 1H), 3.68 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 1H), 3.63 (s, 3H), 2.72–2.47 (m, 2H), 2.47–2.28 (m, 1H), 2.24–2.10 (m, 1H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 211.4, 159.5, 138.2, 137.2, 133.0, 129.6, 129.6, 128.1, 127.7, 127.3, 120.6, 114.0, 113.5, 77.1, 56.5, 54.7, 46.4, 38.6, 26.3. Minor diastereomer (R,S): ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.39–7.34 (m, 1H), 7.32–7.05 (m, 4H), 6.84–6.76 (m, 1H), 6.45 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 6.20 (dt, J = 4.2, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 5.32 (dd, J = 13.9, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 5.05 (dd, J = 13.9, 7.9 Hz, 1H), 3.95 (td, J = 7.6, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 3.79 (d, J = 4.4 Hz, 1H), 3.61 (s, 3H), 2.72–2.47 (m, 1H), 2.47–2.28 (m, 2H), 1.82 (ddd, J = 16.6, 11.2, 6.5 Hz, 2H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 211.8, 159.4, 137.4, 136.7, 135.4, 129.6, 129.1, 127.9, 127.5, 126.6, 119.9, 114.2, 113.8, 77.5, 55.0, 55.0, 49.9, 39.5, 26.2. MS: calculated for $C_{19}H_{20}NO_4$ (M + H⁺), 348.1212; found, 348.1207. The enantiomeric purity was determined by chiral HPLC analysis (Daicel Chiralpak IA, hexane/isopropanol, 95:5; flux = 1 mL/min; retention times of major diastereomer: 14.0 min (major), 15.2 min (minor). Retention times of minor diastereomer: 12.7 min (minor), 20.0 min (major)).

(S)-1-((S)-1-(2-Chlorophenyl)-2-nitroethyl)-3,4-dihydronaphthalen-2(1*H*)-one (51Ae)



The adduct was obtained as single isomer by using β -tetralone **49A** (40 µL, 0.3 mmol, 1 equiv.), *o*-chloronitrostyrene (**32e**) (66 mg, 0.36 mmol, 1.2 equiv.) and catalyst **C7**, and carrying out the reaction at – 20 °C. Foam. Yield: 86% (85 mg, 0.258 mmol). $[\alpha]_D^{23} = -36.0^\circ$ (*c* = 2.00, 99% *ee*, *dr* = >20:1, CH₂Cl₂). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ

7.28–7.08 (m, 7H), 6.99–6.91 (m, 1H), 6.52 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 5.05–4.86 (m, 2H), 4.77–4.63 (m, 1H), 3.73 (d, J = 9.5 Hz, 1H), 3.32 (ddd, J = 16.8, 11.7, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 2.98 (ddd, J = 15.8, 6.6, 2.9 Hz, 1H), 2.77 (ddd, J = 18.5, 5.5, 2.9 Hz, 1H), 2.49 (ddd, J = 18.5, 11.7, 6.7 Hz, 1H). ¹³**C** NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 211.1, 137.4, 134.6, 133.1, 130.0, 129.0, 128.9, 128.8, 127.9, 127.6, 127.0, 126.5, 76.4, 57.3, 40.5, 37.7, 27.1. MS: calculated for C₁₈H₁₆NO₃ClNa (M + Na⁺), 352.0716; found, 352.0721. The enantiomeric purity was determined by chiral HPLC analysis (Daicel Chiralpak IC, hexane/isopropanol, 90:10; flux = 1 mL/min; retention times: 17.5 min (major), 22.1 min (minor)).

(S)-1-((S)-1-(Furan-2-yl)-2-nitroethyl)-3,4-dihydronaphthalen-2(1H)-one (51Ah)

The adduct was obtained as a 1:1 mixture of diastereomers by using β-tetralone **49A** (40 μL, 0.3 mmol, 1 equiv.), 2-(2-nitrovinyl)furan NO₂ (32h) (50 mg, 0.36 mmol, 1.2 equiv.) and catalyst C7, and carrying 0 51Ah out the reaction at -20 °C. Foam. Yield: 84% (72 mg, 0.252 mmol). dr = 1:1. Diastereomer (S,S): ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.37–7.07 (m, 4H), 6.84 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.25–6.22 (m, 1H), 5.81 (d, J = 3.2 Hz, 1H), 5.07 (dd, J = 13.9, 6.6 Hz, 1H), 4.91 (dd, J = 17.2, 7.5 Hz, 1H), 4.33 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 3.80–3.72 (m, 1H), 2.90–2.23 (m, 4H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 210.5, 149.1, 142.3, 137.0, 134.7, 128.8, 128.0, 127.5, 127.2, 110.9, 108.8, 76.2, 53.3, 42.8, 39.0, 26.6. Diastereomer (R,S): ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.37–7.07 (m, 5H), 6.20 (dd, J = 3.2, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 5.85 (d, J = 3.1 Hz, 1H), 4.86 (dd, J = 17.0, 7.5 Hz, 1H), 4.76 (dd, J = 13.7, 8.0 Hz, 1H), 4.14 (ddd, J = 8.3, 6.8, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 3.80–3.72 (m, 1H), 2.90–2.23 (m, 4H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 210.8, 149.3, 142.3, 137.4, 133.0, 129.0, 128.1, 127.7, 126.7, 110.6, 108.7, 75.5, 55.2, 39.8, 38.3, 26.6. **MS**: calculated for $C_{15}H_{15}NO_4Na$ (M + Na⁺), 308.0899; found, 308.0896. The enantiomeric purity was determined by chiral HPLC analisys (Daicel Chiralpak IC, hexane/isopropanol, 90:10; flux = 1 mL/min; retention times of diastereomer A: 9.7 min (minor), 10.7 min (major). Retention times of diastereomer B: 13.9 min (minor), 14.3 min (major)).

(S)-1-((R)-1-Nitropentan-2-yl)-3,4-dihydronaphthalen-2(1H)-one (51Ai)

The adduct was obtained as a 5:1 mixture of diastereomers by using NO₂ H, β -tetralone **49A** (40 μ L, 0.3 mmol, 1 equiv.), 1-nitropent-1-ene (**32i**) 51Ai (41 mg, 0.36 mmol, 1.2 equiv.) and catalyst C7, and carrying out the reaction at room temperature. Foam. Yield: 81% (88 mg, 0.243 mmol). Major diastereomer (S,R): ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.31–7.17 (m, 4H), 4.82 (dd, J = 13.5, 7.8 Hz, 1H), 4.53–4.47 (m, 1H), 3.49 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 3.32–3.10 (m, 1H), 3.08-2.84 (m, 2H), 2.72-2.42 (m, 2H), 1.58-1.15 (m, 4H), 0.84 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 211.3, 137.4, 134.4, 128.6, 128.3, 127.5, 127.1, 76.8, 55.0, 38.3, 38.1, 32.2, 27.7, 19.6, 13.8. Minor diastereomer (R,R): ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.31–7.17 (m, 4H), 4.58–4.23 (m, 2H), 3.57 (d, J = 5.2 Hz, 1H), 3.32–3.10 (m, 1H), 3.08-2.84 (m, 2H), 2.72-2.42 (m, 2H), 1.58-1.15 (m, 4H), 0.84 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 211.3, 136.7, 135.5, 128.6, 128.3, 127.3, 127.2, 77.5, 53.3, 41.4, 39.1, 30.5, 27.9, 20.1, 13.8. MS: calculated for C₁₅H₁₉NO₃Na (M + H⁺), 284.1263; found, 284.1270. The enantiomeric purity was determined by chiral HPLC analysis (Daicel Chiralpak IC, hexane/isopropanol, 95:5; flux = 1 mL/min; retention times of major diastereomer: 21.9 min (major), 22.9 min (minor). Retention times of minor diastereomer: 12.7 min (minor), 14.1 min (major)).

(R)-1-((R)-1-Nitro-4-phenylbutan-2-yl)-3,4-dihydronaphthalen-2(1H)-one (51Aj)



The adduct was obtained as a 5:1 mixture of diastereomers by using β -tetralone **49A** (40 μ L, 0.3 mmol, 1 equiv.), (4-nitrobut-3-en-1-yl)benzene (**32j**) (62 mg, 0.36 mmol, 1.2 equiv.) and catalyst **C7**, and carrying out the reaction at room temperature. Foam. Yield:

83% (81 mg, 0.249 mmol). Major diastereomer (S,R): ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.34–7.01 (m, 9H), 4.94–4.43 (m, 2H), 3.55 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 3.24–3.05 (m, 1H), 3.04–2.87 (m, 2H), 2.74–2.42 (m, 4H), 2.03–1.87 (m, 1H), 1.81–1.65 (m, 1H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 210.6, 140.1, 136.9, 133.9, 128.2, 128.1, 127.9, 127.7, 127.2, 126.8, 125.8, 76.4, 54.5, 37.6, 37.5, 32.4, 31.3, 27.3. Minor diastereomer (R,R): ¹H **NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.34–7.01 (m, 9H), 4.68–4.43 (m, 2H), 3.61 (d, J = 5.6 Hz, 1H), 3.24–3.05 (m, 1H), 3.04–2.87 (m, 2H), 2.74–2.42 (m, 4H), 2.03–1.87 (m, 1H), 1.81–1.65 (m, 1H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 210.7, 139.2, 136.3, 133.7, 128.2, 128.1, 127.9, 127.8, 127.0, 126.8, 125.8, 77.0, 53.2, 39.8, 38.4, 32.6, 29.6, 27.5. MS: calculated for $C_{20}H_{21}NO_3Na$ (M + Na⁺), 346.1419; found, 346.1418. The enantiomeric purity was determined by chiral HPLC analysis (Daicel Chiralpak IC. hexane/isopropanol, 95:5; flux = 1 mL/min; retention times of major diastereomer: 30.1 min (major), 34.5 min (minor). Retention times of minor diastereomer: 15.9 min (minor), 18.4 min (major)).

(S)-1-((R)-1-Cyclohexyl-2-nitroethyl)-3,4-dihydronaphthalen-2(1H)-one (51Ak)

The adduct was obtained as a 4:1 mixture of diastereomers by using β-tetralone 49A (40 μL, 0.3 mmol, 1 equiv.), (2 -NO₂ H, nitrovinyl)cyclohexane (32k) (41 mg, 0.36 mmol, 1.2 equiv.) and 0 catalyst C7, and carrying out the reaction at room temperature. 51Ak Foam. Yield: 80% (72 mg, 0.240 mmol). Major diastereomer (S,R): ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.40–7.00 (m, 4H), 4.73–4.26 (m, 2H), 3.55 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 3.36 (ddd, J = 16.1, 10.8, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 3.11-2.91 (m, 1H), 2.83-2.72 (m, 1H), 2.72-2.58 (m, 2H), 21H), 2.59–2.36 (m, 1H), 1.85–1.44 (m, 6H), 1.35–0.77 (m, 5H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) § 212.2, 137.5, 134.9, 129.0, 128.4, 127.6, 127.1, 74.7, 55.2, 42.5, 38.0, 37.7, 31.8, 27.7, 27.5, 26.3, 26.2. Minor diastereomer (*R*,*R*): ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.40–7.00 (m, 4H), 4.73–4.26 (m, 2H), 3.55 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 3.36 (m, 1H), 3.11– 2.91 (m, 1H), 2.83–2.72 (m, 1H), 2.72–2.58 (m, 1H), 2.59–2.36 (m, 1H), 1.85–1.44 (m, 6H), 1.35–0.77 (m, 5H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 211.3, 137.0, 135.1, 129.1, 128.4, 127.7, 127.2, 75.5, 54.1, 44.9, 38.3, 38.0, 31.9, 28.6, 27.6, 26.5, 26.0. MS: calculated for $C_{18}H_{23}NO_3Na$ (M + Na⁺), 324.1576; found, 324.1576. The enantiomeric purity was determined by chiral HPLC analysis (Daicel Chiralpak IC. hexane/isopropanol, 90:10; flux = 1 mL/min; retention times of major diastereomer:

30.7 min (major), 32.6 min (minor). Retention times of minor diastereomer: 15.1 min (minor), 15.9 min (major)).

(S)-1-Benzyl-1-((R)-2-nitro-1-phenylethyl)-3,4-dihydronaphthalen-2(1H)-one (51Ba)



The adduct was obtained by using 1-benzyl- β -tetralone **49B** (70 mg, 0.3 mmol, 1 equiv.), nitrostyrene (**32a**) (54 mg, 0.36 mmol, 1.2 equiv.) and catalyst **C28** and carrying out the reaction at -10 °C. Foam. Yield: 82% (95 mg, 0.261 mmol). Carrying out the reaction in

the presence of 10 mol% catalyst **C13** at -20 °C, compound **51Ba** with essentially identical *dr* and *ee* was obtained in 80% isolated yield. $[\alpha]_D^{23} = -105.7^\circ$ (c = 2,00, 92% *ee*, dr = >20:1, CH₂Cl₂). ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.61 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 7.54–7.42 (m, 1H), 7.36–7.24 (m, 1H), 7.20–7.09 (m, 1H), 7.08–7.00 (m, 5H), 6.93 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.84–6.74 (m, 2H), 6.53 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 5.10 (dd, J = 12.4, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 4.85 (t, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 4.63 (dd, J = 11.7, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 3.60 (d, J = 12.5 Hz, 1H), 3.35 (d, J = 12.6 Hz, 1H), 2.16–2.04 (m, 2H), 1.28–1.12 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 212.0, 139.9, 135.6, 135.2, 134.9, 130.3, 129.5, 128.7, 128.0, 128.0, 127.8, 127.7, 127.6, 126.7, 126.4, 76.5, 60.4, 52.1, 43.3, 40.3, 26.1. MS: calculated for C₂₅H₂₃NO₃Na (M + Na⁺), 408.1576; found, 408.1587. The enantiomeric purity was determined by chiral HPLC analysis (Daicel Chiralpak IA, hexane/isopropanol, 95:5; flux = 1 mL/min; retention times: 11.8 min (minor), 14.7 min (major)).

(S)-1-Benzyl-1-((R)-1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-nitroethyl)-3,4-dihydronaphthalen-2(1H)-one (51Bb)



The adduct was obtained by using 1-benzyl- β -tetralone **49B** (70 mg, 0.3 mmol, 1 equiv.), *p*-methoxynitrostyrene (**32b**) (65 mg, 0.36 mmol, 1.2 equiv.) and catalyst **C28**, and carrying out the reaction at -10 °C for 24 h. Foam. Yield: 79% (98 mg, 0.24 mmol). $[\alpha]_D^{23}$ = -114.7° (*c* = 2.00, 91% *ee*, *dr* = >20:1,

CH₂Cl₂). ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.59 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.47 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.30 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.09–7.00 (m, 3H), 6.95 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 6.82–6.76 (m, 2H), 6.58 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 6.43 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 5.06 (dd, J = 12.1, 4.1 Hz, 1H), 4.78 (t, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 4.57 (dd, J = 11.9, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 3.70 (s, 3H), 3.57 (d, J = 12.5 Hz, 1H), 3.33 (d, J = 12.6 Hz, 1H), 2.22–2.01 (m, 2H), 1.42–1.07 (m, 2H). ¹³C **NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 212.2, 159.1, 139.9, 135.7, 135.0, 130.6, 130.3, 128.7, 128.0, 127.7, 127.6, 127.0, 126.6, 126.4, 113.3, 76.8, 60.4, 55.1, 51.5, 43.3, 40.3, 26.2. MS: calculated for $C_{26}H_{25}NO_4Na$ (M + Na⁺), 438.1681; found, 438.1687. The enantiomeric purity was determined by chiral HPLC analysis (Daicel Chiralpak IC.

hexane/isopropanol, 90:10; flux = 1 mL/min; retention times: 21.4 min (major), 29.3 min (minor)).

(S)-1-Benzyl-1-((R)-1-(4-bromophenyl)-2-nitroethyl)-3,4-dihydronaphthalen-2(1H)-one (51Bc)



The adduct was obtained by using 1-benzyl- β -tetralone **49B** (70 mg, 0.3 mmol, 1 equiv.), *p*-bromonitrostyrene (**32c**) (82 mg, 0.36 mmol, 1.2 equiv.) and catalyst **C28**, and carrying out the reaction at -10 °C for 24 h. Foam. Yield: 85% (118 mg, 0.26 mmol). $[\alpha]_D^{23} = -138.9^\circ$ (c = 2.00, 91% ee, dr = >20:1, CH₂Cl₂). ¹H

NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.59 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.48 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.32 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.19 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.12–7.00 (m, 3H), 6.97 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.77 (d, J = 9.3 Hz, 2H), 6.40 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 5.08 (dd, J = 12.3, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 4.79 (t, J = 12.2 Hz, 1H), 4.60 (dd, J = 12.0, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 3.58 (d, J = 12.5 Hz, 1H), 3.30 (d, J = 12.5 Hz, 1H), 2.25–2.13 (m, 1H), 2.13–2.02 (m, 1H), 1.39–1.11 (m, 1H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 211.9, 139.7, 135.3, 134.7, 134.3, 131.1, 130.2, 128.9, 128.1, 127.8, 127.6, 126.8, 126.6, 122.1, 76.4, 60.1, 51.5, 43.5, 40.2, 26.3. MS: calculated for C₂₅H₂₂NO₃BrNa (M + Na⁺), 486.0681; found, 486.0682. The enantiomeric purity was determined by chiral HPLC analysis (Daicel Chiralpak IC, hexane/isopropanol, 90:10; flux = 1 mL/min; retention times: 12.5 min (major), 19.4 min (minor)).

(S)-1-Benzyl-1-((R)-1-(3-methoxyphenyl)-2-nitroethyl)-3,4-dihydronaphthalen-2(1H)-one (51Bd)



The adduct was obtained by using 1-benzyl- β -tetralone **49B** (70 mg, 0.3 mmol, 1 equiv.), *m*-methoxynitrostyrene (**32d**) (66 mg, 0.36 mmol, 1.2 equiv.) and catalyst **C28** carrying out the reaction at -20 °C. Foam. Yield: 80% (100 mg, 0.258 mmol).

Carrying out the reaction in the presence of 10 mol% catalyst **C13**, compound **51Bd** with essentially identical *dr* and *ee* was obtained in 86% isolated yield. $[a]_D^{23} = -78.5^{\circ}$ (*c* = 2.00, 91% *ee*, *dr* = >20:1, CH₂Cl₂). ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.60 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.48 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.30 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.09–7.00 (m, 3H), 7.00–6.92 (m, 2H), 6.79 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 6.68 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 6.18 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 5.98 (s, 1H), 5.10 (dd, *J* = 12.4, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 4.83 (t, *J* = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 4.61 (dd, *J* = 11.6, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 3.59 (d, *J* = 12.5 Hz, 1H), 3.53 (s, 3H), 3.34 (d, *J* = 12.5 Hz, 1H), 2.26–2.00 (m, 2H), 1.37–1.09 (m, 2H). ¹³C **NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 212.0, 158.91 , 140.0, 136.7, 135.6, 135.1, 130.3, 129.0, 128.8, 128.0, 127.7, 127.6, 126.7, 126.4, 122.2, 114.2, 76.5, 60.4, 54.9, 52.0, 43.3, 40.3, 26.2. **MS:** calculated for C₂₆H₂₆NO₄ (M + H⁺), 416.1862; found, 416.1870. The enantiomeric purity was determined by chiral

HPLC analysis (Daicel Chiralpak IA, hexane/isopropanol, 90:10; flux = 1 mL/min; retention times: 11.0 min (minor), 15.4 min (major)).

(S) - 1 - Benzyl - 1 - ((R) - 1 - (furan - 2 - yl) - 2 - nitroethyl) - 3, 4 - dihydronaphthalen - 2(1H) - one (51Bh)



The adduct was obtained by using 1-benzyl- β -tetralone **49B** (70 mg, 0.3 mmol, 1 equiv.), 2-(2-nitrovinyl)furan (**32h**) (50 mg, 0.36 mmol, 1.2 equiv.) and catalyst **C28**, and carrying out the reaction at -20 °C.

^{51Bh} Foam. Yield: 60% (68 mg, 0.216 mmol). Carrying out the reaction in the presence of 10 mol% catalyst **C13**, compound **51Bh** with essentially identical *dr* and *ee* was obtained in 72% isolated yield. $[a]_D^{23} = -9.0^{\circ}$ (c = 2.00, 90% *ee*, dr = >20:1, CH₂Cl₂). ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.54 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.41 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.26 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.20 (s, 1H), 7.03 (d, J = 24.3 Hz, 4H), 6.71 (dd, J = 7.8, 1.6 Hz, 2H), 6.23 (dd, J = 3.3, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 6.01–5.93 (m, 1H), 4.89–4.74 (m, 2H), 4.65 (dd, J = 10.0, 5.3 Hz, 1H), 3.48–3.31 (m, 2H), 2.27 (ddt, J = 16.4, 10.8, 5.2 Hz, 2H), 2.11–1.96 (m, 1H), 1.66–1.52 (m, 1H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 211.5, 149.7, 142.3, 138.6, 135.6, 130.3, 128.6, 128.0, 127.5, 127.4, 126.7, 110.6, 109.7, 74.8, 59.3, 46.4, 43.5, 40.5, 26.7. MS: calculated for C₂₃H₂₂NO₄ (M + H⁺), 376.1549; found, 376.1555. The enantiomeric purity was determined by chiral HPLC analysis (Daicel Chiralpak IC, hexane/isopropanol, 90:10; flux = 1 mL/min; retention times: 17.0 min (major), 24.4 min (minor)).

(S)-1-Benzyl-1-((R)-1-nitropentan-2-yl)-3,4-dihydronaphthalen-2(1H)-one (51Bi)

The adduct was obtained by using 1-benzyl-\beta-tetralone 49B (70 mg, NO₂ Bn. 0.3 mmol, 1 equiv.), 1-nitropent-1-ene (32i) (42 mg, 0.36 mmol, 1.2 equiv.) and catalyst C28, and carrying out the reaction at room 51Bi temperature for 48 h. Colourless oil. Yield: 72% (77 mg, 0.22 mmol). $[\alpha]_D^{23} = +53.7^\circ$ (c = 2.00, 88% *ee*, dr = >20:1, CH₂Cl₂). ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.55 (d, J = 7.3Hz, 1H), 7.39 (t, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.28–7.21 (m, 1H), 7.19–7.13 (m, 1H), 7.09–6.98 (m, 3H), 6.62 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 4.57 (dd, J = 13.0, 4.1 Hz, 1H), 4.19 (dd, J = 13.0, 8.3 Hz, 1H), 3.48 (d, J = 12.6 Hz, 1H), 3.31 (dq, J = 8.1, 4.1 Hz, 1H), 3.13 (d, J = 12.6 Hz, 1H), 2.87–2.72 (m, 1H), 2.40–2.23 (m, 2H), 1.88–1.64 (m, 2H), 1.34–1.10 (m, 3H), 0.87 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 212.1, 137.6, 136.9, 135.7, 129.8, 129.0, 128.3, 127.4, 127.0, 126.9, 126.6, 126.1, 76.2, 58.8, 54.5, 45.9, 43.8, 40.1, 32.2, 27.1, 20.9, 13.7. **MS:** calculated for $C_{22}H_{26}NO_3$ (M + H⁺), 352.1913; found, 352.1928. The enantiomeric purity was determined by chiral HPLC analysis (Daicel Chiralpak IA, hexane/isopropanol, 98:2; flux = 1 mL/min; retention times: 9.4 min (minor), 12.1 min (major)).
(S)-1-((S)-1-(2-Chlorophenyl)-2-nitroethyl)-1-(3-methylbut-2-en-1-yl)-3,4dihydronaphthalen-2(1*H*)-one (51Ce)



The adduct was obtained by using 1-(3-methylbut-2-en-1-yl)- β -tetralone **49C** (64 mg, 0.3 mmol, 1 equiv.), *o*-chloronitrostyrene (**32e**) (66 mg, 0.36 mmol, 1.2 equiv.) and catalyst **C13**, and carrying out the reaction at -20 °C. Foam. Yield: 80% (95 mg, 0.240 mmol). Carrying out the reaction in the presence of 10

mol% catalyst **C28**, compound **51Ce** with essentially identical *dr* and *ee* was obtained in 77% isolated yield. $[a]_D^{23} = -59.7^{\circ}$ (c = 2.00, 99% *ee*, dr = >20:1, CH₂Cl₂). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.35–7.26 (m, 4H), 7.23–7.09 (m, 3H), 6.90 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 4.99 (dd, J = 11.3, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 4.91–4.70 (m, 2H), 4.58 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 2.94–2.73 (m, 3H), 2.70–2.53 (m, 2H), 2.49–2.33 (m, 1H), 1.51 (s, 6H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 211.8, 138.4, 137.0, 136.2, 135.4, 133.5, 130.0, 129.5, 129.0, 128.4, 127.4, 127.0, 126.3, 117.8, 76.6, 57.5, 46.1, 40.5, 34.8, 27.7, 25.7, 18.0. MS: calculated for C₂₃H₂₅NO₃Cl (M + H⁺), 398.1523; found, 398.1536. The enantiomeric purity was determined by chiral HPLC analysis (Daicel Chiralpak IC, hexane/isopropanol, 90:10; flux = 1 mL/min; retention times: 19.1 min (major), 25.8 min (minor)).

2-((*S*)-1-((*R*)-2-Nitro-1-(m-tolyl)ethyl)-2-oxo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-1-yl)acetonitrile (51Df)



The adduct was obtained by using 1-cyanomethyl- β -tetralone **49D** (56 mg, 0.3 mmol, 1 equiv.), *o*-chloronitrostyrene (**32f**) (58 mg, 0.36 mmol, 1.2 equiv.) and catalyst **C28**, and carrying out the reaction at -10 °C for 24 h. Foam. Yield: 78% (82 mg, 0.23 mmol). $[\alpha]_D^{23} = -31.5^\circ$ (c = 2.00, 91% ee, dr= >20:1, CH₂Cl₂). ¹H NMR

(300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.41–7.28 (m, 2H), 7.23–7.17 (m, 1H), 7.10–6.97 (m, 3H), 6.49– 6.37 (m, 1H), 6.30 (s, 1H), 4.80–4.60 (m, 2H), 4.18 (dd, *J* = 9.1, 6.1 Hz, 1H), 3.19 (d, *J* = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 2.94 (d, *J* = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 2.85–2.73 (m, 1H), 2.72–2.56 (m, 2H), 2.16 (s, 3H), 2.22–2.08 (m, 1H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 209.9, 138.3, 138.2, 133.2, 130.1, 129.4, 129.1, 128.6, 128.4, 127.3, 126.8, 126.2, 116.4, 75.4, 56.6, 50.0, 38.9, 26.7, 24.2, 21.2. MS: calculated for C₁₈H₁₈NO₃ (M + H⁺), 371.1372; found, 371.1372. The enantiomeric purity was determined by chiral HPLC analysis (Daicel Chiralpak IA, hexane/isopropanol, 90:10; flux = 1 mL/min; retention times: 13.4 min (minor), 15.4 min (major)).

(S)-1-Benzyl-6-chloro-1-((R)-2-nitro-1-(p-tolyl)ethyl)-3,4-dihydronaphthalen-2(1H)-one (51Fg)



The adduct was obtained by using 1-benzyl-6-chloro β -tetralone **49F** (81 mg, 0.3 mmol, 1 equiv.), *p*-bromonitrostyrene (**32g**) (58 mg, 0.36 mmol, 1.2 equiv.) and catalyst **C13**, and carrying out the reaction at -20 °C. Foam. Yield: 84% (109 mg, 0.252 mmsl) [m] 23 = 1628 (= 2.00, 000) = h = 2.201, CH Cl

^{51Fg} mmol). $[\alpha]_D^{23} = -162^\circ$ (c = 2.00, 90% ee, dr = >20:1, CH₂Cl₂). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.53 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.45 (dd, J = 8.5, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 7.20–6.99 (m, 4H), 6.96 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 6.89 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 6.77 (dd, J = 7.3, 2.2 Hz, 2H), 6.44 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 5.04 (dd, J = 12.2, 4.3 Hz, 1H), 4.77 (t, J = 11.9Hz, 1H), 4.56 (dd, J = 11.7, 4.3 Hz, 1H), 3.50 (d, J = 12.7 Hz, 1H), 3.35 (d, J = 12.7Hz, 1H), 2.23 (s, 3H), 2.14–2.01 (m, 2H), 1.30–1.10 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 211.9, 142.4, 138.4, 136.0, 134.4, 134.0, 132.4, 130.9, 129.9, 129.8, 129.5, 129.2, 128.7, 127.4, 127.2, 77.0, 60.9, 52.3, 43.8, 40.5, 26.6, 21.6. MS: calculated for C₂₆H₂₄NO₃ClNa (M + Na⁺), 456.1342; found, 456.1346. The enantiomeric purity was determined by chiral HPLC analysis (Daicel Chiralpak IC, hexane/isopropanol, 90:10; flux = 1 mL/min; retention times: 12.8 min (minor), 17.9 min (major)).

(S)-6-Methoxy-1-(3-methylbut-2-en-1-yl)-1-((R)-2-nitro-1-phenylethyl)-3,4dihydronaphthalen-2(1*H*)-one (51Ga)



The adduct was obtained by using 6-methoxy-1-cyanomethyl- β -tetralone **49G** (72 mg, 0.3 mmol, 1 equiv.), nitrostyrene (**32a**) (54 mg, 0.36 mmol, 1.2 equiv.) and catalyst **C13**, and carrying out the reaction at -20 °C for 16 h. Foam. Yield: 79% (86 mg, 0.237 mmol). $[\alpha]_D^{23} = -81.9^\circ$ (c = 2.00, 98% ee, dr =

>20:1, CH₂Cl₂). ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.19 (dd, J = 8.0, 4.2 Hz, 2H), 7.09 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 6.93 (dd, J = 8.6, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 6.64–6.48 (m, 3H), 5.02 (dd, J = 12.6, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 4.81–4.66 (m, 2H), 4.40 (dd, J = 11.4, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 3.89 (s, 3H), 3.00 (dd, J = 13.7, 9.2 Hz, 1H), 2.77 (dd, J = 13.5, 4.9 Hz, 1H), 2.47–2.30 (m, 2H), 2.18–2.02 (m, 1H), 1.62 (s, 3H), 1.56 (s, 3H), 1.36–1.16 (m, 1H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 212.8, 158.6, 141.2, 135.5, 129.5, 128.3, 128.0, 127.8, 127.1, 117.9, 113.5, 112.3, 76.4, 58.2, 55.3, 51.4, 40.4, 35.7, 26.5, 25.8, 18.0. MS: calculated for C₂₄H₂₈NO₄ (M + H⁺), 394.2023; found, 394.2018. The enantiomeric purity was determined by chiral HPLC analysis (Daicel Chiralpak IC, hexane/isopropanol, 98:2; flux = 1 mL/min; retention times: 12.7 min (minor), 18.3 min (major)).

(S)-1-Benzyl-7-methoxy-1-((R)-1-nitro-4-phenylbutan-2-yl)-3,4dihydronaphthalen-2(1H)-one (51Hj)



The adduct was obtained by using 1-benzyl-7-methoxy-βtetralone **49H** (80 mg, 0.3 mmol, 1 equiv.), (4-nitrobut-3-en-1yl)benzene (**32j**) (64 mg, 0.36 mmol, 1.2 equiv.) and catalyst **C28**, and carrying out the reaction at 0 °C. Foam. Yield: 81%

(108 mg, 0.243 mmol). Carrying out the reaction in the presence of 10 mol% catalyst **C13**, compound **51Hj** with essentially identical *dr* and *ee* was obtained in 81% isolated yield. $[a]_D^{23} = +45.6^\circ$ (c = 2.00, 89% *ee*, dr = >20:1, CH₂Cl₂). ¹H **NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.32–7.25 (m, 2H), 7.23–7.10 (m, 3H), 7.10–6.98 (m, 4H), 6.94 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.80 (dd, J = 8.4, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 6.63 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 4.59 (dd, J = 12.8, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 4.28 (dd, J = 12.8, 8.5 Hz, 1H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 3.42 (d, J = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 3.34–3.23 (m, 1H), 2.95 (d, J = 12.7 Hz, 1H), 2.74–2.45 (m, 3H), 2.39–2.08 (m, 3H), 1.95–1.78 (m, 1H), 1.67–1.50 (m, 1H). ¹³C **NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 212.3, 158.5, 141.0, 138.5, 135.9, 131.5, 130.2, 129.6, 128.5, 128.4, 127.9, 126.6, 126.2, 113.0, 112.9, 78.1, 59.0, 55.4, 45.8, 44.0, 40.8, 34.4, 32.2, 26.7. **MS:** calculated for C₂₈H₃₀NO₄ (M + H⁺), 444.2175; found, 444.2176. The enantiomeric purity was determined by chiral HPLC analysis (Daicel Chiralpak IC, hexane/isopropanol, 90:10; flux = 1 mL/min; retention times: 11.4 min (major), 18.7 min (minor)).

(S)-8-Methoxy-4-((S)-2-nitro-1-phenylethyl)chroman-3-one (54)



The adduct was obtained by using **53** (54 mg, 0.3 mmol, 1 equiv.), nitrostyrene (**32a**) (56 mg, 0.36 mmol, 1.2 equiv.) and catalyst **C7**, and carrying out the reaction at -40 °C. Foam. 1:1 mixture of diastereomers. Combined yield: 89% (88 mg, 0.267 mmol). Diastereomer (*S*,*S*): ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.30–7.16 (m, 5H), 6.88–6.77 (m, 2H), 6.21 (dd, *J* = 6.4, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 4.88 (dd, *J* =

13.7, 7.1 Hz, 1H), 4.76 (dd, J = 13.7, 7.9 Hz, 1H), 4.44 (dd, J = 100.2, 18.2 Hz, 2H), 4.11 (q, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 3.68 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 206.8, 149.4, 144.0, 135.5, 128.7, 128.3, 127.8, 123.1, 121.4, 111.7, 76.9, 73.0, 56.0, 54.8, 45.5. Diastereomer (*R*,*R*): ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.30–7.25 (m, 3H), 7.11–6.89 (m, 3H), 6.74 (dd, J = 7.7, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 6.24–6.19 (m, 1H), 4.92– 4.72 (m, 2H), 4.44–4.15 (m, 2H), 4.16–4.03 (m, 1H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 3.80 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 208.5, 149.5, 143.8, 135.1, 128.9, 128.5, 127.7, 123.7, 120.9, 111.9, 77.1, 72.9, 56.0, 53.6, 47.2. MS: calculated for C₁₈H₁₇NO₅Na (M + Na⁺), 250.1004; found, 250.0992. The enantiomeric purity was determined by chiral HPLC analysis (Daicel Chiralpak IC, hexane/isopropanol, 80:20; flux = 1 mL/min; retention times of major diastereomer: 29.0 min (major), 35.5 min (minor). Retention times of minor diastereomer: 17.3 min (major), 26.1 min (minor)).

(S)-5-((S)-2-Nitro-1-phenylethyl)-5,7,8,9-tetrahydro-6*H*-benzo[7]annulen-6-one (56a)



The adduct was obtained by using **55** (48 mg, 0.3 mmol, 1 equiv.), nitrostyrene (**32a**) (54 mg, 0.36 mmol, 1.2 equiv.) and 10 mol% catalyst **C7**, and carrying out the reaction at room temperature for 24 h. Foam. 4:1 mixture of diastereomers. Combined yield: 82% (76 mg, 0.25 mmol). Major diastereomer (*S*,*S*): ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ

7.31–7.23 (m, 4H), 7.23–7.09 (m, 4H), 6.89–6.82 (m, 1H), 4.86 (dd, J = 12.8, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 4.70 (dd, J = 12.9, 9.5 Hz, 1H), 4.39 (td, J = 9.3, 4.9 Hz, 1H), 4.28 (d, J = 9.3 Hz, 1H), 2.81 (dt, J = 14.6, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 2.69–2.56 (m, 1H), 2.50–2.39 (m, 2H), 1.97–1.85 (m, 2H). ¹³**C NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 208.0, 141.0, 137.0, 133.7, 130.4, 129.1, 128.8, 128.4, 128.3, 128.0, 127.6, 78.8, 61.1, 44.4, 43.2, 32.9, 28.2. Minor diastereomer (*R*,*R*): ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.31–7.23 (m, 4H), 7.23–7.09 (m, 4H), 7.06–6.99 (m, 1H), 4.88–4.80 (m, 1H), 4.76–4.64 (m, 1H), 4.45–4.34 (m, 1H), 4.15 (d, J = 5.7 Hz, 1H), 2.88–2.76 (m, 1H), 2.69–2.56 (m, 1H), 2.50–2.39 (m, 2H), 1.97–1.85 (m, 2H). ¹³**C NMR** (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 209.4, 141.0, 136.2, 133.2, 129.9, 129.8, 128.7, 128.3, 128.2, 127.7, 126.9, 78.5, 58.9, 42.3, 41.0, 31.4, 27.8. **MS:** calculated for C₁₉H₁₉NO₃Na (M + Na⁺), 332.1263; found, 332.1265. The enantiomeric purity was determined by chiral HPLC analysis (Daicel Chiralpak IA, hexane/isopropanol, 95:5; flux = 1 mL/min; retention times of major diastereomer: 14.3 min (major), 23.2 min (minor). Retention times of minor diastereomer: 10.6 min (major), 10.8 min (minor)).

(S)-5-((S)-1-(2-Chlorophenyl)-2-nitroethyl)-5,7,8,9-tetrahydro-6Hbenzo[7]annulen-6-one (56e)



The general procedure was applied starting from **55** (48 mg, 0.3 mmol, 1 equiv.), *o*-chloronitrostyrene (**32e**) (66 mg, 0.36 mmol, 1.2 equiv.) and 10 mol% catalyst **C7**, and carrying out the reaction at room temperature for 32 h. Foam. Single diastereomer.Yield: 75% (77 mg, 0.23 mmol). $[\alpha]_D^{23} = -23.1^\circ$ (c = 2.00, 99% ee, dr = >20:1,

CH₂Cl₂). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.39 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.18–7.11 (m, 1H), 7.11–6.94 (m, 5H), 6.81 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 5.00–4.76 (m, 4H), 3.25 (ddd, J = 13.7, 10.4, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 3.06–2.87 (m, 2H), 2.68 (ddd, J = 12.3, 5.2, 3.4 Hz, 1H), 2.33–2.18 (m, 1H), 1.95–1.77 (m, 1H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 208.1, 141.5, 134.3, 134.1, 132.8, 130.2, 129.5, 128.9, 128.6, 127.6, 127.3, 127.2, 77.5, 54.7, 45.4, 38.7, 33.4, 28.7. MS: calculated for C₁₉H₁₈ClNO₃Na (M + Na⁺), 366.0873; found, 366.0869. The enantiomeric purity was determined by chiral HPLC analysis (Daicel Chiralpak IA,

hexane/isopropanol, 90:10; flux = 1 mL/min; retention times: 7.2 min (major), 8.4 min (minor)).

5.4.16. Base-promoted epimerization of β -tetralone 51Aa



Triethylamine (2.5 μ L, 0.02 mmol, 20 mol%) was added over a solution of the **51Aa/51Aa'** mixture previously obtained (dr = 3:1, 99% ee; 30 mg, 0.1 mmol, 1 equiv.) in CH₂Cl₂ (0.3 mL) and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h. The resulting product was purified by flash column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 90:10). Yield: 95% (29 mg, 0.95 mmol). The enantiomeric and diastereomeric purity were determined by chiral HPLC analysis.

Chromatograms before and after epimerization:

Retention Time	% Area	Retention Time	% Area
10,360	0,09	10,635	0,08
12,557	77,43	13,174	49,36
13,777	0,07	14,200	0,30
23,292	22,41	23,162	50,26





5.4.17. Elaboration of adducts 51

5.4.17.1.Synthesis of tricyclic compounds 57/57' and 58/58'



Nitrostyrene (60 mg, 0.4 mmol, 2 equiv.) was added to a solution of adduct **51Aa** (59 mg, 0.2 mmol, 1 equiv.) and catalyst **C7** (12.6 mg, 0.02 mmol, 10 mol %) in dichloromethane (0.6 mL) and the resulting mixture was stirred for 48 h at room temperature. Then the mixture was directly submitted to a flash column chromatography (hexane/CH₂Cl₂ 75:25) from which essentially pure compounds **57** and **57**' were obtained separately as white solids.

(4b*S*,5*S*,6*R*,7*R*,8*S*,8a*R*)-6,8-Dinitro-5,7-diphenyl-5,6,7,8,9,10hexahydrophenanthren-8a(4b*H*)-ol (57)



The product was obtained following the general procedure from adduct **51Aa** and nitrostyrene (**32a**). Major isomer. Diastereomeric ratio 3:1. Yield: 45% (40 mg, 0.09 mmol). Structure confirmed by x-ray analysis. $[\alpha]_D^{23} = -38.3^\circ$ (c = 0.30, 99% *ee*, acetone). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, acetone- d_6) δ 7.75 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.46–7.28 (m,

6H), 7.25–7.16 (m, 2H), 7.15–7.04 (m, 2H), 6.98 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 6.86 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 6.75 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 6.19 (d, J = 12.5 Hz, 1H), 5.56 (t, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 4.73 (dd, J = 12.5, 4.7 Hz, 1H), 4.44 (dd, J = 12.3, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 4.14 (d, J = 12.3 Hz, 1H), 4.06 (s, 1H), 3.25–3.10 (m, 1H), 3.10–2.99 (m, 1H), 2.99–2.87 (m, 1H), 1.80 (ddd,

J = 14.4, 10.3, 7.3 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, acetone- d_6) δ 140.4, 140.1, 137.6, 137.1, 130.9, 130.3, 130.0, 129.6, 129.4, 128.8, 128.6, 128.1, 127.3, 126.7, 97.5, 92.5, 74.9, 44.5, 43.4, 43.0, 36.7, 29.2, 27.6. MS: calculated for C₂₅H₂₆NO₃ (M - H⁺), 443.1612; found, 443.1604.

(4b*S*,5*S*,6*R*,7*R*,8*S*,8a*S*)-6,8-Dinitro-5,7-diphenyl-5,6,7,8,9,10hexahydrophenanthren-8a(4b*H*)-ol (57')

The product was obtained following the general procedure from adduct **51Aa** and nitrostyrene (**32a**). Minor isomer. Diastereomeric ratio 3:1. Yield: 15% (39 mg, 0.061 mmol). Relative stereochemistry determined by NOESY. $[\alpha]_D^{23} = +111.1^\circ$ (c = 0.60, 99% ee, acetone).

¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, Acetone- d_6) δ 7.41–7.28 (m, 5H), 7.24–7.18 (m, 3H), 7.18–7.09 (m, 2H), 7.04 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.00–6.92 (m, 1H), 6.66 (dd, J = 6.0, 1.4 Hz, 2H), 6.37 (d, J = 13.1 Hz, 1H), 5.27 (t, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 4.86 (s, 1H), 4.61 (dd, J = 13.1, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 4.22 (d, J = 14.0 Hz, 1H), 3.82 (dd, J = 12.8, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 3.14–3.00 (m, 2H), 2.96–2.83 (m, 1H), 2.04–1.94 (m, 1H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, acetone- d_6) δ 137.6, 136.1, 135.9, 135.3, 133.2, 129.9, 129.6, 129.3, 129.1, 128.8, 128.1, 127.2, 125.1, 95.3, 93.3, 74.3, 50.9, 47.6, 46.0, 25.5, 24.1. **MS:** calculated for C₂₅H₂₆NO₃ (M - H⁺), 443.1612; found, 443.1604.

The same procedure as above was followed starting from **51Ae** (66 mg, 0.2 mmol, 1 equiv.) and nitroalkene **32i** (46 mg, 0.4 mmol, 2 equiv.).



(4b*S*,5*S*,6*R*,7*R*,8*S*,8a*R*)-5-(2-Chlorophenyl)-6,8-dinitro-7-propyl-5,6,7,8,9,10hexahydrophenanthren-8a(4b*H*)-ol (58)



 NO_2

Ph

The product was obtained following the general procedure from adduct **51Ae** and 1-nitro-1-propene (**32i**). Diastereomeric ratio 2:1. Major isomer: Yield: 44% (40 mg, 0.088 mmol). $[\alpha]_D^{23} = -16.1^\circ$ (*c* = 0.20, 99% *ee*, acetone). ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.52 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.27–7.05 (m, 4H), 7.01–6.88 (m, 2H), 6.48 (d, *J* =

7.8 Hz, 1H), 5.50 (d, J = 12.1 Hz, 1H), 5.44 (t, J = 4.6 Hz, 1H), 4.54 (dd, J = 12.2, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 3.86 (d, J = 12.1 Hz, 1H), 3.20–3.05 (m, 1H), 2.98–2.82 (m, 1H), 2.69–2.53 (m, 2H), 1.75–1.56 (m, 2H), 1.42–1.25 (m, 4H), 0.92 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (75

MHz, CDCl₃) δ 138.2, 134.6, 134.5, 133.5, 130.3, 129.3, 128.0, 127.6, 127.2, 127.2, 126.9, 126.4, 93.5, 88.6, 73.2, 41.6, 38.6, 37.4, 36.2, 30.2, 26.5, 19.7, 13.6. **MS**: calculated for C₂₃H₂₅N₂O₅ClNa (M + Na⁺), 467.1350; found, 467.1357.

5.4.17.2. Synthesis of spirocyclic compound 60

(4*S*,5*S*)-5-(2-chlorophenyl)-4-nitro-5-((*S*)-2-oxo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-1-yl)pentanal (59)



Catalyst **C7** (13 mg, 0.02 mmol, 10 mol%) was added to a solution of acrolein (27 µL, 0.4 mmol, 2 equiv.) and the previously obtained nitroketone **51Ae** (67 mg, 0.2 mmol, 1 equiv.) in CH₂Cl₂ (0.4 mL) and the resulting solution was stirred at room temperature for 8 h. The product was purified by flash column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 90:10) obtaining the addition compound as a sole diastereomer. Foam. Yield: 80% (62 mg, 0.16 mmol). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.64 (s, 1H), 7.18 (ddd, J = 40.5, 22.9, 9.2 Hz, 6H), 6.87 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 6.48 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 5.12 (dt, J = 13.3, 6.7 Hz, 1H), 4.85 (dd, J = 11.0, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 3.54 (d, J = 5.7 Hz, 1H), 2.69–2.56 (m, 2H), 2.52–2.42 (m, 2H), 2.39–2.23 (m, 2H), 1.85 (q, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H).

(1*R*,2*S*,3*R*,6*R*)-2-(2-Chlorophenyl)-6-hydroxy-3-nitro-3',4'-dihydro-2'*H*-spiro[cyclohexane-1,1'-naphthalen]-2'-one (60)

METHOD A:



Pyrrolidine (1.1 mg, 0.015 mmol, 10 mol%) was added to a solution of adduct **59** (48 mg, 0.15 mmol, 1 equiv.) in dichloromethane (0.3 mL) and the resulting solution was stirred at room temperature for 3 h. Then the mixture was directly submitted to a flash column chromatography (CH₂Cl₂/EtOAc 95:5) from which the spirocyclic compound **60** was obtained essentially pure. White solid. Yield: 78% (68 mg, 0.117 mmol).

METHOD B:



Pyrrolidine (1.4 mg, 0.02 mmol, 10 mol%) was added to a solution of acrolein (27 μL, 0.4 mmol, 2 equiv.) and adduct **51Ae** (67 mg, 0.2 mmol, 1 equiv.) in dichloromethane (0.4 mL) and the resulting solution was stirred at room temperature for 3 h. Then the mixture was directly submitted to a flash column chromatography (CH₂Cl₂/EtOAc 95:5) from which the spirocyclic compound **60** was obtained essentially pure as a white solid. Yield: 83% (64 mg, 0.166 mmol). Structure confirmed by X-ray analysis. [α]_D²³= +184.0° (*c* = 2.00, 99% *ee*, CH₂Cl₂). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.84 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.38–7.30 (m, 2H), 7.19 (t, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.13–7.05 (m, 1H), 7.05–6.95 (m, 2H), 6.92 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.02 (td, *J* = 11.4, 4.4 Hz, 1H), 4.70–4.58 (m, 1H), 4.26 (d, *J* = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 2.66–2.46 (m, 4H), 2.40–2.21 (m, 1H), 2.15–2.06 (m, 1H), 1.96–1.82 (m, 1H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 214.6, 139.9, 136.9, 136.5, 134.1, 130.7, 130.2, 129.8, 128.7, 128.5, 128.0, 127.5, 87.5, 77.0, 61.7, 50.7, 44.3, 30.5, 28.0, 27.8. MS: calculated for C₂₁H₂₀NO₄ClNa (M + Na⁺), 408.0977; found, 408.0979.

5.4.17.3. Synthesis of tricyclic compounds 61-64

(1*S*,3a*R*,9b*S*)-1-(2-Chlorophenyl)-2,3,3a,4,5,9b-hexahydro-1*H*-benzo[*e*]indole (61)²⁷⁸



Nitroalkane **51Aa** (132 mg, 0.40 mmol, 1 equiv.) was suspended on MeOH (4 mL) and Pd (10% wt. on charcoal) (26 mg, 20% weight) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred under hydrogen atmosphere (45 atm) at 80 °C for 24 h. The resulting mixture was filtered over a 2 cm path of celite and the solvent was eliminated under reduced pressure. The product was purified by flash column chromatography (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 90:10) to afford the amine as a colourless oil. Diastereomeric ratio 10:1. Yield: 80% (90 mg, 0.32 mmol). $[\alpha]_D^{23}$ = +6.6° (*c* = 2.00, 99% *ee*, *dr* = 10:1, CH₂Cl₂). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.60 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.37–7.30 (m, 2H), 7.25–7.17 (m, 1H), 7.13–7.05 (m, 2H), 6.99–6.91 (m, 1H), 6.63 (s, 1H), 6.58 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 4.08 (q, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 3.83 (q, *J* = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 3.70–3.59 (m, 2H), 3.31–3.19 (m, 1H), 3.04–2.91 (m, 1H), 2.82–2.70 (m, 1H), 2.15–1.99 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 138.2, 135.9, 134.6, 129.8, 128.7, 128.4, 128.2, 128.0, 127.4, 126.3, 126.1, 57.7, 51.4, 49.4, 48.5, 26.8, 26.7. MS: calculated for C₁₈H₁₉NCl (M + H⁺), 284.1206; found, 284.1224.

((1*S*,3a*R*,9b*S*)-1-(2-Chlorophenyl)-1,2,3a,4,5,9b-hexahydro-3*H*-benzo[*e*]indol-3-yl)(3,5-dinitrophenyl)methanone (64)



N-(3-Dimethylaminopropyl)-N'-ethylcarbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC) (38 mg, 0.2 mmol, 1.3 equiv.) and 1-hydroxybenzotriazole hydrate (HOBT) (27 mg, 0.18 mmol, 1.2 equiv.) were added to a stirred solution of 3,5-dinitrobenzoic acid (32 mg, 0.15

²⁷⁸ X. Dong, H. Teng, M. Tong, H. Huan, H. Tao, C. Wang, *Chem. Commun.* **2010**, *46*, 6840–6842.

mmol, 1 equiv.), diisopropylethylamine (80 µL, 0.23 mmol, 3 equiv.) and amine 61 (0.15 mmol, 1 equiv.) in CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL). The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature overnight and the reaction was quenched adding water (2 mL). The aqueous phase was extracted with dichloromethane (3 x 2 mL) and the combined organic phases were washed with brine (5 mL), dried over MgSO₄ and the solvent was eliminated under reduced pressure. The product was purified by flash column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 85:15) to afford the amide as a white solid. Diastereomeric ratio 5:1. Yield: 76% (73 mg, 0.152 mmol). Relative stereochemistry of major isomer determined by NOESY. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.06 (s, 1H), 8.71 (s, 2H), 7.43–7.29 (m, 3H), 7.29–7.21 (m, 1H), 7.20–7.11 (m, 2H), 7.08–6.96 (m, 1H), 6.75 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 4.93-4.80 (m, 1H), 4.13-4.04 (m, 1H), 3.92 (dd, J = 10.2, 8.0)Hz, 1H), 3.79 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 3.57 (dd, J = 10.4, 7.3 Hz, 1H), 2.98–2.87 (m, 2H), 2.45–2.33 (m, 1H), 2.27–2.12 (m, 1H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 164.7, 148.4, 140.0, 137.1, 136.3, 135.0, 134.4, 130.3, 128.8, 128.7, 128.4, 128.1, 127.5, 127.3, 126.8, 126.3, 119.8, 57.6, 54.4, 48.3, 45.9, 27.2, 24.8. MS: calculated for C₂₅H₂₁NO₅Cl $(M + H^{+})$, 478.1170; found, 478.1172.

(1R,9bS)-9b-Benzyl-1-phenyl-2,4,5,9b-tetrahydro-1H-benzo[e]indole (62)²⁷⁹



To a suspension of nitroalkane **51Ba** (0.25 mmol) on EtOH (2.5 mL) zinc powder (235 mg, 3.75 mmol, 15 equiv.) was added and the suspension was stirred at 40 °C for 10 min. Then aqueous HCl (4 M) (1.6 mL) was added and the reaction mixture was allowed to stir for 24 h making sure the pH was betw*een* 0 and 1. The reaction mixture was concentrated under vacuum, aqueous NaOH (3 M) (5 mL) were added and the reaction mixture was stirred for further 5 min. CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) was then added and the suspension was filtrated on celite. The aqueous and organic layer were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over MgSO₄ and the solvent was eliminated under reduced pressure. The product was purified by flash column chromatography (EtOAc) to afford the imine **62** as a white solid. Yield: 88% (75 mg, 0.22 mmol). **[a]**_D²³= -27.9° (*c* = 1.00, CH₂Cl₂). ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.47–7.33 (m, 5H), 7.24–7.14 (m,

²⁷⁹ X. Dong, H. Teng, M. Tong, H. Huan, H. Tao, C. Wang, Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 6840–6842.

2H), 7.11–6.95 (m, 5H), 6.62–6.55 (m, 2H), 4.31 (ddd, J = 14.7, 11.2, 3.4 Hz, 1H), 4.16 (dd, J = 15.0, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 3.50 (dd, J = 11.2, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 3.15 (d, J = 13.0 Hz, 1H), 2.90–2.63 (m, 3H), 2.42 (ddd, J = 12.9, 5.3, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 1.40 (dddd, J = 12.8, 10.1, 7.1, 3.0 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 183.3, 140.6, 137.4, 136.9, 136.2, 130.7, 129.9, 128.8, 128.1, 128.0, 127.9, 127.4, 126.5, 126.4, 126.1, 61.9, 58.2, 57.6, 41.4, 31.4, 29.8. MS: calculated for C₂₅H₂₄NO₃ (M + H⁺), 338.1909; found, 338.1919.

(1R,9bS)-9b-Benzyl-1-phenyl-2,4,5,9b-tetrahydro-1H-benzo[e]indole N-oxide (63)



Nitroalkane **51Ba** (0.3 mmol) was disolved in EtOAc (0.45 mL) and Pd (10% wt. on charcoal) (24 mg, 20% weight). The reaction mixture was stirred under hydrogen atmosphere (1 atm) at room temperature for 3 days. The resulting mixture was filtered over a 2 cm path of celite and the solvent was eliminated under reduced pressure. The product was purified by flash column chromatography (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 80:20) to afford the imine N-oxide **63** as a white solid. Yield: 80% (85 mg, 0.24 mmol). $[\alpha]_D^{23} = -24.6^{\circ}$ (c = 0.10, CH₂Cl₂). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.52–7.40 (m, 5H), 7.24–7.15 (m, 2H), 7.09 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 7.01 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H), 6.54 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 4.79 (td, J = 13.1, 3.2 Hz, 1H), 4.21 (dd, J = 13.2, 8.6 Hz, 1H), 3.83 (dd, J = 12.0, 8.5 Hz, 1H), 3.32 (d, J = 12.9 Hz, 1H), 3.07 (ddd, J = 15.3, 6.9, 3.2 Hz, 1H), 2.84 (d, J = 12.9 Hz, 1H), 2.72 (ddd, J = 17.1, 10.6, 7.0 Hz, 1H), 2.32 (ddd, J = 16.4, 7.3, 3.1 Hz, 1H), 1.38 (dddd, J = 14.3, 10.1, 7.4, 2.6 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 151.3, 139.2, 136.3, 136.1, 134.2, 130.5, 129.9, 128.9, 128.6, 128.3, 128.0, 127.1, 126.7, 126.4, 65.6, 54.1, 53.1, 43.4, 28.3, 21.3. MS: calculated for C₂₅H₂₄NO (M + H⁺), 354.1858; found, 354.1862.

5.4.18. α-Amination of β-tetralones and related ketones

5.4.18.1.General procedure



Catalyst C27 (4.4 mg, 5 mol%) was added to a solution of the corresponding nucleophile 49, 65 or 66 (0.15 mmol, 1 equiv.) and di-tert-butyl azodicarboxylate (50) (0.30 mmol, 2 equiv.) in CH₂Cl₂ at 0 °C. The resulting solution was stirred at the same temperature until the reaction was completed (monitored by TLC hexane/EtOAc 80:20) and the mixture was directly submitted to a flash column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 90:10), affording the corresponding α -amination adducts as essentially pure compound.

The racemic version of the reaction was performed following the asymmetric reaction procedure except the reaction was conducted at room temperature and TEA (20 mol%) was used as the catalyst.

5.4.18.2. Characterization data for compounds 52 and 67

(S)-1-(1-benzyl-2-oxo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-1-yl)hydrazinedi-tert-Butvl **1,2-dicarboxylate (52B)**

2.97 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 2.65 – 2.38 (m, 2H), 2.31 – 2.09 (m, 1H), 1.54 (s, 9H), 1.30 –



The adduct was obtained by using 1-benzyl-β-tetralone (49B) (35 mg, 0.15 mmol, 1 equiv.), di-tert-butyl azodicarboxylate (69 mg, 0.30 mmol, 2 equiv.) and catalyst C27 (4.4 mg, 5 mol%) and carrying out the reaction at 0 °C. Yellow oil. Yield: 86% (60 mg, 0.129 mmol). $[\alpha]_D^{22} = -296.6^\circ$ (c = 3.00, 98% ee, CH₂Cl₂). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.35 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.36 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.27 – 7.10 (m, 2H), 7.01 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 6.86 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.78 (s, 1H), 6.50 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 3.60 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 1.21 (m, 1H), 1.18 (s, 9H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 214.6, 156.3, 154.5, 139.3, 135.2, 133.8, 130.9, 127.8, 127.2, 127.0, 1269, 82.3, 81.0, 71.1, 46.6, 37.4, 28.3, 27.9, 25.8. **MS:** calculated for C₂₇H₃₄N₂O₅Na (M + Na⁺), 489.2365; found, 489.2374. The enantiomeric purity was determined by chiral HPLC analysis (Daicel Chiralpak IC, hexane/isopropanol, 98:2; flux = 1 mL/min; retention times: 8.9 min (minor), 17.3 min (major)).

di-tert-Butyl (*S*)-1-(1-(3-methylbut-2-en-1-yl)-2-oxo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-1-yl)hydrazine-1,2-dicarboxylate (52C)



The adduct was obtained by using 1-(3-methylbut-2-en-1-yl)-tetralone (**49C**) (30 mg, 0.15 mmol, 1 equiv.), di-*tert*-butyl azodicarboxylate (69 mg, 0.30 mmol, 2 equiv.) and catalyst **C27** (4.4 mg, 5 mol%) and carrying out the reaction at 0 °C. Yellow oil. Yield: 84% (56 mg, 0.126 mmol). $[\alpha]_D^{23} = -32.9^\circ$ (c = 1.50, 90% ee, CH₂Cl₂). ¹H NMR (300

MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.09 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.34 – 7.25 (m, 1H), 7.21 – 7.15 (m, 1H), 7.14 – 7.08 (m, 1H), 6.64 (s, 1H), 4.80 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 1H), 3.23 – 2.96 (m, 2H), 2.77 – 2.65 (m, 3H), 2.52 – 2.40 (m, 1H), 1.59 – 1.43 (m, 15H), 1.30 – 1.18 (m, 9H).¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 212.8, 156.0, 154.8, 135.7, 127.4, 127.2, 127.0, 126.6, 117.2, 82.0, 808, 37.0, 36.9, 28.3, 28.1, 27.9, 27.6, 25.8, 17.5. MS: calculated for C₂₅H₃₆N₂O₅Na (M + Na⁺), 467.2522; found, 467.2529. The enantiomeric purity was determined by chiral HPLC analysis (Daicel Chiralpak ADH, hexane/isopropanol, 90:10; flux = 1 mL/min; retention times: 4.9 min (minor), 11.3 min (major)).

di-tert-Butyl (S)-1-(1-(cyanomethyl)-2-oxo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-1yl)hydrazine-1,2-dicarboxylate (52D)



The adduct was obtained by using 2-(2-oxo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-1-yl)acetonitrile (**49D**) (28 mg, 0.15 mmol, 1 equiv.), di-*tert*-butyl azodicarboxylate (69 mg, 0.30 mmol, 2 equiv.) and catalyst **C27** (4.4 mg, 5 mol%) and carrying out the reaction at 0 °C. Yellow oil. Yield: 84% (52 mg, 0.126 mmol). $[\alpha]_D^{22} = -55.9^\circ$ (c =

2.00, 96% *ee*, CH₂Cl₂). ¹**H** NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.21 – 7.86 (m, 1H), 7.48 – 7.30 (m, 2H), 7.28 – 7.18 (m, 1H), 6.66 (s, 1H), 3.72 – 2.57 (m, 6H), 1.50 (d, *J* = 14.4 Hz, 9H), 1.36 – 1.25 (m, 9H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 207.4, 155.9, 134.6, 129.5, 128.9, 128.4, 127.6, 126.9, 116.0, 83.2, 81.8, 68.8, 36.3, 28.1, 27.9, 27.8, 26.6. MS: calculated for C₂₂H₃₀N₃O₅ (M + H⁺), 416.2185; found, 416.2191. The enantiomeric purity was determined by chiral HPLC analysis (Daicel Chiralpak IA, hexane/isopropanol, 90:10; flux = 1 mL/min; retention times: 11.4 min (minor), 20.6 min (major)).

di-tert-Butyl (S)-1-(2-oxo-1-(prop-2-yn-1-yl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-1yl)hydrazine-1,2-dicarboxylate (52E)

The adduct was obtained by using 1-(Prop-2-yn-1-yl)-tetralone **49E** (28 mg, 0.15 mmol, 1 equiv.), di-*tert*-butyl azodicarboxylate (69 mg, 0.30 mmol, 2 equiv.) and catalyst **C27** (4.4 mg, 5 mol%) and carrying out the reaction at 0 °C. Yellow oil. Yield: 83% (52 mg, 0.125 mmol). [α]_D²³= -48.8° (c = 2.00, 99% *ee*, CH₂Cl₂). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.08 (d, J =7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.37 – 7.23 (m, 1H), 7.26 – 7.10 (m, 2H), 6.64 (s, 1H), 3.29 – 3.16 (m, 2H), 3.02 – 2.73 (m, 3H), 1.97 (s, 1H), 1.52 – 1.46 (m, 9H), 1.28 – 1.19 (m, 9H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 209.0, 155.8, 154.4, 134.3, 127.5, 127.3, 127.1, 82.3, 80.9, 78.7, 72.3, 36.9, 28.8, 28.2, 27.8. **MS:** calculated for C₂₃H₃₀N₂O₅Na (M + Na⁺), 437.0252; found, 437.0251. The enantiomeric purity was determined by chiral HPLC analysis (Daicel Chiralpak ADH, hexane/isopropanol, 90:10; flux = 1 mL/min; retention times: 7.0 min (minor), 14.7 min (major)).

di-tert-Butyl (S)-1-(4-benzyl-3-oxochroman-4-yl)hydrazine-1,2-dicarboxylate (67)

The adduct was obtained by using 4-benzylchroman-3-one 65 (28 mg, NHBoc NBoc Bn. 0.15 mmol, 1 equiv.), di-tert-butyl azodicarboxylate (69 mg, 0.30 mmol, 2 equiv.) and catalyst C27 (4.4 mg, 5 mol%) and carrying out the reaction at -60 °C. Yellow oil. Yield: 89% (63 mg, 0.134 mmol). 67 $[\alpha]_{D}^{23} = -98.7^{\circ}$ (c = 1.50, 94% ee, CH₂Cl₂). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.26 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.27 – 6.90 (m, 6H), 6.77 – 6.47 (m, 3H), 4.34 (d, J = 17.9 Hz, 1H), 3.97 (d, J = 17.9 Hz, 1H), 3.50 (d, J = 12.2 Hz, 1H), 3.02 (d, J = 12.2 Hz, 1H), 1.56 (s, 9H),1.33 – 1.19 (m, 9H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 210.6, 156.2, 152.8, 132.9, 130.4, 128.6, 127.9, 127.4, 127.2, 122.8, 116.6, 82.8, 81.3, 71.6, 45.5, 28.2, 27.9. MS: calculated for C₂₆H₃₂N₂O₆Na (M + Na⁺), 491.218; found, 491.2154. The enantiomeric purity was determined by chiral HPLC analysis (Daicel Chiralpak ADH, hexane/isopropanol, 90:10; flux = 1 mL/min; retention times: 5.9 min (minor), 10.8 min (major)).

5.4.19. ORTEP diagram of compound 19Eb

CCDC-1025058 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for the structural analysis of **19Eb**. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via <u>www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif</u>.



5.4.20. ORTEP diagram of compound 46

CCDC-1821643 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for the structural analysis of **46**. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via <u>www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif</u>.



5.4.21. ORTEP diagram of compound 57

CCDC-1511199 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for the structural analysis of **57**. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via <u>www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif</u>.



5.4.22. ORTEP diagram of compound 60

CCDC-1511200 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for the structural analysis of **60**. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via <u>www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif</u>.



5.4.23. ORTEP diagram of compound 63

CCDC-1511201 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for the structural analysis of **63**. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via <u>www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif</u>.



5.5. Experimental section of chapter 4

5.5.1. Oxazolidinone route

5.5.1.1. Preparation of imine 76^{280}



L-Tyrosine (1.0 g, 5.5 mmol, 1 equiv.) and NaOH (220 mg, 5.5 mmol, 1 equiv.) were dissolved in dry methanol (20 mL) in a flame-dried round bottom flask gently warming the mixture and benzaldehyde (0.56 mL, 5.5 mmol, 1 equiv.) was added. The resulting solution was refluxed for 1 h, cooled to room temperature and isopropanol (20 mL was added so the product would precipitate. The resulting solid was filtrated, collected and stored in a vacuum oven at 50 °C overnight affording compound **76** as a white solid. Yield: 96% (1.5 g, 5.3 mmol). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, Methanol-*d*₄) δ 7.79 (s, 1H), 7.65 (dd, *J* = 7.3, 2.4 Hz, 2H), 7.41 – 7.30 (m, 3H), 6.95 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 6.58 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 3.90 (dd, *J* = 9.5, 4.1 Hz, 1H), 3.26 – 3.20 (m, 1H), 2.94 (dd, *J* = 13.6, 9.7 Hz, 1H).

5.5.1.2. Preparation of imine 77 and transformation into compounds 78-80

Sodium (S,E)-2-(benzylideneamino)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)propanoate (77)²⁸¹



o-Methyl-*L*-tyrosine (1.0 g, 5.1mmol, 1 equiv.) was dissolved in a 1 M NaOH aqueous solution (5.1 mL, 5.1 mmol, 1 equiv.) stirring and gently heating. The water was then evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was stored in a vacuum oven at 50 °C overnight before being suspended in dry EtOH (10 mL) in a flame-dried

²⁸⁰ Adapted from: R. Roy, M. C. Saha, P. S. Roy, *Transition Met. Chem.* **1990**, *15*, 51-57.

²⁸¹ F. Alonso, S. G. Davies, A. S. Elend, A. D. Smith, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2009, 7, 518–526.

round bottom flask and adding benzaldehyde (0.55 mL, 5.4 mmol, 1.05 equiv.). The reaction mixture was stirred overnight, concentrated under reduced pressure, suspended in pentane and filtrated to obtain the desired imine as a white solid. Yield: 82% (1.3 g, 4.2 mmol). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, Methanol-*d*₄) δ 7.83 (s, 1H), 7.67 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.38 (d, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 7.08 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.73 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 3.94 (dd, *J* = 9.7, 4.1 Hz, 1H), 3.70 (s, 3H), 3.28 (dd, *J* = 4.1 Hz, 1H), 3.01 (dd, *J* = 13.5, 9.8 Hz, 1H).

(S)-4-(4-Methoxybenzyl)oxazolidine-2,5-dione (78)



The previously obtained imine **76** (200 mg, 0.66 mmol, 1 equiv.) was suspended in dry CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) in a flame-dried round bottom flask and cooled to -78 °C. Then phosgene (15% wt. in toluene) (2.4 mL, 3.3 mmol, 5 equiv.) was slowly added and the resulting mixture was allowed to slowly warm to room temperature and stirred overnight. The crude of the reaction was concentrated under reduced pressure and was subjected to flash column chromatography (petrol ether/EtOAc 60:40) obtaining *N*carboxyanhydride **78** as the major product. White solid. Yield: 31% (45 mg, 0.20 mmol). ¹**H NMR** (500 MHz, Acetone-*d*₆) δ 8.00 (s, 1H), 7.17 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.87 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 4.79 (t, *J* = 5.3 Hz, 1H), 3.76 (s, 3H), 3.14 (dd, *J* = 14.3, 4.7 Hz, 1H), 3.08 (dd, *J* = 14.3, 5.8 Hz, 1H). ¹³**C NMR** (126 MHz, Acetone-*d*₆) δ 171.2, 159.9, 152.5, 131.7, 127.5, 114.7, 59.6, 55.4, 36.8. **MS:** calculated for C₁₁H₁₁NO₄Na (M + Na⁺), 244.0580; found 244.0580.

(S)-3-Benzoyl-4-(4-methoxybenzyl)-2-phenyloxazolidin-5-one (79)



The previously obtained imine **77** (200 mg, 0.66 mmol, 1 equiv.) was suspended in dry CH_2Cl_2 (5 mL) in a flame-dried round bottom flask and cooled to 0 °C. Then benzoyl chloride (0.76 mL, 6.6 mmol, 10 equiv.) was slowly added and the resulting mixture was allowed to slowly warm to room temperature and stirred overnight. The crude of the reaction was washed with water and brine, dried over Na₂SO₄ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting product was purified by flash column chromatography (petrol ether/EtOAc 80:20) obtaining the desired product **79** as a white solid in a 2:1 *dr*. Yield: 70% (180 mg, 0.46 mmol). Major isomer: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.61 – 6.73 (m, 14H), 5.94 (s, 1H), 5.25 (b, 1H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 3.80 – 3.04 (b, 2H). Minor isomer: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.61 – 6.73 (m, 15H), 5.08 (b, 1H), 3.82 (s, 3H), 3.80 – 3.04 (b, 2H). MS: calculated for C₂₄H₂₂NO₄ (M + H⁺), 388.1543; found 388.1554.

2,5-Dioxopyrrolidin-1-yl (*S*)-4-(4-methoxybenzyl)-5-oxo-2-phenyloxazolidine-3carboxylate (80)



The previously obtained imine **77** (200 mg, 0.66 mmol, 1 equiv.) and *N*,*N*[']-disuccinimidyl carbonate (DSC) (338 mg, 1.32 mmol, 2 equiv.) were cooled in a flamedried round bottom flask to -78 °C and dry CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) was slowly added. The resulting mixture was allowed to slowly warm to room temperature and stirred overnight. The crude of the reaction was washed with water and brine, dried over Na₂SO₄ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting product was purified by flash column chromatography (petrol ether/EtOAc 80:20) obtaining the desired product as a white solid, which showed low stability. Yield: 35% (98 mg, 0.23 mmol). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.91 (s, 1H), 7.70 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 7.46 – 7.34 (m, 3H), 7.11 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.79 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 4.44 (dd, *J* = 9.0, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 3.75 (s, 3H), 3.44 (dd, *J* = 14.0, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 3.25 (dd, *J* = 13.8, 9.2 Hz, 1H), 2.85 (s, 4H).

5.5.2. Imidazolidinone route

5.5.2.1. Preparation of spirocyclic compound 73

Imidazolidinone **73** was synthesised from intermediate **81** provided by L. Eagling according to the following synthetic sequence:²⁸²



1st **step:** Palladium on active carbon (10% wt.) (200 mg, 20% wt.) was added to a solution of the benzyl ether **81** (0.95 g, 2.3 mmol) in dry THF in a flame-dried round bottom flask and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h under hydrogen atmosphere. The reaction mixture was filtered over a pad of celite and the solvent was eliminated under reduced pressure obtaining the desired alcohol **71** as a white solid. Yield: 83% (620 mg, 1.9 mmol). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.05 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.72 (bs, 2H), 4.86 (d, *J* = 36.8 Hz, 1H), 4.69 (d, *J* = 44.5 Hz, 1H), 4.40 (d, *J* = 12.1 Hz, 1H), 3.74 (dd, *J* = 54.3, 17.6 Hz, 1H), 3.24 (dd, *J* = 34.7, 14.3 Hz, 1H), 2.82 (d, *J* = 49.2 Hz, 3H), 0.97 (d, *J* = 28.3 Hz, 9H).

 2^{nd} step: Triethylamine (0.37 mL, 3.6 mmol, 2 equiv.) and potassium iodide (340 mg, 2.0 mmol, 1.1 equiv.) were added to a solution of the previously obtained phenol **71** (600 mg, 1.8 mmol, 1 equiv.) in a 4:1 mixture of CH₂Cl₂ and toluene (3.5 mL) in a flame-dried round bottom flask and the reaction mixture was stirred at 60 °C for 72 h. The solvent was then eliminated under reduced pressure and the residue was dissolved in dihloromethane (5 mL), washed with water (3 x 5 mL) dried over Na₂SO₄ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting product was purified by flash

²⁸² Previously developed in the Clayden group.

column chromatography (petrol ether/EtOAc 70:30) obtaining the desired product **72** as a white solid. Yield: 81% (420 mg, 1.5 mmol). ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.93 (d, *J* = 9.7 Hz, 1H), 6.72 (d, *J* = 9.7 Hz, 1H), 6.48 (d, *J* = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 6.41 (d, *J* = 10.1 Hz, 1H), 4.86 (s, 1H), 4.46 (t, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 3.04 (s, 3H), 2.62 (dd, *J* = 13.5, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.47 (dd, *J* = 13.2, 9.2 Hz, 1H), 1.04 (s, 9H).

3rd step: Cerium chloride heptahydrate (780 mg, 2.1 mmol, 1.5 equiv.) and sodium borohydride (81 mg, 2.1 mmol, 1.5 equiv.) were added to a solution of the previously obtained spirocycle 72 (380 mg, 1.4 mmol, 1 equiv.) at 0 °C in dry methanol in a flamedried round bottom flask and the reaction mixture was stirred at the same temperature for 2 h. The reaction was quenched with water and diluted with CH₂Cl₂. The organic phase was washed with brine, dried over Na₂SO₄ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The two diastereomers could be separated by flash column chromatography (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 95:5) obtaining the product as a white solid in a 3:1 73/73' proportion. Total yield: 67% (270 mg, 0.94 mmol). Store under argon, slowly oxidizes back to the ketone with time. Major isomer (74): ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.21 (ddd, J = 10.0, 3.8, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 6.12 (ddd, J = 9.8, 3.8, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 5.92 (d, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 5.64 (d, J = 10.4 Hz, 1H), 4.79 (s, 1H), 4.47 (s, 1H), 4.36 (t, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 2.99 (s, 3H), 2.47 (dd, J = 13.2, 7.7 Hz, 1H), 2.15 (dd, J = 13.2, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 1.01 (s, 9H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 178.0, 172.3, 131.6, 130.8, 129.4, 128.1, 81.8, 61.4, 56.8, 50.5, 38.9, 38.3, 31.4, 25.8. Minor isomer (74'): ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.16 (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 6.05 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 5.90 (d, J = 9.9 Hz, 1H), 5.61 (d, J = 10.0Hz, 1H), 4.80 (s, 1H), 4.68 (s, 1H), 4.36 (t, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 3.00 (s, 3H), 2.53 - 2.45 (m, 1H), 2.25 - 2.16 (m, 1H), 1.60 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 1.02 (s, 9H). ¹³C NMR (126) MHz, CDCl₃) δ 177.5, 172.3, 131.5, 129.8, 128.0, 126.3, 81.6, 61.8, 56.8, 50.2, 38.5, 38.3, 31.3, 25.8.

5.5.2.2. Synthesis of disodium arogenate (70)²⁸³



²⁸³ Adapted from: W. H. Pirkle, R. Heire, M. H. Hyun, *Chirality.* **1992**, *4*, 302–307.

The major diastereomer of the above obtained alcohol (**73**) (50 mg, 0.17 mmol, 1 equiv.) was dissolved in a mixture of H₂O (0.75 mL) and dioxane (0.25 mL), and barium hydroxide (87 mg, 0.51 mmol, 3 equiv.) were added. The reaction mixture was stirred at 90 °C for 4 h, after which the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and sodium carbonate anhydrous (110 mg, 1.0 mmol, 6 equiv.) and water (3 mL) were added. The resulting barium carbonate salt was separated by filtration and the aqueous layer was washed with CH₂Cl₂ (3 x 4 mL). The aqueous phase was concentrated under reduced pressure and the product was purified by reverse phase chromatography (0.1 M TFA/acetonitrile 99:1 to 0:100) being the last thing to exit the column. The spectroscopic data resulted coincidental with the one found in the literature.²⁸⁴ ¹H NMR (400 MHz, D₂O) δ 6.06 – 5.97 (m, 3H), 5.90 (d, *J* = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 4.63 – 4.52 (m, 1H), 3.14 – 3.08 (m, 1H), 2.23 – 2.07 (m, 1H), 1.89 (dd, *J* = 14.1, 7.4 Hz, 1H).

5.5.3. Acyclic route

5.5.3.1. Preparation of ester intermediate 82^{285}



The commervially available *O*-benzyl methyltyrosinate hydrochloride (1.0 g, 3.1 mmol, 1 equiv.) was dissolved in dry MeOH (15 mL) and neutralised with sodium hydroxide powder (130 mg, 3.3 mmol, 1.05 equiv.). Trimethylacetaldehyde (0.47 mL, 4.34 mmol, 1.4 equiv.) was added and the solution was stirred at room temperature for 10 min before cooling it to 0 °C and adding sodium borohydride (95 mg, 2.5 mmol, 0.8 equiv.). The resulting mixture was stirred at the same temperature for 1 h and the remaining sodium borohydride was treated adding water (5 mL). The methanol was eliminated under reduced pressure and EtOAc (15 mL) was added. The organic phase was separated, washed with water and brine, dried over Na₂SO₄ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The product was purified by flash column chromatography (petrol ether/EtOAc 85:15) obtaining the desired compound **82** as a white solid. Yield: 55% (610 mg, 1.7 mmol). ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.47 – 7.27 (m, 5H), 7.11 (d, *J* =

²⁸⁴ S. Danishefsky, J. Morris, L. A. Clizbe, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1981**, 103, 1602-1604.

²⁸⁵ G. Verardo, P. Geatti, E. Pol, A. G. Giumanini, *Can. J. Chem.* **2002**, *80*, 779-788.

8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.89 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 5.04 (s, 2H), 3.63 (s, 3H), 3.39 (t, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 2.88 (dd, *J* = 6.8, 2.5 Hz, 2H), 2.38 (d, *J* = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 2.11 (d, *J* = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 0.85 (s, 9H).





1st step:²⁸⁶ Dimethylamine (2 M in THF) (0.55 mL, 1.1 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) was added to a solution of Boc-*O*-benzyl-*L*-tyrosine hydrosuccinimide ester (500 mg, 1.1 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) in dry dichloromethane (2 mL) and the resulting solution was stirred for 2 h. The mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure and product was purified by flash column chromatography (petrol ether/EtOAc 80:20) obtaining compound **84** as a colourless oil. Yield: Cuantitative. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.50 – 7.27 (m, 5H), 7.09 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.88 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 5.39 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 4.82 – 4.69 (m, 1H), 2.96 – 2.85 (m, 2H), 2.84 (s, 3H), 1.41 (s, 9H).

2nd step:²⁸⁶ The above obtained Boc-protected amine **84** (400 mg, 1.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) was dissolved in a 1:1 mixture of CH₂Cl₂ and trifluoroacetic acd (5 mL) and was stirred at room temperature for 1 h. The solvent was eliminated under reduced pressure and the residue dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL). The organic phase was washed with an aqueous solution of NaOH (1 M) (2 x 5 mL) and brine (5 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄ and concentrated under reduced pressure obtaining the desired compound **85** as a white solid. Yield: Cuantitative. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.47 – 7.28 (m, 5H), 7.10 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.91 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 5.05 (s, 2H), 3.91 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 2.90 (s, 3H), 2.92 – 2.84 (m, 1H), 2.73 (s, 3H), 2.76 – 2.69 (m, 1H), 1.82 (s, 2 H).

²⁸⁶ L. Ribeiro, N. Silva, J. Iley, J. Rautio, T. Järvinen, H. Mota-Filipe, R. Moreira and E. Mendes, *Arch. Pharm. Chem.* **2007**, *340*, 32-40.

3rd step:²⁸⁵ The previously obtained amine **85** (300 mg, 1.0 mmol, 1 equiv.) was dissolved in dry MeOH (15 mL) trimethylacetaldehyde (0.15 mL, 1.4 mmol, 1.4 equiv.) was added. The solution was stirred at room temperature for 10 min before cooling it to 0 °C and adding sodium borohydride (30 mg, 0.8 mmol, 0.8 equiv.). The resulting mixture was stirred at the same temperature for 1 h and the remaining sodium borohydride was treated adding H₂O (2 mL). The methanol was eliminated under reduced pressure and EtOAc (5 mL) was added. The organic phase was separated, washed with water and brine, dried over Na₂SO₄ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The product was purified by flash column chromatography (petrol ether/EtOAc 50:50) obtaining the desired amide **86** as a white solid. Yield: 62% (230 mg, 0.62 mmol). ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.46 – 7.26 (m, 5H), 7.13 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.87 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 5.05 (s, 2H), 3.61 (dd, *J* = 8.5, 6.1 Hz, 1H), 2.90 (dd, *J* = 13.2, 6.1 Hz, 1H), 2.85 (s, 3H), 2.71 (dd, *J* = 13.2, 8.5 Hz, 1H), 2.56 (s, 3H), 2.31 (d, *J* = 10.9 Hz, 1H), 2.03 (d, *J* = 10.9 Hz, 1H), 0.88 (s, 9H).

5.5.3.3. Synthesis of NCA 83



Pyridine (45 µL, 0.56 mmol, 1 equiv) and phosgene (15% wt. in toluene) (1.2 mL, 1.7 mmol, 3 equiv.) were added in this order to a solution of the previously obtained ester **82** or amide **86** (0.56 mmol, 1 equiv.) in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) at -78 °C. The resulting mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 4 h. The solvent and the remaining phosgene were eliminated under reduced pressure and the product was purified by flash column chromatography (petrol ether/EtOAc 90:10) obtaining the pure NCA as a white solid. Yield from ester **82**: 65% (134 mg, 0.36 mmol). Yield from amide **86**: 40% (82 mg, 0.22 mmol). ¹**H NMR** (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.40 (dt, *J* = 14.8, 7.2 Hz, 4H), 7.33 (s, 1H), 7.03 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 6.91 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 5.03 (s, 2H), 4.62 (t, *J* = 4.2 Hz, 1H), 3.60 (d, *J* = 14.4 Hz, 1H), 3.18 (d, *J* = 4.2 Hz, 2H), 2.87 (d, *J* = 14.4 Hz, 1H), 1.00 (s, 9H). ¹³**C NMR** (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 168.5, 158.6, 152.4, 136.7, 130.4, 128.6, 128.0, 127.5, 124.6, 115.4, 70.0, 62.4, 52.8, 34.1, 33.4, 28.0. **MS:** calculated for C₂₂H₂₅NNaO₄ (M + Na⁺), 390.1676; found 390.1679.

5.5.4. Hydantoin route

5.5.4.1. Synthesis of hydantoins 87 and 88

(S)-3-(tert-Butyl)-5-(4-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)benzyl)-2,4-dioxoimidazolidine -1-carbonyl chloride (75)



Pyridine (64 µL, 0.80 mmol, 1.5 equiv.) was added to a triphosgene (80 mg, 0.27 mmol, 0.5 equiv.) solution in dry dichloromethane (3 mL) in a flame-dried round bottom flask at –78 °C and the resulting solution was stirred at the same temperature for 10 min before adding hydantoin **74** provided by L. Eagling (200 mg, 1.53 mmol, 1 equiv.) in CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL). The resulting mixture was slowly warmed to room temperature and was stirred at that temperature for 5 h. The solvent was partially eliminated under reduced pressure and the product was purified by flash column chromatography (petrol ether/EtOAc 90:10) obtaining the desired product **75** as colourless oil. Yield: 63% (423 mg, 0.96 mmol). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.93 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.76 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 4.60 (dd, J = 4.7, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 3.46 (dd, J = 14.4, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 3.21 (dd, J = 14.4, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 1.36 (s, 9H), 0.96 (s, 9H), 0.15 (s, 6H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 169.5, 155.5, 150.7, 143.6, 130.9, 124.9, 120.4, 61.1, 59.8, 34.2, 28.0, 25.6, 18.2, -4.5. MS: calculated for C₂₁H₃₁ClN₂NaO₄Si (M + Na⁺), 461.1639; found 461.1634.

(S)-3-(tert-Butyl)-5-(4-hydroxybenzyl)imidazolidine-2,4-dione (87)²⁸⁷



²⁸⁷ N. Casanova, A. Seoane, J. L. Mascareñas, M. Gulías, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 2374-2377.

The above obtained compound **75** (45 mg, 0.1 mmol, 1 equiv.) was dissolved in dry THF (1 mL) and tetrabutylammonium fluoride (1 M in THF) (105 μ L, 0.105 mmol, 1.05 equiv.) was added at 0 °C. The resulting solution was stirred at the same temperature for 30 min before the reaction was quenched by adding brine and extracted with CH₂Cl₂. The organic phase was dried over Na₂SO₄ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The product was purified by flash column chromatography (petrol ether/EtOAc 50:50) obtaining compound **87** as a white solid. Yield: 60% (16 mg, 0.060 mmol). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, Methanol-*d*₄) δ 7.00 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.68 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 4.07 (t, *J* = 4.3 Hz, 1H), 3.00 – 2.84 (m, 2H), 1.34 (s, 9H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, Methanol-*d*₄) δ 176.6, 173.0, 157.7, 132.2, 126.5, 116.0, 58.4, 37.4, 28.8, 20.9. MS: calculated for C₁₄H₁₈NNaO₃ (M + Na⁺), 285.1215; found 185.12.

Methyl (S)-3-(tert-butyl)-5-(4-hydroxybenzyl)-2,4-dioxoimidazolidine-1carboxylate (88)



The carbonyl chloride above obtained (**75**) (45 mg, 0.1 mmol, 1 equiv.) was dissolved in dry MeOH (1 mL) in a flame-dried round bottom flask under nitrogen atmosphere and hydrogen chloride (1.25 M in MeOH) (0.2 mL, 0.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv.) was added. The resulting solution was stirred at room temperature for 16 h and the solvent was eliminated under reduced pressure obtaining the desired product as a white solid. Yield: 60% (19 mg, 0.060 mmol). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.90 (d, *J* = 5.7 Hz, 2H), 6.74 (d, *J* = 5.8 Hz, 2H), 6.21 (s, 1H), 4.49 (s, 1H), 3.95 (s, 2H), 3.37 (d, *J* = 13.4 Hz, 1H), 3.15 (d, *J* = 13.6 Hz, 1H), 1.33 (s, 9H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 171.0, 155.8, 152.5, 150.8, 130.9, 124.4, 115.4, 59.4, 59.1, 54.0, 34.5, 28.1. MS: calculated for C₁₆H₂₀N₂NaO₅ (M + Na⁺), 343.1264; found 343.1265.

5.5.4.2. Synthesis of hydantoin 92

Hydantoin 92 was prepared according to the following synthetic sequence:



1st **step:** *tert*-Butyl isocyanate (0.45 mL, 3.9 mmol, 1.1 equiv.) was added to a stirred solution of *O*-benzyl methyltyrosinate hydrochloride (1.1 g, 3.5 mmol, 1 equiv.) and triethylamine (1.4 mL, 10.5 mmol, 3 equiv.) in CH₂Cl₂ (15 mL) in a flame-dried round bottom flask under nitrogen atmosphere and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. The organic phase was washed with a saturated solution of NH₄Cl (3 x 10 mL), a saturated solution of NaHCO₃ (3 x 10 mL) and brine (10 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄ and the solvent was eliminated under reduced pressure to afford the pure urea **89** as a white solid. Yield: Quantitative. ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.47 – 7.29 (m, 5H), 7.02 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 6.89 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 5.03 (s, 2H), 4.72 – 4.63 (m, 1H), 4.50 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 4.20 (s, 1H), 3.71 (s, 3H), 3.10 – 2.94 (m, 2H), 1.30 (s, 9H).

2nd step: Potassium *tert*-butoxyde (390 mg, 3.9 mmol, 1.1 equiv.) was added to a stirred solution of the urea **89** obtained in the previous step (1.3 g, 3.5 mmol, 1 equiv.) in THF (12 mL) in a flame-dried round bottom flask under nitrogen atmosphere and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h. The reaction was then quenched by adding a saturated solution of NH₄Cl (10 mL). The organic phase was separated and washed with a saturated solution of NaHCO₃ (10 mL) and brine (10 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The product was purified by flash column chromatography (petrol ether/EtOAc 70:30) obtaining the desired compound **90** as a white solid. Yield: 58% (512 mg, 2.0 mmol). ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.47 – 7.28 (m, 5H), 7.10 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 6.91 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 5.47 (s, 1H), 5.04 (s, 2H), 4.02 (dd, *J* = 7.4, 3.3 Hz, 1H), 3.10 (dd, *J* = 14.0, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 2.81 (dd, *J* = 14.0, 7.8 Hz, 1H), 1.49 (s, 9H).

3rd step: Pyridine (0.24 mL, 3.0 mmol, 1.5 equiv.) was added to a triphosgene (297 mg, 1.0 mmol, 0.5 equiv.) solution in dry dichloromethane (15 mL) in a flame-dried round

bottom flask at -78 °C and the resulting solution was stirred at the same temperature for 10 min before adding the hydantoin **90** obtained in the previous step (715 mg, 2.0 mmol, 1 equiv.) in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL). The resulting mixture was slowly warmed to room temperature and was stirred at that temperature for further 4 h. The solvent was partially eliminated under reduced pressure and the product was purified by flash column chromatography (petrol ether/EtOAc 80:20) obtaining the desired compound **91** as colourless oil. Yield: 43% (360 mg, 0.86 mmol). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.45 – 7.27 (m, 5H), 7.00 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 6.90 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 5.11 – 4.98 (m, 2H), 4.60 (dd, *J* = 4.6, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 3.47 (dd, *J* = 14.4, 4.7 Hz, 1H), 3.24 (dd, *J* = 14.4, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 1.34 (s, 9H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 169.5, 158.5, 150.7, 143.6, 136.7, 130.9, 128.6, 128.0, 127.3, 124.5, 115.2, 69.9, 61.1, 59.9, 34.2, 28.0. MS: calculated for C₂₂H₂₃ClN₂NaO₄ (M + Na⁺), 437.1239; found 437.1243.

4th step: Palladium on active carbon (10% wt.) (2 mg, 20% wt.) was added to a solution of compound **91** above obtained (20 mg, 0.05 mmol) in dry THF in a flame-dried round bottom flask and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h under hydrogen atmosphere. The reaction mixture was filtered over a pad of celite and the solvent was eliminated under reduced pressure obtaining the desired product **92** as a white solid. Yield: 50% (8.1 mg, 0.025 mmol). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.95 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 6.76 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 5.06 (s, 1H), 4.60 (dd, *J* = 4.7, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 3.46 (dd, *J* = 14.5, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 3.23 (dd, *J* = 14.5, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 1.37 (s, 9H). MS: calculated for C₁₅H₁₇ClN₂NaO₄ (M + Na⁺), 347.0769; found 347.0767.