Faculty	230 - Faculty of Education, Philosophy and Anthropology					Cycle		е	Not Applicable				
Degree	GFILOS20 - Ba	achelor`s Degr	ee in F	hiloso	ohy					Year Third year			r
OURSE													
25157 - Philosophy of Science I							Credits, ECTS: 6						
COURSE DE	SCRIPTION												
OMPETEN	CIES/LEARNING	RESULTS FO		E SUB	JECT						•		
Study of t	he different persp	ectives about \$	Scienc	e itself.	Genera	al chara	acteriza	ation of	scientif	ic activ	ity.		
This subje philosoph subjects c	ect introduces a re y of science and i of the philosophy o	flection on the ts more releva of science.	conce nt issu	ept of so es, sta	cience a rting fro	and the m the r	basic t nain vie	hemes ews of t	of its n the last	nethodo centur	ology; c y, and o	haracteriz explores th	es t ne b
This subjection contribution curricular.	ect belongs to the on is necessary to	part (consider obtain the cap	ed) Ma pacities	ndator s or ski	y and th lls relate	ne secti ed to th	on (or i e secti	module on (or r) Philos nodule)	sophy c and al	of Scien I of the	ice. Its m are cros	SS-
The cours correspon	se coordinator will nd to the grade co	look after the l ordinator.	horizor	ntal coc	ordinatio	on of thi	s subje	ect while	e the ve	ertical c	oordina	ation will	
their theor - To orally traditional terminolog - To identi debates ir scientific o - To enga conseque - To use the the study	retical and concept present, debate, and contemporar gy of the philosop ify and assess thr in the field of philos discourse. ge in team work in inces of the develo he technologies of of currently debat	otual frames ar critically asses by problems, po hy and method ough diverse p sophy and met order to adva opment of mod f information in ed perspective	ad, at less and bints of lology brocedu hodolo lern sc n order es in th	the co ience a to colle	two off vith argu and con nce. e validit science, mprehe and the ect and of philos	icial lan uments cepts a y or pla empha nsion c philoso interch sophy a	well-st bout so usibility sizing of the so phical ange d	s of the ructure cience, y of arg the imp ocial, e conside ata and thodolo	thical, e aration bgy of s	urses r o that p s aroun e of log econom upon it. ess bib cience.	egardir ourpose d tradit ic in the nical an	ng the dive the specia ional and o analysis d cultural hic source	erse alize curre of
CONTENIDO	DS TEÓRICO-PR	ACTICOS											
deductive 2 Charac 3. Synchro 4. Diachro 5. Social o Science; r 6. Key iss Science a rationalty	and inductive arg cterization of Philo onic perspectives onic perspectives conceptions of Sc relativism and "Sc ues of Philosophy and scientific revol and progress (Lat	iuments; scien osophy of Scie of Science. Lo of Science. Im ience. Sociolog ience Wars". of Science. T utions, Inconm udan).	tific ex nce. B ogical F portan gy of S he prol	planation rief Intr Positivis ce of H cience blem of abuility	Scienc on. oductio sm and istory o , Cultur f verifial , (Kuhn	e. Laws n to the Logical f Sciend al Studi bility; Po y Feye	e main e main Empir ce for t es of S opper a rabend	views o icism; S he Phil Science and fals	f XXth Structur osophy , STS s ability o ntific Re	and XX alism. of Scie tudies; (demare	(Ith cen ence. feminis cation); progra	turies. st Philosop Kuhn: No ams (Laka	ohy o orma tos);
* At the be appropriat	eginning of the ter te.	m, the lectures	s will s	pecify,	within t	his offic	ial sylla	abus, th	nose as	pects c	or parts	considere	d
EACHING	METHODS												
			NA	6			<u> </u>		ТА	TI	C CA	1	
	тур	es or teaching	141	3	GA	GL	30	GOL	IA		GCA		
	Hours of face-to-	face teaching	36		24								

Legend:	M: Lecture-based	S: Seminar	GA: Applied classroom-based groups		
	GL: Applied laboratory-based groups	GO: Applied computer-based groups	GCL: Applied clinical-based groups		
	TA: Workshop	TI: Industrial workshop	GCA: Applied fieldwork groups		

Universidad Euskal Herriko del País Vasco Unibertistatea

Evaluation methods

- End-of-course evaluation

Evaluation tools and percentages of final mark

- Written test, open questions 60%
- Teamwork assignments (problem solving, Project design) 40%

ORDINARY EXAMINATION PERIOD: GUIDELINES AND OPTING OUT

With the followign proportions that the lectures will specify at the beginning of the term:

- Exam: between 40 and 60 % of the final evaluation;
- Individual essays: between 40 and 60 % of the final evaluation;
- Class assistance and participation will be taken into account for the final assessment.

EXTRAORDINARY EXAMINATION PERIOD: GUIDELINES AND OPTING OUT

Exam

MANDATORY MATERIALS

Echeverria, J. (1999) Introducción a la metodología de la ciencia, Madrid: Cátedra.

BIBLIOGRAFÍA

Basic bibliography

Dieguez, A. (2005) Filosofía de la ciencia, Madrid: Biblioteca Nueva/Universidad de Málaga. Díez Calzada, J.A., Moulines, C.U., (1997) Fundamentos de Filosofía de la Ciencia, Barcelona: Ariel. Godfrey-Smith, P. (2003): Theory and Reality. An introduction to the philosophy of Science. Chicago: The Chicago University Press.

Olivé, L. y Pérez Ransanz, A. R. Eds. (1989) Filosofía de la ciencia: teoría y observación, México: Siglo XXI.

Detailed bibliography

Balashov, Y & Rosenberg, A. Eds. (2002) Philosophy of Science. Contemporary Readings. New York: Routledge.
Curd, M. & Cover, J. A. Eds. (1998) Philosophy of Science. The central issues. WW. Norton.
Lange, M. (2007) Philosophy of Science. An anthology. Oxford: Blackwell.
Okasha, S. (2002): Philosophy of Science. A very short introduction. Oxford University Press.
Rosenberg, A. (2000) Philosophy of Science. A contemporary introduction. New York: Routledge.

Journals

Erkenntnis; Philosophy of Science; Synthese; Theoria; Teorema.

Web sites of interest

European Philosophy of Science Association. www.epsa.ac.at Philosophy of Science Association. philsci.org Philsci Archive. philsci-archive.pitt.edu Sociedad de Lógica, Metodología y Filosofía de la ciencia. www.solofici.org Stanford Enciclopedia of Philosophy. plato.stanford.edu

OBSERVATIONS

Osasun publikoko krisi hipotetiko baten aurrean konfinamendua edo antzeko neurriren bat ezarriko balitz, irakaskuntza gida honetan zehaztutako ebaluazio irizpideak aldatzerik legoke (ikus eGelan "Ebaluazioaren egokitzapena" dokumentua).

En el caso de que una hipotética crisis de salud pública provocara alguna medida de confinamiento o similar, cabría la posibilidad de variar los criterios de evaluación estipulados en esta guía docente (véase el documento "Adecuación de la evaluación", sito en eGela).

The evaluation criteria stipulated in this course guide might be modified in the event that a hypothetical public health crisis caused some measure of confinement or similar (see the "Adaptation of evaluation" document, placed in eGela).

Philosophy of Science I (2022-2023)

(Lecturer: Jon Umerez)

A) Papers to read:

Kosso, P. (1992) *Reading the Book of Nature. An Introduction to the Philosophy of Science.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. Introduction: pp.: 1-7 + Chapter 1: pp.: 8-26.

Kosso, Peter (2011) A Summary of Scientific Method. Dordrecht: Springer. pp.: v-vi, ix-x, 1-5.

Godfrey-Smith, P. (2003) *Theory and Reality. An Introduction to the Philosophy of Science.* Chicago, Ill: The University of Chicago Press. Chapter 1: pp. 1-18.

Schlick, M. (1930/31) The Turning Point in Philosophy. A.J. Ayer (ed.) *Logical Positivism*, Chicago, Ill: The Free Press, pp.: 53-59.

Carnap, R. (1932) The Elimination of Metaphysics Through Logical Analysis of Language. In A.J. Ayer (ed.) *Logical Positivism*, Chicago, Ill: The Free Press, pp.: 60-81.

Quine, W.V.O. (1953[1951] Two Dogmas of Empiricism. In W.V.O. Quine *From a Logical Point of View*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press. II: pp. 20-46.

Popper, K. (1963 [2002] Conjectures and Refutations. London: Routledge. 1: 42-86.

Kuhn, Th. (1970) Logic of Discovery or Psychology of Research. In I. Lakatos & A. Musgrave (eds.) *Criticism and the Growth of Knowledge*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 1-23.

Kuhn, Th. (1962/2nd. ed. 1970) *The Structure of Scientific Revolutions*. Chicago, Ill: The University of Chicago Press. Chapter IX: pp. 92-110.

Lakatos, I. (1981) *Philosophical Papers, Vol I.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. Introduction: Science and Pseudoscience: pp. 1-7.

Laudan, L. (1977) *Progress and its Problems*. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press. Chapter 3, excerpt: pp. 70-81.

Feyerabend, P. (1994) *Killing Time*. Chicago, Ill: The University of Chicago Press. Chapter 12: pp. 139-152.

Merton, R.K. (1973 [1942]) The Normative Structure of Science. In R.K. Merton (ed. N. W. Storer) *The Sociology of Science. Theoretical and Empirical Investigations*. Chicago, Ill: The University of Chicago Press, pp. 267-278.

Barnes, Barry (1991) Sociological Theories of Scientific Knowledge. In R.C. Olby; G.N. Cantor; J.R.R. Christie & M.J.S Hodge (eds.) (1990) *Companion to the History of Modern Science*. London: Routledge, pp. 60-73.

Keller, E.F. (1992) Gender and Science: An Update. In E.F. Keller Secrets of Life. Essays on Life, Gender, and Science. London: Routledge. Chapter 1: pp. 15-36.

SOKAL Affair

Sokal, A.D. (1996) Transgressing the Boundaries. ... Social Text 46/47: 217-252.
Sokal, A.D. (1996) A Physicist Experiments with Cultural Studies. Lingua Franca (May/June 1996)
Robbins, B. & Ross, A. (1996) A response by the Editors. Lingua Franca (July/August 1996)
--- (1996) Mystery science Theater . Lingua Franca (July/August 1996)
Blackburn, S. (2008) Truth's Caper. The New Republic (August 13, 2008).
Levins, R. (1996) Ten Propositions on Science and Antiscience. Social Text 46/47: 101-111.

B) Book to read:

Kuhn, Th. (1962/2nd. ed. 1970) *The Structure of Scientific Revolutions*. Chicago, Ill: The University of Chicago Press.

(Recommended: Kosso, Peter (2011) A Summary of Scientific Method. Dordrecht: Springer)

PoS I – Instructions for Reading Seminar Presentation

- 1. Sign up to present on one of the assigned weekly readings.
- 2. Read the text twice (at minimum).
- 3. Prepare a five minute presentation for the Friday Reading Seminar. In this presentation you will:
 - a. Summarize the main argument of the text.
 - b. Choose a significant passage or quotation for discussion. This passage may exemplify the author's point, be difficult to understand, reveal a problem the author hasn't addressed, or it may raise a point that particularly interests you or that you think will particularly interest the class.
 - c. Pose a specific, direct question for the seminar to work on together.

It is a good idea to make notes or write out your presentation and to practice it beforehand, especially to achieve the correct timing.

Once everyone has done one presentation, you may volunteer to do a second presentation. Your presentation grade will then be the average of the two presentations.

Philosophy of Science I

(Year 2022-2023)

Lecturer: Jon Umerez

Email: jon.umerez@ehu.eus --- Phone: 943 015537 Office hours: Tuesday 11:00-12:00, Wednesday 09:00-11:00 (office 1B5).

	Date	Hours	Lecture	Reading material
Week 1			Course presentation	Text 1: Kosso 1
			Reading seminar	Kosso 2
Week 2			Intro to Phil of Sci / Theories	
			Reading seminar	Godfrey-Smith /
W1- 2			Viene Circle	KOSSO 3
week 5			Vienna Circle	Sabliak
Weels 4			Lagical Pagitivigm	Schlick
week 4			Logical Positivism	Comon
W 1- 5			Keading seminar	Carnap
week 5			Received view	II
			Reading seminar	Hempel (or t.b.a.)
				(Ist essay due)
Week 6			Popper falsationism	
			Reading seminar	Popper
Week 7			Kuhn: normal science	
			Reading seminar	Kuhn 1
Week 8			Kuhn: scientific revolut	
			Reading seminar	Kuhn 2
Week 9			Lakatos (research	
			programs) & Laudan	
			(research traditions)	
			Reading seminar	Lakatos - Laudan
Week 10			Feyerabend (meth. anarchism)	
			Reading seminar	Feyerabend
				(2nd essay due)
Week 11			Sociology of Science -old	
			Reading seminar	Merton
Week 12			Sociology of Science-new	
			Reading seminar	t.b.a.
Week 13				
Week 14			Feminist phil. of science	
			Reading seminar	E.F. Keller
Week 15			Science Studies & Science wars	
			Reading seminar	Sokal/Ross/
				Diackourn
1	1			(ord essay due)

EVALUATION

Essay 1: 10% Essay 2: 10 % Essay 3: 15 % Exam: 50% Assistance and classroom participation: 15%

Note: It is mandatory to deliver all the essays in order to be evaluated.

SPECIAL **NOTE 2022/23**: The evaluation criteria stipulated in this course guide, as well as the teaching modalities, might be modified in the event that a hypothetical public health crisis caused some measure of confinement or similar (in that event see eGela).

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Balashov, Y. & Rosenberg, A. (eds.) (2002) *Philosophy of Science. Contemporary Readings.* London: Routledge.
- Barker, G. & Kitcher, Ph. (2014) *Philosophy of Science. A New Introduction*. Oxford: Oxford University Pres.
- Bird, A. (1998) Philosophy of Science. McGill-Queen's University Press.
- Chalmers, A. (2001) What is this thing called Science? An assessment of the nature and status of science and its methods (3rd ed.). London: Open University Press.
- Curd, M. & Cover, J. A. Eds. (1998) *Philosophy of Science. The central issues.* WW. Norton.
- Dieguez, A. (2005) *Filosofía de la ciencia*, Madrid: Biblioteca Nueva/Universidad de Málaga.
- Díez, J.A., Moulines, C.U., (1997) Fundamentos de Filosofía de la Ciencia, Barcelona: Ariel.
- Echeverria, J. (1995) Introducción a la metodología de la ciencia, Cátedra
- *** Godfrey-Smith, P. (2003): *Theory and Reality. An introduction to the philosophy* of Science. Chicago: The Chicago University Press (used as textbook). (just published second edition, July 2021, some changes)
- Kosso, Peter (1992) Reading the Book of Nature. An Introduction to the Philosophy of Science. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Kosso, Peter (2011) A Summary of Scientific Method. Dordrecht Springer
- Newton-Smith, W.H. (ed.) (2000) A Companion to the Philosophy of Science. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Okasha, S. (2002): *Philosophy of Science. A very short introduction*. Oxford: Oxford University Pres.
- Oreskes, Naomi (2019) Why Trust Science. Princeton University Press.
- Rosenberg, A. (2000 [2005]) *Philosophy of Science. A contemporary introduction.* London: Routledge (2nd edition).
- Ziman, J. (2003) What is Science? Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.