ED 2015

9TH INTERNATIONAL TECHNOLOGY, EDUCATION AND DEVELOPMENT CONFERENCE



CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS

Published by IATED Academy www.iated.org

INTED2015 Proceedings 9th International Technology, Education and Development Conference March 2nd-4th, 2015 — Madrid, Spain

Edited by L. Gómez Chova, A. López Martínez, I. Candel Torres IATED Academy

ISBN: 978-84-606-5763-7 ISSN: 2340-1079 Depósito Legal: V- 362-2015

Book cover designed by J.L. Bernat

All rights reserved. Copyright © 2015, IATED

The papers published in these proceedings reflect the views only of the authors. The publisher cannot be held responsible for the validity or use of the information therein contained.

INTED2015 COMMITTEE AND ADVISORY BOARD

Aaron Doering	UNITED STATES	Javier Martí	SPAIN
Aderemi Atayero	NIGERIA	Jill Clark	NEW ZEALAND
Agustín López	SPAIN	Joanna Lees	FRANCE
Alfredo Soeiro	PORTUGAL	John Egan	NEW ZEALAND
Allen Grant	UNITED STATES	Jose F. Cabeza	SPAIN
Amparo Girós	SPAIN	Jose Luis Bernat	SPAIN
Ana Tomás	SPAIN	Ju Youn Song	LUXEMBOURG
Angela Addison	UNITED KINGDOM	Judith Szerdahelyi	UNITED STATES
Annalene van Staden	SOUTH AFRICA	Julie Ann Smith	UNITED STATES
Antonio García	SPAIN	Katharina Karges	SWITZERLAND
Anzori Barkalaja	ESTONIA	Lorena López	SPAIN
Barbara Bocchi	ITALY	Luis Gómez Chova	SPAIN
Barbara Doersam	GERMANY	Mª Jesús Suesta	SPAIN
Beyza Yilmaz	TURKEY	Manuela Varela	PORTUGAL
Chelo González	SPAIN	Maria de Lurdes Correia Martins	PORTUGAL
Ciaran Dawson	IRELAND	Maria Porcel	SPAIN
Cristina Lozano	SPAIN	Marielle Patronis	UNITED ARAB EMIRATES
Daniel Friday Owoichoche Onah	UNITED KINGDOM	Michael Laric	UNITED STATES
David Martí	SPAIN	Michel van Ast	NETHERLANDS
Davor Orlic	UNITED KINGDOM	Miika Kuusisto	FINLAND
Dee O'Connor	AUSTRALIA	Mónica Fernández	SPAIN
Delyan Genkov	BULGARIA	Natalie Wilmot	UNITED KINGDOM
Dhiraj Bhartu	FIJI	Norma Barrachina	SPAIN
Dhiya Al-Jumeily	UNITED KINGDOM	Olaf Herden	GERMANY
Diana Dikke	GERMANY	Olga Teruel	SPAIN
Diane Rushton	UNITED KINGDOM	Peter Haber	AUSTRIA
Dušan Barać	SERBIA	Pieter Du Toit	SOUTH AFRICA
Eladio Duque	SPAIN	Robert Pucher	AUSTRIA
Elena Ors	SPAIN	Rosa Njoo	NETHERLANDS
Ellen Whitford	UNITED STATES	Rosellen Rosich	UNITED STATES
Erik Arntsen	NORWAY	Rosslyn Albon	UNITED ARAB EMIRATES
Fatima EL Hamyani	BAHRAIN	Sam Kerr	SOUTH AFRICA
Filomena Soares	PORTUGAL	Samaneh Tarighat	IRAN
Francesca Magrefi	ITALY	Seppo Sirkemaa	FINLAND
Fusun Akdag	TURKEY	Sergio Pérez	SPAIN
Georgios Dafoulas	UNITED KINGDOM	Shakila Yacob	MALAYSIA
Gilles Sagodira	RÉUNION	Simon Hayhoe	UNITED KINGDOM
Gulnihal Ozbay	UNITED STATES	Slavi Stoyanov	NETHERLANDS
Hussein Assalahi	UNITED KINGDOM	Smadar Bar-Tal	ISRAEL
Ignacio Ballester	SPAIN	Talat Allahyari	IRAN
Ignacio Candel	SPAIN	Victor Fester	NEW ZEALAND
Ismael Serrano	SPAIN	Wen-Chu Hu	TAIWAN
Iván Martínez	SPAIN	Xavier Lefranc	FRANCE
Javier Domenech	SPAIN	Yurgos Politis	IRELAND

CONFERENCE SESSIONS

ORAL SESSIONS, 2nd March 2015.

Blended Learning Augmented Reality & Virtual Laboratories m-Learning (1) Entrepreneurship Education Meet the Keynote Student Support in Education New Technologies in Primary & Secondary Education Learning Experiences in Arts and Music Education

Technology Enhanced Learning (1) Intelligent Learning Environments e-Assessment (1) International Education & Research Projects Generic & Transferable Skills Pre-service Teacher Experiences (1) Experiences in Primary & Early Childhood Education Experiences in Maths & Statistics Education

Technology Enhanced Learning (2) Augmented Reality & Technology-Enhanced Learning e-Assessment (2) Work Employability Links between Education and Research Pre-service Teacher Experiences (2) Experiences in Primary & Secondary Education Experiences in Business Administration Education

Technology Enhanced Learning (3) e-Learning Learning Management Systems (LMS) Workplace Learning New experiences in STEM Education In-service Teachers Experiences in Life & Health Sciences Experiences in Finance & Economics

POSTER SESSIONS, 2nd March 2015.

Experiences in Education

Challenges in Education and Research

ORAL SESSIONS, 3rd March 2015.

University-Industry Collaboration Virtual Universities & MOOCs Apps for Learning Students & Teachers Attitudes towards ICT Pedagogical & Didactical Innovations Inclusive Learning Curriculum Design in Primary & Secondary Education Technology-Enhanced Language Learning (1)

Computer Supported Collaborative Learning Next Generation Classroom Game-based Learning e-Portfolios & Assessment Enhancing Learning and the Undergraduate Experience Special Education Curriculum Design in Engineering Education Technology-Enhanced Language Learning (2)

Collaborative Virtual Environments Flipped Learning Gamification Accreditation & Assessment New Educational Projects and Innovations Adult Learning and ICT New Experiences for Curriculum Design Experiences in Foreign Languages Education (1)

Collaborative & Problem Based Learning (1) Flipped & Blended Learning m-Learning (2) Quality Assurance in Education Technology in Life & Health Sciences (1) Lifelong & Continuous Learning Experiences in Architecture Education Foreign Languages in Primary & Secondary Education

Collaborative & Problem Based Learning (2) Social Media and Social Networking in Education Organizational and Management issues in Education Diversity and Multicultural Education Technology in Life & Health Sciences (2) Impact of Education on Development Technology in Engineering Education Experiences in Foreign Languages Education (2)

POSTER SESSIONS, 3rd March 2015.

New Trends in Education

Emerging Technologies in Teaching and Learning

VIRTUAL SESSIONS

Apps for education Barriers to Learning **Blended Learning** Competence Evaluation Computer Supported Collaborative Work Curriculum Design and Innovation E-content Management and Development e-Learning Education and Globalization Education in a multicultural society **Educational Research Experiences** Educational Software and Serious Games Enhancing learning and the undergraduate experience Evaluation and Assessment of Student Learning ICT skills and competencies among teachers Impact of Education on Development **Inclusive Learning International Projects** Language Learning Innovations Learning and Teaching Methodologies Learning Experiences in Primary and Secondary School Lifelong Learning Links between Education and Research Mobile learning New projects and innovations New Trends in the Higher Education Area Pedagogical & Didactical Innovations Quality assurance in Education Research in Education Research on Technology in Education Student Support in Education Technological Issues in Education Technology-Enhanced Learning University-Industry Collaboration Virtual Universities Vocational Training

TEACHING STUDENTS HOW TO SOLVE COMPLEX ENGINEERING PROBLEMS BY USING DECISION MAKING APPROACHES: VALUE ANALYSIS

J.T. San-José¹, R. Sancibrian², J.M. Blanco¹, E. Rojí¹, I. Marcos¹, M.I. Larrauri¹

¹ University of the Basque Country (SPAIN) ² University of Cantabria (SPAIN)

Abstract

The engineering profession plays a major role in the development of society. It wields enormous influence over economic activity, employment and growth rates. However, industry also has a substantial impact on the natural environment, the effects of which are evident across the world. Over recent decades, pioneering initiatives from professionals have proposed friendly and sustainable engineering solutions that should be strongly integrated in our engineering faculties such us a usual subject. Accordingly, authors are going to present an Integrated Value Model for Assessment (IVS) future decision-makers (nowadays engineering students) at any discipline: to select the most sustainable construction project, to select the most convenient materials for a specific application, to select the best raw material for a specific sector, etc.

The IVS methodology here presented applies a requirements' tree to quantify the value of a decision at various hierarchical levels, in order to assess the final decision and compliance with some criteria (economical, technical, etc.). On the other hand, the scope of this methodology is not the same as others which use indexing systems to account attributes in decision-making. The Analytic Hierarchy Process is applied at IVS methodology, by combining Multi-Criteria Decision Making process and the Function Value Analysis concept. This is done in order to standardise a set of indicators, for making possible their comparison, because of their different units.

The main objective of present paper is to develop a method that enables a more appropriate definition of somewhat vague concepts such as slight or moderate impact, by representing uncertainty predictions during assessment. In other words, the IVS methodology proposes a valuation process for indicators and weightings at sublevels. This approach is effective and appropriately integrated with the indicators, subcriteria, criteria and assessment areas (hierarchy tree) used to assess the higher overall "index value" of IVS.

For a best practice of engineering students, it is proposed an example referred to a typical industrial building with pre-defined morphological characteristics and production processes. Besides, two separate geographical study areas were established which involve two different buildings (design options). These two solutions differ in terms of the following aspects: materials and construction elements, site characteristics, construction and end-of-life demolition processes, waste management during their different life-cycle stages, and water and energy saving measures while in use. Therefore, the final IVS "index value" of both buildings was obtained, being the industrial building with the highest IVS value the best solution to be adopted.

Keywords: Decision Making, Case Study, Engineering, Function Value Analysis, Hierarchy Tree, Index Value, Indicators, Weighting.

1 INTRODUCTION

It is well known how the decision making methodologies [1], versus the traditional approaches [2], are a friendlier tool, in terms of selecting the best solution at any kind of engineering problem solving approach. In our engineering schools, faced to the huge variety of teaching disciplines [3], we may teach students how to manage the selection of the best option, in terms of best practice (obviously) but, why not, in terms of value analysis [4] too. For example, it could be listed some cases of engineering problems based on multicriteria decision making [5] in terms to select: the best strengthening solutions for ancient concrete structures [7], the best options in terms of sustainable urban planning and rehabilitations [8-9], the most sustainable project design for industrial buildings [10], the best material ("green" concrete) for building structures [11], the best health & safety project development [12], etc.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research work has been made possible thanks to financing from the Basque Regional Government (IT781-13 research group).

REFERENCES

- [1] Brugha, C. (2004). Structure of multi-criteria decision-making. Journal of the Operational Research Society 55(11), pp. 1156-1168.
- [2] French, S., Geldermann, J. (2005). The varied contexts of environmental decision problems and their implications for decision support. Environmental Science & Policy 8(4), pp. 378-391.
- [3] Salo, A., Gustafsson, T., Ramanathan, R. (2003). Multicriteria methods for technology foresight. Journal of Forecasting 22(2-3), pp. 235-255.
- [4] French, S., Geldermann, J. (2005). The varied contexts of environmental decision problems and their implications for decision support. Environmental Science & Policy 8 (4), pp.378-391.
- [5] Brugha, C. (2004). Structure of multi-criteria decision-making. Journal of the Operational Research Society 55(11), pp. 1156-1168.
- [6] Blanco, J.M., Vazquez, L., Peña, F. (2012). Investigation on a new methodology for thermal power plant assessment through live diagnosis monitoring of selected process parameters; application to a case study. Energy 42(1), pp. 170-180.
- [7] Larrinaga P. (2011). Flexural strengthening of low grade concrete through the use of new cement-based composite materials. PhD Thesis, University of the Basque Country, Spain.
- [8] Herranz-Pascual, M.K., Eguiguren-García, J.L., Proy-Rodríguez, R., Cuadrado-Rojo, J. (2013). New tools to support decision making in urban planning. Model of sustainability assessment of municipal action plans. Dyna 88(4), pp. 462-472.
- [9] Velazquez, I., Verdaguer, C. (2003). Estrategias europeas y españolas hacia la sostenibilidad urbana. Urbanismo para un desarrollo más sostenible Congress 2003. Palma de Mallorca, Spain.
- [10] San-José, J.T., Garrucho, I., Losada, R., Cuadrado, J. (2007). A proposal of environmental indicators towards industrial building sustainable assessment. The International Journal of Sustainable Development and World Ecology 14(2), pp.160-173.
- [11] Gómez-López, D., del Caño, A., de la Cruz, M.P. (2013). Early estimation of the sustainability level of concrete structures, in the framework of the EHE-08 spanish code. Informes de la Construccion 65(529), pp. 65-76.
- [12] Reyes, J.P., San-José, J.T., Cuadrado, J. Sancibrian, R. (2014). Health & Safety criteria for determining the sustainable value of construction projects. Safety Science 62, pp.221–232.
- [13] Saaty, T.L. (2008). Decision Making with the Analytic Hierarchy Process. International Journal of Services Sciences 1(1), pp. 83-98.
- [14] San-Jose, J.T., Cuadrado, J. (2010). Industrial building design stage based on a system approach to their environmental sustainability. Construction and Building Materials 24(4), 438– 447.
- [15] Del Caño, A., Gómez, D., De La Cruz, M.P. (2012). Uncertainty analysis in the sustainable design of concrete structures: A probabilistic method. Construction and Building Materials 37, pp. 865-873.
- [16] Blanco, J., Peña, F. (2009). A particular survey on the student's perceptions about the implementation of the ECTS system for higher education according to the Bologna criteria. Proceedings INTED 2009, Valencia 9-11 March, Spain.